arXiv:0802.0583v2 [hep-th] 14 Feb 2008

Lab/UFR-HEP0802/GNPHE/0802

BPS and non BPS 7D Black Attractors in M- Theory on K3

El Hassan Saidi[*]

1. *Lab/UFR-Physique des Hautes Energies, Faculté Sciences, Rabat,* Morocco,

2. *Groupement Nationale de Physique des Hautes Energies, GNPHE,*

point focal, LPHE, Faculté Sciences, Rabat, Morocco.

February 14, 2008

Abstract

We study the BPS and non BPS black attractors in 7D $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity embedded in 11D M-theory compactified on K3. Combining Kahler and complex moduli in terms of $SO(3)$ representations, we build the Dalbeault like (DL) basis for the second cohomology of K3 and set up the fundamental relations of the special "hyperKahler" geometry of the underlying moduli space of the 7D theory. We study the attractor eqs of the 7D black branes by using the method of the criticality of the effective potential and also by using the extension of the so called 4D new attractor approach to 7D $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity. A comment, regarding a 6D/7D correspondence, along the line of Ceresole-Ferrara-Marrani used for 4D/5D [74], is made.

Key words: *11D M- theory on K3 and 7D N=2 supergravity, Attractor eqs and 7D black branes, Special hyperkahler geometry, 6D/7D correspondence.*

Contents

1 Introduction **3**

---

[*] h-saidi@fsr.ac.ma

2 Black attractors in 7D Supergravity **6**
2.1 Extremal 7D black attractors 6
2.2 Useful properties of $\boldsymbol{M}_{7D}^{N=2}$ 8
2.2.1 Metric of moduli space 8
2.2.2 Matrix formulation 11

3 Black hole and black 3-brane **15**
3.1 Extremal 7D black holes 16
3.1.1 Black hole potential 16
3.1.2 Criticality conditions 24
3.2 7D black 3- brane 27
3.2.1 Effective potential 28
3.2.2 Criticality conditions 29

4 Fields and fluxes in 7D supergravity **30**
4.1 11D gauge 3-form on K3 30
4.2 Two 7D $N = 2$ supersymmetric representations 32
4.2.1 Supergravity multiplet $\mathcal{G}_{7D,\mathcal{N}=2}$ 32
4.2.2 Abelian gauge supermultiplets 33

5 Deriving the $\{\Omega_a, \Omega_I\}$ basis of $H^2(K3, \mathbb{R})$ **34**
5.1 General on SKG of CY3 35
5.2 A special basis of $H_2(K3, R)$ 37
5.2.1 Supersymmetric representation constraints 38
5.2.2 The dual of $\{\Omega_a, \Omega_I\}$ 39
5.3 More on the basis $\{\Omega_a,\ \Omega_I\}$ 42
5.3.1 The isotriplet Ω_a 42
5.3.2 The 19- uplet Ω_I 44
5.3.3 Deformation tensor Ω_{aI}^b 47

6 SHG: the basic relations **48**
6.1 Fundamental relations 49
6.1.1 Gauge invariant constraint eqs 49
6.1.2 Inertial coordinate frame 53
6.2 Metric of the moduli space 56
6.2.1 Factorization of the metric g_{aIbJ} 56
6.2.2 Expression of g_{aIbJ} in terms of the vielbeins 57

7 New attractor approach in 7D 58
7.1 Further on criticality method 58
7.2 7D attractor eqs 63
7.2.1 Extending the new attractor approach to 7D 63
7.2.2 Solving the attractor eqs 66

8 Conclusion and discussion 67
8.1 6D/7D correspondence 69

9 Appendix 73

1 Introduction

The study of black attractors [1]-[4] in the framework of compactifications of 10D superstrings and 11D M- theory has been a subject of great interest. New classes of solutions to the attractor equations (AEs) corresponding to BPS and non-BPS horizon geometries have been obtained [6]-[17]; and many results regarding extremal BPS and non BPS black holes in 4D extended supergravity theories and higher dimensional space times have been derived both in the absence and in the presence of fluxes [18]-[30]; see also [63] and refs therein. Several features of special Kahler geometry (SKG) [31]-[41], governing the physics of extremal 4D black holes, have been uplifted to higher dimensions; in particular to 5D and 6D with the underlying special real (SRG) and special quaternionic[1] (SQG) geometries respectively [46]-[54].

In this paper, we contribute to this matter; in particular to the issue concerning the extremal 7D black attractors as well as to the special hyperKahler geometry[2] (SHG) underlying the physics of these extremal 7D black objects. More precisely, we study the BPS and non BPS black attractors in 7D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity embedded in 11D M-theory compactified on K3 by using both the criticality condition method as well as the so called "*new attractor*" approach introduced by Kallosh in the framework of 4D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity and which we generalize here to the 7D theory.

One of the key steps of this study is based on the use the $SO(3) \times SO(19)$ isotropy symmetry of the moduli space of K3

---

[1] In this paper, we will use the conventional notions: SRG, SKG, SHG, SQG. They should be put in one to one correspondences with the number of real scalars in the abelian vector multiplets of the non chiral $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric theory in 5D, 4D, 7D and 6D respectively.

[2] the *"special hyperkahler geometry"* (SHG) should be understood in the sense it has three Kahler 2-forms $\Omega_a = (\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Omega_3)$ with an $SO(3)$ symmetry.

$$\boldsymbol{M}_{7D}^{\mathcal{N}=2}=\frac{SO\left(3,19\right)}{SO\left(3\right)\times SO\left(19\right)}\times SO\left(1,1\right), \tag{1.1}$$

to build a real 22 dimensional *"Dalbeault like"* basis

$$\left\{\Omega_a,\Omega_I\right\}_{I=1,\ldots,19}^{a=1,2,3} \tag{1.2}$$

for the second real cohomology group $H^2\left(K3,R\right)$. The real 2- forms Ω_a and Ω_I transform respectively in the representations $(\underline{3},\underline{1})$ and $(\underline{1},\underline{19})$ of the $SO\left(3\right)\times SO\left(19\right)$ isotropy group of the moduli space $\boldsymbol{M}_{7D}^{N=2}$. The Ω_a and Ω_I may be compared with the complex $(1+h^{2,1})$ Dalbeault basis

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \Omega^{(3,0)} & , \quad \Omega_i^{(2,1)} & , & \\ \Omega^{(0,3)} & , \quad \Omega_i^{(1,2)} & , & \end{array} \qquad i=1,\ldots,n=h^{2,1}\ ,$$

of $H^3\left(CY3,R\right)$ used in the compactification of type IIB superstring on CY threefolds. With the $\{\Omega_a,\Omega_I\}$ basis at hand, we set up the fundamental relations of the SHG of eq(1.1). We also study the attractor equations for 7D black holes and black 3- branes. The solutions of these eqs are obtained in the two above mentioned ways namely by directly solving the critically conditions of the black brane potential and also by extending the Kallosh *new attractor* approach of 4D supergravity to the 7D supersymmetric theory.

Recall that in the case of extremal black hole (BH) in 4D $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity realized in terms of 10D type IIB superstring on Calabi-Yau threefolds, the BH effective scalar potential $\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{N=2}\left(z,\overline{z},q,p\right)=\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{N=2}$ is given by the following positive function,

$$\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{N=2}=e^{\mathcal{K}}\left(\left|\mathcal{Z}\right|^2+\sum_{i,j=1}^{n_v-1}\mathrm{g}^{i\overline{j}}\mathcal{Z}_i\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{\overline{j}}\right)\geq 0. \tag{1.3}$$

where $n_v=(1+h^{2,1})$ is the number of 1-form gauge fields. The function $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K}\left(z,\overline{z}\right)$ and $\mathrm{g}_{i\overline{j}}\sim\partial_i\partial_{\overline{j}}\mathcal{K}$ are respectively the Kahler potential and the metric of the moduli space $\boldsymbol{M}_{4D}^{\mathcal{N}=2}$ of the 4D supersymmetric theory. The function $\mathcal{Z}$ $(\overline{\mathcal{Z}})$ is the holomorphic (antiholomorphic) central charge ($\mathcal{N}=2$ superpotential) and $\mathcal{Z}_i=D_i\mathcal{Z}$ is the matter central charges given by the covariant derivative $\mathcal{Z}$ with respect to the Kahler transformations. The (geometric) charge $\mathcal{Z}$ and the matter ones $\mathcal{Z}_i$ are functions depending on the electric/magnetic charges of the black hole and the moduli z_i and $\overline{z}_{\overline{i}}$ parameterizing $\boldsymbol{M}_{4D}^{\mathcal{N}=2}$.

Using the basis $\{\Omega_a,\Omega_I\}$ and the fluxes of the 4-form field strength $\mathcal{F}_4$ through the 4-cycles $S_\infty^2\times\Psi^\Lambda$ with $\Psi^\Lambda\in H_2\left(K3,R\right)$ and the 2-sphere S_∞^2 in the 7D space time, we show, amongst others, that the 7D black hole (black 3-brane) potential reads as

$$\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{7d,N=2}=\sum_{a,b=1}^{3}K^{ab}\left(e^{2\sigma}\left[Z_aZ_b-\frac{1}{3}\sum_{I,J=1}^{n_v-3}g_{ab}^{IJ}Z_IZ_J\right]\right)\geq 0, \tag{1.4}$$

where $n_v = b_2(K3) = 22$ is the number of Maxwell gauge fields, σ is the dilaton parameterizing the $SO(1,1)$ factor of $\boldsymbol{M}_{7D}^{\mathcal{N}=2}$ and $g_{IJ}^{ab}(\phi)$ is the metric of the moduli space $\frac{SO(3,19)}{SO(3)\times SO(19)}$ with fixed value of the dilaton[3] ($d\sigma = 0$). The fundamental relations of the SHG of $\boldsymbol{M}_{7D}^{\mathcal{N}=2}$ are given by

$$\begin{array}{lllll} \mathcal{K}_{ab} & = & \int_{K3}\Omega_a\wedge\Omega_b & , & a,b=1,2,3 \\ \mathcal{K}_{IJ} & = & \int_{K3}\Omega_I\wedge\Omega_J & , & \\ G_{aIbJ} & = & \int_{K3}\left(D_{aI}\Omega^c\wedge D_{bJ}\Omega^d\right)K_{cd} & , & I,J=1,...,19 \end{array} \tag{1.5}$$

The field matrices $\mathcal{K}_{ab}(\sigma,\phi) = e^{-2\sigma}K_{ab}(\phi)$ and $\mathcal{K}_{IJ}(\sigma,\phi) = e^{-2\sigma}K_{ab}(\phi)$ are symmetric real matrices and the moduli space metric $G_{aIbJ}(\sigma,\phi) = e^{-2\sigma}g_{aIbJ}(\phi)$ with the remarkable factorization,

$$g_{aIbJ} = K_{IJ}\times K_{ab}, \tag{1.6}$$

and the flat limit $g_{aIbJ}\to\eta_{IJ}\times\delta_{ab} = -\delta_{IJ}\times\delta_{ab}$. Putting this relation back into (1.4), we can bring it to the remarkable form

$$\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{7D,N=2} = \left(\sum_{a,b=1}^{3}\mathcal{K}^{ab}Z_aZ_b + \sum_{I,J=1}^{n_v-3}G^{IJ}Z_IZ_J\right)\geq 0, \tag{1.7}$$

with $G^{IJ} = -\mathcal{K}^{IJ}$ and $\mathcal{K}^{ab}$ and $\mathcal{K}^{IJ}$ are as in eqs(1.5).

The functions $Z_a = Z_a(\phi,\sigma)$ and $Z_I = D_I^aZ_a$ are respectively the geometric and matter central charges in 7D $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity; they play a quite similar role to the $\mathcal{Z}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_i = D_i\mathcal{Z}$ of the 4D $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity theory. Notice that the expression of the effective potential $\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{7D,N=2}$ for general 7D $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity has been first considered by Cecotti, Ferrara and Girardello in [33]. In our present study, the eq(1.4) deals with 7D $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity *embedded* in 11D M- theory on K3 with K^{ab} and g_{ab}^{IJ} as in eqs(1.5); and concerns the geometric derivation of the 7D black hole (3-brane) attractor solutions associated with eq(1.1).

We also determine the attractor eqs for the extremal $7D$ black hole (3-brane) by extending the Kallosh attractor approach. In this set up, the attractor eqs read in terms of the dressed charges Z_a and Z_I, the $\{\Omega_a,\Omega_I\}$ basis and the matrix potentials $\mathcal{K}^{ab}$ and $\mathcal{K}^{IJ}$ (1.5) as follows,

$$\mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{K}^{ab}Z_a\Omega_b + \mathcal{K}^{IJ}Z_I\Omega_J, \tag{1.8}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_2$ is the real 2-form field strength given by $\mathcal{H}_2 = \sum_1^{22}p^\Lambda\alpha_\Lambda$ with p^Λ being integers and $\{\alpha_\Lambda\}$ defining the Hodge basis of $H^2(K3,\mathbb{R})$. By integration of this relation over the 2-cycles $\Psi^\Lambda\in H_2(K3,R)$, dual to $\{\alpha_\Lambda\}$, we get the explicit expression form of the attractor eqs.

---

[3] Due to the factorization of the moduli space of the 7D theory, the dependence in the dilaton appears as a multiplicative global factor.

The organization of this paper is as follows: In section 2, we give some useful materials regarding extremal 7D black attractors and the parametrization of the moduli space (1.1) In section 3, we study the 7D black hole and the 7D black 3-brane by first deriving the criticality conditions of the effective potential and then solving the corresponding attractor eqs. In section 4, we analyze some useful features of fields and fluxes in 7D $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity embedded in 11D M- theory on K3; in particular the issue regarding the gauge fields and matter representations with respect to 7D $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetry as well as the $SO(3) \times SO(19)$ isotropy symmetry of the moduli space (1.1). In section 5, we derive the basis $\{\Omega_a, \Omega_I\}$ by using physical arguments and describe the deformation tensor $\Omega_{aI}^{b} = D_{aI}\Omega^{b}$ of the metric of K3. In section 6, we derive the fundamental relations of the special "hyperkahler" geometry of 11D M- theory on K3. In section 7, we develop the new attractor approach for the case of 7D $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity embedded in 11D M-theory on K3; and rederive the attractor eqs of the 7D black hole and black 3- brane. In section 8, we give a conclusion and make a discussion on 6D/7D correspondence along the field theoretical line of Ceresole-Ferrara-Marrani used in [74] to deal with the 4D/5D correspondence. In the appendix, we revisit the fundamental relations SKG of 4D $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity. This appendix completes the analysis of sub-section 5.1 and allows to make formal analogies with the SHG relation underlying 7D theory.

2 Black attractors in 7D Supergravity

We start by giving useful generalities on the various kinds of the extremal 7D black attractors in $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity theory. Then we describe the parametrization of the moduli space $\boldsymbol{M}_{7D}^{N=2}$. This step is important for the field theoretic derivation of the $H^{2}(K3, R)$ basis $\{\Omega_a, \Omega_I\}$ to be considered in section 5.

2.1 Extremal 7D black attractors

Generally speaking, there are different kinds of extended supergravity theories in 7D space time [55]-[59]; the most familiar ones [55] have $2 \times 2^{3} = 8 + 8$ conserved supersymmetric charges captured by two real *eight components* $SO(1,6)$ spinors Q_{α}^{1} and Q_{α}^{2} that are rotated under the $USP(2,R)$ automorphism group of the underlying 7D $\mathcal{N}=2$ superalgebra. A particular class of these theories is given by the compactifications of 10D superstrings and 11D M-theory. There, the matter fields have an interpretation in terms of the coordinates of the moduli space of the compactified theory. Below, we will focus our attention mainly on the 7D $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity *embedded* 11D M-theory on K3 with a moduli space given by eq(1.1). Like in the case of black holes in 4D and 5D dimensions, the 7D effective theory[4] has also extremal BPS and non BPS black attractors that we want to study here.

From the view of the field theory set up, we generally consider the 7D extremal black attractors that are static, spherically and asymptotically flat background solutions of 7D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity. These solutions breaks half ($\frac{1}{2}$BPS) or the total *sixteen* supersymmetric charges.

In this case, we distinguish four basic kinds of extremal 7D *black p-brane* attractors related amongst others by the usual electric/magnetic duality captured by the identity,

$$p + p' = 3. \tag{2.1}$$

These *black p-branes*, which may be BPS or non BPS states, are classified as follows:

(**1**) a magnetic 7D black hole, (0-brane) with 22 magnetic charges $\{p_\Lambda\}$,
(**2**) an magnetic 7D black string, (1-brane), with a magnetic charge g_0,
(**3**) a electric 7D black membrane, (2-brane) with an electric charge q_0,
(**4**) an electric 7D black 3- brane, (3-brane) with 22 electric charges $\{q_\Lambda\}$.

These asymptotically flat, static and spherical black p-branes have also near horizons geometries given by the product of AdS_{p+2} with the real sphere S^{5-p},

$$AdS_{p+2} \times S^{5-p} \qquad \text{with} \qquad p = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4. \tag{2.2}$$

Below we shall mainly deal with the magnetic 7D black hole and its dual electric 7D black 3-brane. As we will see later on, these two solutions can be elegantly embedded in M-theory compactification on K3.

The magnetic F-string and its dual electric black membrane can be also considered in the M-theory framework. They correspond respectively to M5 wrapping K3 (4-cycle) and M2 filling two space directions in the 7D space time (0-cycle in K3).

As noticed above, the extremal 7D black hole and 7D black 3-brane attractors have either electric charges $\{q_\Lambda\}$ or magnetic charges $\{p_\Lambda\}$. These charges stabilize the static moduli at horizon of the attractor.

$$\varphi^m = \varphi^m \left(r_h, p_\Lambda\right), \qquad m = 1, ..., 58, \tag{2.3}$$

where r stands for the radial coordinate of the 7D space time and r_h is the horizon radius: $r_h \equiv r_{horizon}$. The relation (2.3) follows as the solution of the attractor eqs given by the minimization of the effective attractor potential (1.4) or also by using eq(1.8).

---

[4] More precisely, the correspondence is as $4D \leftrightarrow 6D$ and $5D \leftrightarrow 7D$. The first ones have dyonic attractors, the second ones haven't.

2.2 Useful properties of $\boldsymbol{M}_{7D}^{N=2}$

We first describe the self couplings of the scalars of the 7D $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity. Then, we make comments regarding the matrix parametrization of the moduli space $\boldsymbol{M}_{7D}^{N=2}$. These properties are useful to fix the ideas and they are also relevant for the analysis to be developed in sections 5, 6 and 7.

2.2.1 Metric of moduli space

In eq(2.3), the *fifty eight* field variables $\varphi^m(x) = \varphi^m(x_0, ..., x_6)$ with $m = 1, ..., 58$, are the real scalar fields of the 7D $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity embedded in 11D M-theory on K3. At the level of the supergravity component fields Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}_{7D}^{\mathcal{N}=2}$, these 7D scalar fields have typical self interactions involving the space time field derivatives $(\partial_\mu \varphi^m)$. These interactions appear in $\mathcal{L}_{7D}^{\mathcal{N}=2}$ as follows,

$$\mathcal{L}_{7D}^{\mathcal{N}=2} = -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-\mathrm{G}}\mathcal{R} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mu,\nu=0}^{6} \sqrt{-\mathrm{G}}\mathrm{G}^{\mu\nu} \left(\sum_{n,m=1}^{58} G_{mn}[\varphi]\, \partial_\mu \varphi^m(x)\, \partial_\nu \varphi^n(x) \right) + ... \tag{2.4}$$

In this relation, the 7×7 real matrix $\mathrm{G}_{\mu\nu}(x)$ is the metric of the 7D space time with scalar curvature $\mathcal{R}$; and the 58×58 real matrix $G_{mn}[\varphi]$ is the metric of the moduli space $\boldsymbol{M}_{7D}^{N=2}$ of the 11D M-theory on K3.

The field variables φ^m can be then imagined as real local coordinates of the moduli space $\boldsymbol{M}_{7D}^{N=2}$ and the local field coupling G_{mn} as the symmetric metric of $\boldsymbol{M}_{7D}^{N=2}$,

$$dl^2 = \sum_{m,n=1}^{58} G_{mn} d\varphi^m d\varphi^n, \tag{2.5}$$

with $d\varphi^m = dx^\mu (\partial_\mu \varphi^m)$ and $G_{mn} = G_{mn}(\varphi)$. Like in the case of the 4D $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity theory embedded in 10D type IIB superstring on CY3s, it happens that the specific properties of the field metric,

$$G_{mn} = G_{mn}[\varphi(x)], \tag{2.6}$$

play also an important role in the study of BPS and non BPS 7D black attractors. It is then interesting to give some useful properties regarding this metric and the way it may be handled.

First, notice that because of the factorization property of the moduli space $\boldsymbol{M}_{7D}^{N=2}$

$$\begin{array}{lcll} \boldsymbol{M}_{7D}^{N=2} & = & G_0 \times (G/H) & , \\ G_0 & = & SO(1,1) & , \\ G & = & SO(3,19) & , \\ H & = & H_1 \times H_2 & , \end{array} \tag{2.7}$$

and because of the isotropy symmetry of $\boldsymbol{M}_{7D}^{N=2}$

$$H_1 \times H_2 = SO\left(3\right) \times SO\left(19\right), \tag{2.8}$$

it is convenient to split the *58* local coordinates φ^m, in $SO\left(3\right) \times SO\left(19\right)$ representations, like

$$\varphi^m = \left(\sigma, \phi^{aI}\right), \qquad a = 1,2,3; \qquad I = 1,...,19, \tag{2.9}$$

where (aI) is a double index. In this splitting, the dilaton σ is an isosinglet of $SO\left(3\right) \times SO\left(19\right)$; it will be put aside. The ϕ^{aI}'s are in the $(\underline{3}, \underline{19})$ bi-fundamental, ϕ_a^I in $(\underline{3}^t, \underline{19})$ and so on; they will be discussed below.
Notice also that in the coordinate frame (2.9), the length element dl^2 (2.5) reads as follows

$$dl^2 = G_{\sigma\sigma} d\sigma d\sigma + 2G_{\sigma(aI)} d\sigma d\phi^{aI} + G_{(aI)(bJ)} d\phi^{aI} d\phi^{bJ}, \tag{2.10}$$

and the local field metric tensor G_{mn} decomposes like

$$G_{mn} = \begin{pmatrix} G_{\sigma\sigma} & G_{\sigma(bJ)} \\ G_{(aI)\sigma} & G_{(aI)(bJ)} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.11}$$

We will see later on that the $G_{\sigma\sigma}$, $G_{(aI)\sigma}$ and $G_{(aI)(bJ)}$ component fields of the metric read as

$$\begin{array}{lcll} G_{\sigma\sigma} & = & e^{-2\sigma} & , \\ G_{\sigma(bJ)} & = & G_{(aI)\sigma} = 0 & , \\ G_{(aI)(bJ)} & = & e^{-2\sigma} g_{(aI)(bJ)} & , \end{array} \tag{2.12}$$

where the 57×57 real matrix $g_{(aI)(bJ)}$ is a function of the field coordinates ϕ^{aI},

$$g_{(aI)(bJ)} = g_{(aI)(bJ)}\left(\phi\right). \tag{2.13}$$

To deal to the metric tensor of $\frac{SO(3,19)}{SO(3)\times SO(19)}$, we will also use the following relations

$$\begin{array}{ll} g_{IJ}^{ab} = K^{ac} K^{ad} g_{(cI)(dJ)} & , \\ g_{ab}^{IJ} = K^{IK} K^{JL} g_{(aK)(bL)} & , \end{array} \tag{2.14}$$

they will be rederived rigourously later on,. In these relations, the symmetric matrices K^{ab} and K^{IK} appear then as field metric tensors to rise and lower the corresponding indices. For simplicity, we will drop out the brackets for the bi-fundamentals (aI), (bJ); and write $g_{(aI)(bJ)}$ simply as g_{aIbJ}.
One of the remarkable results to be derived in this paper is that the metric tensor g_{IJ}^{ab} of $\frac{SO(3,19)}{SO(3)\times SO(19)}$ factorizes as

$$g_{IJ}^{ab} \sim K^{ab} \times K_{IJ}, \tag{2.15}$$

where K_{ab} and K_{IJ} are as in eqs(1.5).
Notice moreover that performing a general coordinate transformation from a curved coordinate frame $\{\phi^m\}$ to an inertial one $\{\xi^{\underline{m}}\}$;

$$\phi^m \qquad \rightarrow \qquad \xi^{\underline{m}}(\phi)\,, \qquad m = aI, \tag{2.16}$$

and putting back into eq(2.10), we can usually rewrite the local field metric (2.6) as

$$\begin{array}{rcl} g_{mn}(\phi) & = & \sum\limits_{k,l=1}^{57} \eta_{\underline{kl}}(\xi) \left(\frac{\partial \xi^{\underline{k}}}{\partial \phi^m}\right) \left(\frac{d \xi^{\underline{l}}}{\partial \phi^n}\right) \qquad , \\ \eta_{\underline{kl}}(\xi) & = & \sum\limits_{m,n=1}^{57} g_{mn}(\phi) \left(\frac{\partial \phi^m}{\partial \xi^{\underline{k}}}\right) \left(\frac{\partial \phi^n}{d \xi^{\underline{l}}}\right) \quad , \end{array} \tag{2.17}$$

or equivalently like

$$\begin{array}{rclcl} G_{mn}(\phi) & = & \sum\limits_{\underline{i},\underline{j}=1}^{57} E^{\underline{i}}_m E^{\underline{j}}_n \eta_{\underline{ij}} & , & E^{\underline{i}} = \sum\limits_{m=1}^{57} E^{\underline{i}}_m d\varphi^m \quad , \\ \eta_{\underline{ij}}(\xi) & = & \sum\limits_{m,n=1}^{57} E^m_{\underline{i}} E^n_{\underline{j}} G_{mn} & , & E^m = \sum\limits_{\underline{i}=1}^{57} E^m_{\underline{i}} d\xi^{\underline{i}} \quad , \end{array} \tag{2.18}$$

where $E^{\underline{i}}_m = E^{\underline{i}}_m(\varphi, \xi)$ is the vielbein with the usual properties; in particular

$$\sum_{\underline{i}=1}^{57} E^{\underline{i}}_m E^n_{\underline{i}} = \delta^n_m, \qquad \sum_{m=1}^{57} E^m_{\underline{i}} E^{\underline{j}}_m = \delta^{\underline{j}}_{\underline{i}}. \tag{2.19}$$

Below, we shall think about the inertial coordinate frame $\{\xi^{\underline{m}}\}$ as the local coordinate of the tangent flat space $R^{3,19}$ and about $\eta_{\underline{mn}}$ as the corresponding flat metric

$$\eta_{\underline{mn}} = \begin{pmatrix} +\delta_{\underline{ab}} & 0_{3\times 19} \\ 0_{19\times 3} & -\delta_{\underline{IJ}} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.20}$$

The factorization (2.18) can be also done for the metric g_{aIbJ} and its inverse g^{cKdL}. We have

$$\begin{array}{rcll} g_{aIbJ} & = & \eta_{\underline{cd}}\; E^{\underline{cK}}_{aI}\; E^{\underline{dL}}_{bJ}\; \eta_{\underline{KL}} & , \\ g_{aIbJ}\; g^{cKdL} & = & \delta^c_a\; \delta^d_b\; \delta^K_I\; \delta^L_J & , \\ g^{cKdL} & = & \eta^{\underline{cd}}\; E^{cK}_{\underline{cd}}\; E^{dL}_{\underline{KL}}\; \eta^{\underline{KL}} & , \\ E^{\underline{cK}}_{aI}\; E^{bJ}_{\underline{cK}} & = & \delta^b_a\; \delta^J_I & , \\ E^{cK}_{\underline{aI}}\; E^{\underline{bJ}}_{cK} & = & \delta^{\underline{b}}_{\underline{a}}\; \delta^{\underline{J}}_{\underline{I}} & , \end{array} \tag{2.21}$$

with

$$E^{\underline{cK}}_{aI} = E^{\underline{cK}}_{aI}(\phi, \xi)\,, \qquad \phi \equiv \left(\phi^{bJ}\right), \qquad \xi \equiv \left(\xi^{\underline{bJ}}\right), \tag{2.22}$$

and (aI) (resp. $(\underline{aI})$) referring to the curved (resp. inertial) coordinate indices and $E_{aI}^{\underline{cK}}$ to the vielbein linking the two frames.

Moreover, because of the $SO\left(3\right)\times SO\left(19\right)$ isotropy symmetry of $\boldsymbol{M}_{7D}^{\mathcal{N}=2}$, it also useful to introduce the *"small"* vielbeins $e_{a}^{\underline{c}}$, $e_{I}^{\underline{K}}$ and their inverses,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} e_{a}^{\underline{c}}e_{\underline{c}}^{b}=\delta_{a}^{b} & , & e_{\underline{a}}^{c}e_{c}^{\underline{b}}=\delta_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{b}} & , & e_{a}^{\underline{c}}=e_{a}^{\underline{c}}\left(\phi,\xi\right) \quad , \\ & & & & \\ e_{I}^{\underline{K}}e_{\underline{K}}^{J}=\delta_{I}^{J} & , & e_{\underline{I}}^{K}e_{K}^{\underline{J}}=\delta_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{J}} & , & e_{I}^{\underline{K}}=e_{I}^{\underline{K}}\left(\phi,\xi\right) \quad . \end{array} \tag{2.23}$$

With these $e_{a}^{\underline{c}}$ and $e_{I}^{\underline{K}}$ vielbeins, we can build new geometrical objects; in particular the following ones,

$$\begin{aligned} K_{ab} &= e_{a}^{\underline{c}}e_{b}^{\underline{d}}\eta_{\underline{ad}}, \qquad \eta_{\underline{ab}}=e_{\underline{a}}^{c}e_{\underline{b}}^{d}K_{cd}, \\ K_{IJ} &= e_{I}^{\underline{K}}e_{J}^{\underline{L}}\eta_{\underline{KL}}, \qquad \eta_{\underline{IJ}}=e_{\underline{I}}^{K}e_{\underline{J}}^{L}K_{KL}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

where K_{ab} and K_{IJ} are precisely the matrices used in eqs(2.15). All these relations will be rigourously rederived later on in the SHG set up.

2.2.2 Matrix formulation

In the above analysis, we have used *58* = *1*+*57* curved coordinates $\{\sigma,\phi_{bJ}\}$ to parameterize the moduli space $SO\left(1,1\right)\times\frac{SO(3,19)}{SO(3)\times SO(19)}$. These *58* field coordinate variables are independent variables; but exhibit non linear interactions captured by the metric tensor G_{mn} of the moduli space.

A different, but equivalent, way to deal with the parametrization of $\boldsymbol{M}_{7D}^{N=2}$ is to consider a constrained linear matrix formulation. This formulation is useful in the analysis of the criticality conditions of the 7D black attractor potential and in the study SHG of the moduli space vacua of 7D $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity. Let us give some details on this approach.

The idea of the matrix formulation is based on siting in a local patch $\mathcal{U}$ of the curved moduli space $\boldsymbol{M}_{7D}^{N=2}$, do the calculations we need; and then use general coordinate transformations (2.16) to cover $\boldsymbol{M}_{7D}^{N=2}$.

To begin, consider a local patch $\mathcal{U}$ of the group manifold $SO\left(1,1\right)\times SO\left(3,19\right)$ together with a real matrix $R=\ln M$ where,

$$M\in SO\left(1,1\right)\times SO\left(3,19\right). \tag{2.25}$$

The matrix R, or equivalently M, captures too much degrees of freedom as needed by $\boldsymbol{M}_{7D}^{N=2}$ since,

$$\dim\left[SO\left(1,1\right)\times SO\left(3,19\right)\right]=1+\frac{22\times21}{2}, \tag{2.26}$$

that is 232 is real degrees of freedom. The reduction of this number down to $1+57$ is ensured by gauging out the degrees of freedom associated with the isotropy sub-symmetry $SO(3)\times SO(19)\subset SO(3,19)$. This means that the matrix M should obey the identifications,

$$M\equiv \mathcal{O}^{t}M\mathcal{O}, \tag{2.27}$$

with $\mathcal{O}\in SO(3)\times SO(19)$.

(**a**) *constraint eqs*

Because of the property (2.7), the matrix M factorizes as the tensor product

$$M=P\otimes L \tag{2.28}$$

with

$$P\ \in\ SO(1,1)\quad\subset\quad End\left(\mathbb{R}^{1,1}\right) \tag{2.29}$$

and

$$L\ \in\ SO(3,19)\quad\subset\quad End\left(\mathbb{R}^{3,19}\right)\qquad. \tag{2.30}$$

The 2×2 real matrix P and the 22×22 real matrix L satisfy the orthogonality group relations,

$$P^{t}\eta_{2\times 2}P=\eta_{2\times 2}, \tag{2.31}$$

$$L^{t}\eta_{22\times 22}L=\eta_{22\times 22}, \tag{2.32}$$

where

$$\eta_{2\times 2}=diag\left(+1,-1\right)\qquad,\qquad\eta_{22\times 22}=diag\left(+++,-\cdots-\right) \tag{2.33}$$

are respectively the metric tensors of the flat $\mathbb{R}^{1,1}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{3,19}$ spaces.

(**b**) *solving eq*(2.31)

The orthogonality constraint equation $P^{t}\eta_{2\times 2}P=\eta_{2\times 2}$ is solved like

$$P\left(\sigma\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\cosh\sigma & \sinh\sigma\\ \sinh\sigma & \cosh\sigma\end{array}\right)=e^{\sigma J},\qquad\sigma\in\mathbb{R}, \tag{2.34}$$

with $\sigma J=\ln P$ and

$$J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1\\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right), \tag{2.35}$$

being the generator of $SO(1,1)$.

The condition $L^{t}\eta L=\eta$ and the $SO(3)\times SO(19)$ isotropy symmetry require however more analysis. Below, we give details

(**c**) *solving the condition* (2.32)

First notice that the *the condition* $L^{t}\eta L=\eta$ on the matrix $L_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\Sigma}$ can be interpreted in terms of invariance of vector norms in $\mathbb{R}^{3,19}$. The matrix $L_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{\Sigma}}$ rotates real vectors $\mathrm{v}^{\underline{\Upsilon}}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{3,19}$,

$$L_{\underline{\Upsilon}}^{\underline{\Sigma}} : \mathrm{v}^{\underline{\Upsilon}} \in \mathbb{R}^{3,19} \qquad \rightarrow \qquad L_{\underline{\Upsilon}}^{\underline{\Sigma}} \mathrm{v}^{\underline{\Upsilon}} \in \mathbb{R}^{3,19} \tag{2.36}$$

Invariance of the norm $\left\|\mathrm{v}^{\underline{\Upsilon}}\right\|$ requires the condition (2.32); i.e $L \in SO\left(3,19\right)$.
Then, we use the $(3,19)$ signature of the $\mathbb{R}^{3,19}$ space to decompose the real matrix L as follows

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}, \qquad L^t = \begin{pmatrix} A^t & C^t \\ B^t & D^t \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.37}$$

with A (A^t) and D (D^t) being respectively 3×3 and 19×19 *invertible* square matrices ($\det A \det D \neq 0$); while B (C^t) and C (B^t) are 3×19 and 19×3 rectangular matrices (bi-fundamentals).
Next, we put (2.37) back into $L^t \eta L = \eta$ to end with the following constraint eqs on the sub-matrices A, B, C and D:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} A^t B = C^t D & , & B^t A = D^t C \quad , \\ C^t C = A^t A - I_3 & , & B^t B = D^t D - I_{19} \quad , \end{array} \tag{2.38}$$

where I_d stands for identity matrix in *d- dimensions.*
Observe that these constraint relations are invariant under transposition since

$$\left(L^t \eta L\right)^t = L^t \eta L, \qquad \eta^t = \eta. \tag{2.39}$$

The constraint eq(2.32) and eqs(2.38) capture then

$$\frac{22 \times 23}{2} = 243, \tag{2.40}$$

conditions restricting the initial 484 initial number of degrees of freedom down to

$$484 - 253 = 231 = \dim SO\left(3,19\right). \tag{2.41}$$

In the language of $SO\left(3,19\right)$ group representations, the matrix L corresponds to the reducible representation $\underline{22} \times \underline{22}^t$ which decomposes as

$$\underline{22} \times \underline{22}^t = \left[\underline{22} \times \underline{22}^t\right]_s \oplus \left[\underline{22} \times \underline{22}^t\right]_a. \tag{2.42}$$

The constraint relation $L^t \eta L = \eta$ corresponds to setting the *symmetric* part as in eqs(2.38). The latter may be solved in different manners. A particular way to do it is to choose the matrices A and D as follows

$$\begin{array}{lll} A & = \lambda I_3 \quad , & \lambda = \sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{\alpha^2}{3}\right)} \quad , \\ D & = \varrho I_{19} \quad , & \varrho = \sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{\alpha^2}{19}\right)} \quad , \end{array} \tag{2.43}$$

where α is a non zero real number to be identified as the norm of B. Then solve the constraint eqs(2.38) as follows:

$$C^t = \frac{\lambda}{\varrho} B = \sqrt{\frac{19(3+\alpha^2)}{3(19+\alpha^2)}} B \qquad , \qquad Tr\left(B^t B\right) = \alpha^2. \tag{2.44}$$

From this solution, we see that the degrees of freedom of the sub-matrices A, C and D are completely expressed in terms of those *57* degree of freedom captured by B.

(**d**) *Gauging out* $SO(3) \times SO(19)$ *isotropy*

To get the appropriate constraint relations that fix the $SO(3) \times SO(19)$ isotropy symmetry of the moduli space, it is interesting to use the $(3, 19)$ signature of $\mathbb{R}^{3,19}$ to decompose the $SO(3, 19)$ vectors

$$\underline{22} = (\underline{3}, \underline{1}) \oplus (\underline{1}, \underline{19}) \qquad , \qquad \underline{22}^t = \left(\underline{3}^t, \underline{1}\right) \oplus \left(\underline{1}, \underline{19}^t\right). \tag{2.45}$$

Then, compute the two terms of eq(2.42). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\underline{22} \times \underline{22}^t\right]_s &= \left(\left[\underline{3} \times \underline{3}^t\right]_s, \underline{1}\right) \oplus \left(\underline{1}, \left[\underline{19} \times \underline{19}^t\right]_s\right) \\ &\quad \oplus \left[\left(\underline{3}\times, \underline{19}^t\right) \oplus \left(\underline{3}^t, \underline{19}\right)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{2.46}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\underline{22} \times \underline{22}^t\right]_a &= \left(\left[\underline{3} \times \underline{3}^t\right]_a, \underline{1}\right) \oplus \left(\underline{1}, \left[\underline{19} \times \underline{19}^t\right]_a\right) \\ &\quad \oplus \left[\left(\underline{3}\times, \underline{19}^t\right) \ominus \left(3^t, \underline{19}\right)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.47}$$

In this set up, the constraint eqs(2.38) and (2.27) split as follows

$$\begin{array}{lcll} \left[\underline{3} \times \underline{3}^t\right]_s & \longrightarrow & \text{identiy } \lambda I_3 & , \\ \left[19 \times \underline{19}^t\right]_s & \longrightarrow & \text{identiy } \varrho I_{19} & , \end{array} \tag{2.48}$$

and

$$\left(\underline{3}, \underline{19}^t\right) \equiv \left[\left(\underline{3}^t, \underline{19}\right)\right]^t. \tag{2.49}$$

Notice in passing that the $SO(3) \times SO(19)$ isotropy symmetry can be usually used to set

$$\begin{array}{lcll} \left[\underline{3} \times \underline{3}^t\right]_a & \longrightarrow & 0 & , \\ \left[\underline{19} \times \underline{19}^t\right]_a & \longrightarrow & 0 & . \end{array} \tag{2.50}$$

Eqs(2.50) reduce the previous $231 = \dim SO(3, 19)$ number of degrees of freedom down to

$$231 - \dim SO(3) - \dim SO(19), \tag{2.51}$$

that is $231 - 3 - 171 = 57$.

To conclude this section, notice that a typical matrix M of the coset $SO\left(1,1\right)\times\frac{SO(3,19)}{SO(3)\times SO(19)}$ can be usually put in the form[5]

$$M_{\underline{\Lambda\Sigma}}\left(\sigma,\xi\right) = e^{-\sigma}L_{\underline{\Lambda\Sigma}}\left(\xi\right). \tag{2.52}$$

where σ stands for the dilaton. The matrix $L_{\underline{\Lambda\Sigma}}\left(\xi\right)$ obeys the orthogonality constraint eq(2.32) and gauge symmetries under $SO\left(3\right)\times SO\left(19\right)$ transformations.

Two ways to deal with these constraints:

(**i**) solve the constraint eqs as we have done here above to find at the end that the propagating degrees of freedom captured by $L_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{\Sigma}}$ are given by

$$L_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{\Sigma}} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda I_3 & \varrho \mathrm{B} \\ \lambda \mathrm{B}^t & \varrho I_{19} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.53}$$

with λ and ϱ as in eqs(2.43-2.44). This way of doing is interesting from the view that it allows to fix the ideas; it will be also used later on to motivate the basis $\left\{\Omega_a,\Omega_I\right\}$ (1.2) for the second real cohomology of K3. As we will see in section 5, the field moduli captured by eq(2.53) can be interpreted as the periods,

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} \lambda\ \eta_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{b}} & \sim & \int_{B^{\underline{b}}}\Omega_{\underline{a}} & , & \lambda\ \xi_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{I}} & \sim & \int_{B^{\underline{I}}}\Omega_{\underline{a}} & , \\ \varrho\ \eta_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{J}} & \sim & \int_{B^{\underline{J}}}\Omega_{\underline{I}} & , & \varrho\ \xi_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{a}} & \sim & \int_{B^{\underline{a}}}\Omega_{\underline{I}} & , \end{array} \tag{2.54}$$

where the 2-cycle basis $\left\{B^{\underline{b}},B^{\underline{J}}\right\}$ is the dual of $\left\{\Omega_a,\Omega_I\right\}$. The symbols $\eta_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{b}}$ and $\eta_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{J}}$ designate respectively the 3×3 and 19×19 identity matrices; i.e $\eta_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{b}} = \delta_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{b}}$, $\eta_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{J}} = \delta_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{J}}$.

(**ii**) use a manifestly matrix formulation based on the matrix $L_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{\Sigma}} = \left(L_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{\Sigma}},L_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{\Sigma}}\right)$ constrained as

$$\begin{array}{llcll} \eta_{\underline{\Lambda\Sigma}}L_{\underline{c}}^{\underline{\Lambda}}L_{\underline{d}}^{\underline{\Sigma}} & = \eta_{\underline{cd}} & , & L_{\underline{c}}^{\underline{\Lambda}} & \equiv U_{\underline{c}}^{\underline{d}}L_{\underline{d}}^{\underline{\Lambda}} \quad , \\ \eta_{\underline{\Lambda\Sigma}}L_{\underline{K}}^{\underline{\Lambda}}L_{\underline{L}}^{\underline{\Sigma}} & = \eta_{\underline{KL}} & , & L_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{\Lambda}} & \equiv U_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{J}}L_{\underline{J}}^{\underline{\Lambda}} \quad , \end{array} \tag{2.55}$$

but without solving the constraints explicitly. These constraint eqs will be fulfilled by requiring full gauge invariance at the level of physical observables. This way of doing is powerful; we will use it in what follows to study the extremal 7D black attractors.

3 Black hole and black 3-brane

In this section, we first study explicitly the BPS and non BPS black holes in $\mathcal{N}=2$ 7D supergravity theory. Then, we give the key relations for their dual 7D BPS and non BPS black 3-branes.

---

[5] Notice that the factorization $M\left(\sigma,\xi\right) = e^{-\sigma}L\left(\xi\right)$ takes regular values for σ finite and is singular for $\sigma\rightarrow\infty$. This difficulty will be avoided by restricting the analysis to σ finite.

3.1 Extremal 7D black holes

In the 11D M-theory set up, 7D black holes are realized by wrapping a M2 brane on the 2- cycles of K3. Since $\dim H^2(K3,\mathbb{R}) = b_2(K3) = 22$, the 7D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity has $U^{22}(1)$ abelian gauge symmetry and the black hole has *22* magnetic charges $p^\Lambda = (p^1, ..., p^{22})$; but no electric charges q_Λ.
The magnetic charges $\{p^\Lambda\}$ are given by the integral of the real 4-form flux density $\mathcal{F}_4$ through the 4- cycles basis $S^2_\infty \times \Psi^\Lambda$,

$$p^\Lambda = \int_{S^2_\infty} \left(\int_{\Psi^\Lambda} \mathcal{F}_4 \right), \qquad \Lambda = 1, ..., 22. \tag{3.1}$$

In this relation, the real 4- form $\mathcal{F}_4$ is the gauge invariant field strength associated the RR gauge field 3-form $\mathcal{C}_3$ of the M2 brane; i.e

$$\mathcal{F}_4 = d\mathcal{C}_3. \tag{3.2}$$

The 2- cycle basis $\{\Psi^\Lambda\}$ is a basis of 2- cycles of K3, dual to the Hodge 2-forms $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_\Lambda$, and the compact real surface S^2_∞ is a large radius 2- sphere contained in the 7D space time. For simplicity, we shall use the normalization

$$\int_{S^2_\infty} d^2 s = 1, \tag{3.3}$$

where the factor $\frac{1}{4\pi}$ has been absorbed in the measure d^2s. The field moduli φ^m_{h}, at the horizon $r = r_h$ of the the *static* and *spherical* 7D black hole attractor, are determined by the charges p^Λ of the black hole

$$\varphi^m_{\text{h}} \equiv \varphi^m \left(r_{\text{h}}, p^1, ..., p^{22} \right). \tag{3.4}$$

The explicit relation between φ^m_{h} and the charges $\{p^\Lambda\}$ can be determined by solving the criticality condition of the effective scalar potential eq(1.4); it will be given later on.

3.1.1 Black hole potential

Here, we give the explicit expression of the black hole potential in two coordinate frames of the moduli space. First in the inertial coordinate frame $\{\xi^{\underline{m}}\}$ where most of the calculations will be done. Then, we give the results in the curved frame $\{\varphi^m\}$ by using general coordinates transformations on the moduli space.

(1) ***Inertial frame***

In the inertial coordinates frame[6] $\left\{\xi^{\underline{m}} = \left(\xi^0, \xi^{\underline{aI}}\right)\right\}$ of $\boldsymbol{M}_{7D}^{\mathcal{N}=2}$, the black hole effective potential is given by the simple relation,

$$\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{7d,N=2} = \sum_{a=1}^{3} \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{a}}\mathcal{Z}^{\underline{a}} + \sum_{I=1}^{19} \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{I}}\mathcal{Z}^{\underline{I}}. \tag{3.5}$$

As required by supersymmetry, this function is a positive scalar potential induced by the central charges $\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{a}}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{I}}$ of the 7D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theory. The central charges $\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{a}}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{I}}$ are real functions on moduli space,

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{a}} = \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{a}}\left(p_\Lambda, \xi^{\underline{m}}\right), \qquad \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{I}} = \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{I}}\left(p_\Lambda, \xi^{\underline{m}}\right), \tag{3.6}$$

describing respectively the "geometric" and "matter" dressed charges. Their explicit expression are given by the following dressed magnetic charges

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{Z}^{\underline{a}} & = \sum_{\Lambda=1}^{22} p^{\underline{\Lambda}}\mathcal{L}_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{a}} \quad , \\ \mathcal{Z}^{\underline{I}} & = \sum_{\Lambda=1}^{22} p^{\underline{\Lambda}}\mathcal{L}_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{I}} \quad . \end{array} \tag{3.7}$$

The underlined indices $\underline{\Lambda}$, $\underline{a}$ and $\underline{I}$ refer to the inertial (flat) coordinates frame $\{\xi\}$; they are lowered and raised by the respective flat metric tensors $\eta_{\underline{\Upsilon}\underline{F}}$, $\eta_{\underline{ab}}$ and $\eta_{\underline{IJ}}$ of the flat spaces $\mathbb{R}^{3,19}$, $\mathbb{R}^3$ and $\mathbb{R}^{0,19}$,

$$\eta_{\underline{\Upsilon}\underline{F}} = \eta_{\underline{ab}} \;\oplus\; \eta_{\underline{IJ}}, \qquad \eta_{\underline{ab}} = +\delta_{\underline{ab}}, \qquad \eta_{\underline{IJ}} = -\delta_{\underline{IJ}}. \tag{3.8}$$

In (3.7), the $\mathcal{L}_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{a}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{I}}$ are local field living on $\boldsymbol{M}_{7D}^{\mathcal{N}=2}$;

$$\mathcal{L}_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{a}} = \mathcal{L}_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{a}}\left(\sigma, \xi_{\underline{bJ}}\right), \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{I}} = \mathcal{L}_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{I}}\left(\sigma, \xi_{\underline{bJ}}\right), \tag{3.9}$$

with the factorization, (see *footnote 6*),

$$\begin{array}{lcll} \mathcal{L}_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{a}} = e^{-\sigma}L_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{a}} & , & L_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{a}} = L_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{a}}\left(\xi_{\underline{bJ}}\right) & , \\ \mathcal{L}_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{I}} = e^{-\sigma}L_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{I}} & , & L_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{I}} = L_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{I}}\left(\xi_{\underline{bJ}}\right) & , \end{array} \tag{3.10}$$

where the dependence in the dilaton is completely factorized as $e^{-\sigma}$. The fields $L_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{a}}$ and $L_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{I}}$ live mainly on the group manifold

$$\frac{SO\left(3,19\right)}{SO\left(3\right)\times SO\left(19\right)}, \tag{3.11}$$

---

[6] Because of the factorization of the moduli space $\boldsymbol{M}_{7D}^{N=2}$ as $\frac{SO(3,19)}{SO(3)\times SO(19)}$ times $SO\left(1,1\right)$, we will mainly deal with the first factor and thinking about ξ^0 as just the dilaton σ. The constraint eq coming from the factor $SO\left(1,1\right)$ does bring anything new; it will be solved as in eq(3.33) and implemented directly.

and capture *57* propagating degrees of freedom. These matrices should be thought of as the matrices L of eq(2.37) constrained as,

$$\begin{array}{lcll} L_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{\Upsilon}}\eta_{\underline{\Upsilon}\underline{F}}L_{\underline{b}}^{\underline{F}} & = & \eta_{\underline{ab}} & , \\ L_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{\Upsilon}}\eta_{\underline{\Upsilon}\underline{F}}L_{\underline{J}}^{\underline{F}} & = & 0 & , \\ L_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{\Upsilon}}\eta_{\underline{\Upsilon}\underline{F}}L_{\underline{J}}^{\underline{F}} & = & \eta_{\underline{IJ}} & . \end{array} \tag{3.12}$$

A representation of the tensors $L_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{\Upsilon}}$ and $L_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{\Upsilon}}$ in terms of the coordinates $\xi_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{I}}$, solving the above orthogonality constraint eqs, is given by (2.53).

(**a**) *Special properties of* $\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{7D,N=2}$

The black hole potential $\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{7d,N=2}$ and its constituents exhibit a set of remarkable features. We list below the useful ones:

(**i**) *isotropy symmetry*:

The dressed central charges $\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{a}}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{I}}$ behave as real vectors under the $SO\left(3\right)\times SO\left(19\right)$ gauge isotropy symmetry of the moduli space (4.19):

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{a}} \quad \sim \quad \left(\underline{3},\underline{1}\right), \qquad \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{I}} \quad \sim \quad \left(\underline{1},\underline{19}\right). \tag{3.13}$$

They are defined up to $SO\left(3\right)\times SO\left(19\right)$ gauge transformations,

$$\begin{array}{lcll} \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{a}} & \equiv & U_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{b}}\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{b}} & , \\ \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{I}} & \equiv & V_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{J}}\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{J}} & , \end{array} \tag{3.14}$$

where U and V are local orthogonal matrices; $U_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{b}} = U_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{b}}\left(\xi\right)$ and $V_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{J}} = V_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{J}}\left(\xi\right)$ with $U_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{c}}U_{\underline{c}}^{\underline{b}} = \delta_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{b}}$, and $V_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{K}}V_{\underline{K}}^{\underline{J}} = \delta_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{J}}$; they can be thought of as

$$\begin{array}{lcll} U\left(\xi\right) & = & \exp\left(\sum_{a=1}^{3}T^{\underline{a}}\theta_{\underline{a}}\left(\xi\right)\right) \quad \in SO\left(3\right) & , \\ V\left(\xi\right) & = & \exp\left[\sum_{I=1}^{3}L^{\underline{I}}\vartheta_{\underline{I}}\left(\xi\right)\right] \quad \in SO\left(19\right) & , \end{array} \tag{3.15}$$

where $\theta_{\underline{a}}\left(\xi\right)$ and $\vartheta_{\underline{I}}\left(\xi\right)$ are the gauge group parameters and $T^{\underline{a}}$ and $L^{\underline{I}}$ the generators of $SO\left(3\right)$ and $SO\left(19\right)$ respectively. In the case $T^{\underline{a}}$, we have the following coordinate realization,

$$T_{\underline{a}} \sim \varepsilon_{\underline{abc}}\eta^{\underline{bd}}\xi^{\underline{cI}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi^{\underline{dI}}}, \qquad \varepsilon_{\underline{abc}} = \tag{3.16}$$

where $\varepsilon_{\underline{abc}}$ is the usual 3d completely antisymmetric tensor. A quite similar relation can be written down for the $L_{\underline{I}}$ generators.

(**ii**) *dressed matter charges*

The geometric dressed charges $\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{a}}$ and the matter ones $\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{I}}$ are not completely independent. They are related to each others in a quite similar manner as in 4D $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity theory embedded in 10D type IIB superstring on CY3. In the 7D theory, the dressed charges $\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{a}}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{I}}$ are related as follows

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{I}} & =\eta^{\underline{ab}}D_{\underline{aI}}\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{b}} & , \\ D_{\underline{aI}} & =\partial_{\underline{aI}}-A_{\underline{aI}} & , \\ \partial_{\underline{aI}} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi^{\underline{aI}}} & , \end{array} \tag{3.17}$$

where the gauge connection

$$A_{\underline{aI}}=A_{\underline{aI}}\left(\xi\right) \tag{3.18}$$

is needed to compensate terms like $\eta^{\underline{ab}}U_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{c}}\left(\partial_{\underline{cI}}U_{\underline{b}}^{\underline{d}}\right)$ and $\eta^{\underline{IJ}}V_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{K}}\left(\partial_{\underline{aK}}V_{\underline{J}}^{\underline{K}}\right)$ arising from the gauge transformations (3.14).

Notice moreover that, using eq(3.17), we can rewrite the black hole potential as follows

$$\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{7D,N=2}=\sum_{a,b=1}^{3}\eta^{\underline{ab}}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{a}}\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{b}}-\sum_{c,d=1}^{3}\eta^{\underline{cd}}\left[\sum_{I,J=1}^{19}\eta^{\underline{IJ}}\left(D_{\underline{aI}}\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{b}}\right)\left(D_{\underline{cJ}}\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{d}}\right)\right]\right). \tag{3.19}$$

Clearly this expression is invariant under the gauge change (3.14) since $D_{\underline{aI}}\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{b}}$ transform in covariant manner. Using the following relation, which will be derived in section 5,

$$D_{\underline{aI}}\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{b}}=\frac{1}{3}\eta_{\underline{ab}}\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{I}}, \tag{3.20}$$

and putting back into eq(3.19) as well as using the identity

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{I}}=D_{\underline{cI}}\mathcal{Z}^{\underline{c}}. \tag{3.21}$$

we rediscover (3.5).

(**iii**) *gauge invariant $\mathcal{I}_{+}$: the Weinhold potential*

The existence of two kinds of dressed charges geometric and matter combined with the $SO\left(3\right)\times SO\left(19\right)$ isotropy symmetry induce an interesting property. We distinguish two kinds of gauge invariants,

$$\mathcal{I}_{1}=\eta^{\underline{ab}}\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{a}}\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{b}}\qquad,\qquad\mathcal{I}_{2}=\eta^{\underline{IJ}}\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{I}}\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{J}}=-\delta^{\underline{IJ}}\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{I}}\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{J}}\ , \tag{3.22}$$

or equivalently

$$\mathcal{I}_{\pm}=\mathcal{I}_{1}\mp\mathcal{I}_{2}. \tag{3.23}$$

The *Weinhold* potential $\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{7D,N=2}$ is one of these invariants namely $\mathcal{I}_{+}$. This is a positive number as required by supersymmetry. It is invariant under the $SO\left(3\right)\times SO\left(19\right)$ gauge symmetry (3.14).

The other gauge invariant $\mathcal{I}_{-}$, which reads as follows,

$$\sum_{a,b=1}^{3} \eta^{\underline{ab}} \left(\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{a}} \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{b}} + \sum_{c,d=1}^{3} \eta^{\underline{cd}} \left[\sum_{I,J=1}^{19} \eta^{\underline{IJ}} \left(D_{\underline{aI}} \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{b}} \right) \left(D_{\underline{cJ}} \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{d}} \right) \right] \right) = p^{2}, \tag{3.24}$$

has an indefinite sign and will be interpreted later on in terms of a gauge invariant constraint eq needed by the matrix formulation.

(**iv**) *behaviors of* $\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{7D,N=2}$

Using (3.33), the black hole effective potential (3.5) can be put in the remarkable factorization

$$\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{7D,N=2}\left(\sigma,\xi\right) = e^{-2\sigma} \mathcal{V}\left(\xi\right), \tag{3.25}$$

with $\mathcal{V}\left(\xi\right)$, having no dependence in σ, given by

$$\mathcal{V}\left(\xi\right) = \left(\sum_{a,b=1}^{3} \delta^{\underline{ab}} Z_{\underline{a}} Z_{\underline{b}} + \sum_{I,J=1}^{19} \delta^{\underline{IJ}} Z_{\underline{I}} Z_{\underline{J}} \right). \tag{3.26}$$

Notice that the potential $\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{7D,N=2}\left(\sigma,\xi\right)$ has a very special dependence on the dilaton σ. According to the values of this field, we distinguish the three following particular cases:

($\boldsymbol{\alpha}$) *case* $\sigma \rightarrow 0$:

For finite values of σ (*see also footnote 5*), say around $\sigma_0 = 0$, the behavior of the black hole potential is dominated by the factor $\mathcal{V}\left(\xi_{\underline{bJ}}\right)$; i.e

$$\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{7d,N=2}\left(\sigma,\xi\right) \sim \mathcal{V}\left(\xi\right). \tag{3.27}$$

($\boldsymbol{\beta}$) *case* $\sigma \rightarrow -\infty$:

In this case the behavior of the black hole potential is dominated by the factor $e^{+2|\sigma|}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{7d,N=2}$ could be approximated as follows

$$\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{7d,N=2}\left(\sigma,\xi\right) = \mathcal{V}_0 e^{+2|\sigma|}, \tag{3.28}$$

where $\mathcal{V}_0$ is some fixed value extremizing eq(3.26). In the 11D M-theory compactification set up, this case corresponds to a K3 manifold with large volume;

$$Vol\left(K3\right) \rightarrow \infty\ , \tag{3.29}$$

but small metric deformations.

($\boldsymbol{\gamma}$) *case* $\sigma \rightarrow +\infty$:

Here the behavior of the black hole potential is dominated by the factor $e^{-2|\sigma|}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{7d,N=2}$ might be approximated as follows

$$\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{7d,N=2}\left(\sigma,\xi\right) = e^{-2|\sigma|} \mathcal{V}_0. \tag{3.30}$$

This case corresponds to compactifying M-theory on a K3 manifold with small volume

$$Vol\left(K3\right)\rightarrow 0. \tag{3.31}$$

(**b**) *gauge invariant $\mathcal{I}_{-}$: the constraint eqs*

The constraint eqs(3.12) combine altogether as follows

$$\eta_{\underline{\Upsilon}\underline{\digamma}}L_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{\Upsilon}}L_{\underline{\Sigma}}^{\underline{\digamma}}=\delta_{\underline{ab}}L_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{a}}L_{\underline{\Sigma}}^{\underline{b}}-\delta_{\underline{IJ}}L_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{I}}L_{\underline{\Sigma}}^{\underline{J}}=\eta_{\underline{\Lambda\Sigma}}, \tag{3.32}$$

and show that $L_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{\Upsilon}}$ is not an arbitrary 22×22 matrix; but an orthogonal matrix of $SO\left(3,19\right)$. Eqs(3.32) fix the undesired degrees of freedom.

It turns out that these constraint relations are gauge invariant under the $SO\left(3\right)\times SO\left(19\right)$ isotropy symmetry. They also play an important role in the study of the criticality condition of 7D black hole and in the underlying "hyperKahler" special geometry.

Let us show how these constraints can be brought to the form $\mathcal{I}_{-}$ and how they are used in the solving of the criticality condition.

Multiplying both sides of (3.32) by the bare magnetic charges $p^{\underline{\Lambda}}$ and $p^{\underline{\Sigma}}$; then using eqs(3.7), which we rewrite as follow

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z^{\underline{a}}=p^{\underline{\Lambda}}L_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{a}}\left(\xi\right) & , & \mathcal{Z}^{\underline{a}}=e^{-\sigma}Z^{\underline{a}}\left(\xi\right)\quad , \\ Z^{\underline{I}}=p^{\underline{\Lambda}}L_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{I}}\left(\xi\right) & , & \mathcal{Z}^{\underline{I}}=e^{-\sigma}Z^{\underline{I}}\left(\xi\right)\quad , \end{array} \tag{3.33}$$

we obtain the following remarkable relation between the dressed charges

$$\sum_{a,b=1}^{3}\delta^{\underline{ab}}Z_{\underline{a}}Z_{\underline{b}}-\sum_{I,J=1}^{19}\delta^{\underline{IJ}}Z_{\underline{I}}Z_{\underline{J}}=p^{2}, \tag{3.34}$$

with

$$p^{2}=\eta_{\underline{\Lambda\Sigma}}p^{\underline{\Lambda}}p^{\underline{\Sigma}}=\delta^{\underline{ab}}p_{\underline{a}}p_{\underline{b}}-\delta^{\underline{IJ}}p_{\underline{I}}p_{\underline{J}}. \tag{3.35}$$

Eq(3.34), which reads also as

$$p^{2}=e^{2\sigma}\mathcal{I}_{-}, \tag{3.36}$$

has no definite sign since it can be positive, zero or negative. It is manifestly gauge invariant.

There is two basic ways to deal with this constraint relation. The first way is to solve it as

$$\sum_{I,J=1}^{19}\delta^{\underline{IJ}}Z_{\underline{I}}Z_{\underline{J}}=-p^{2}+\sum_{a,b=1}^{3}\delta^{\underline{ab}}Z_{\underline{a}}Z_{\underline{b}}. \tag{3.37}$$

Then substitute back into eq(3.26) to end with the black hole potential factor

$$\mathcal{V}\left(\xi\right)=\left(-p^{2}+2\sum_{a,b=1}^{3}\delta^{\underline{ab}}Z_{\underline{a}}Z_{\underline{b}}\right). \tag{3.38}$$

Since from (3.37), we should have

$$-p^2+\sum_{a,b=1}^{3}\delta^{\underline{ab}}Z_{\underline{a}}Z_{\underline{b}}\geq 0 \tag{3.39}$$

then we have

$$\mathcal{V}\left(\xi\right)\geq\sum_{a,b=1}^{3}\delta^{\underline{ab}}Z_{\underline{a}}Z_{\underline{b}}\geq 0. \tag{3.40}$$

Moreover seen that $\delta\mathcal{V}\left(\xi\right)=2\sum\left(\delta^{\underline{ab}}Z_{\underline{a}}\delta Z_{\underline{b}}\right)$, the critical points of the black hole potential factor $\delta^{\underline{ab}}Z_{\underline{a}}Z_{\underline{b}}$ is completely controlled by the zeros of $\delta^{\underline{ab}}\left[Z_{\underline{a}}\delta Z_{\underline{b}}\right]$.

The second way to approach eq(3.34) is to keep it is; and use the Lagrange multiplier method to deal with it. The Lagrange multiplier method method as well as comments on the entropies for dual pairs of black attractors in 6D and 7D will be exposed in [61]. Expressing the variation of eq(3.34) as,

$$\sum_{a=1}^{3}Z^{\underline{a}}T_{\underline{a}}=\sum_{I=1}^{19}Z^{\underline{I}}T_{\underline{I}}, \tag{3.41}$$

where the metric $\delta^{\underline{ab}}$ and $\delta^{\underline{IJ}}$ have been used and where we have set $T_{\underline{a}}=\delta Z_{\underline{a}}$ and $T_{\underline{I}}=\delta Z_{\underline{I}}$, then we have the following results:

Theorem 1

Denoting by $T_{\underline{a}}=\delta Z_{\underline{a}}$ and $T_{\underline{I}}=\delta Z_{\underline{I}}$ as in eq(3.41), then:
the $SO\left(3\right)$ scalar $Z^{\underline{a}}T_{\underline{a}}=0$ if $Z^{\underline{I}}T_{\underline{I}}=0$; that is the $Z^{\underline{I}}$ and $T_{\underline{I}}$ are normal real vectors in R^{19}. This happens in particular for:
(i) *$Z_{\underline{I}}=0\ \ \forall\ I\in\mathcal{I}=\{1,...,19\}$ whatever the $T_{\underline{I}}$'s are,*
(ii) *$T_{\underline{I}}=0\ \ \forall\ I\in\mathcal{I}=\{1,...,19\}$ whatever the $Z_{\underline{I}}$'s are,*
(iii) *$Z_{\underline{I}}=0$ for $I\in\mathcal{J}\subset\mathcal{I}$ and $T_{\underline{I}}=0$ for $I\in\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{J}$.*

Inversely, the $SO\left(19\right)$ scalar $Z^{\underline{I}}T_{\underline{I}}=0$ if $Z^{\underline{a}}T_{\underline{a}}=0$, that is the $Z^{\underline{a}}$ and $T_{\underline{a}}$ are normal vectors in R^{3}. In particular:
(iv) *$Z_{\underline{a}}=0\ \ \forall\ a\in\mathcal{I}=\{1,2,3\}$ whatever the $T_{\underline{a}}$'s are,*
(v) *$T_{\underline{a}}=0\ \ \forall\ a\in\mathcal{I}=\{1,2,3\}$ whatever the $Z_{\underline{a}}$'s are,*
(vi) *$Z_{\underline{a}}=0$ for $I\in\mathcal{J}\subset\mathcal{I}$ and $T_{\underline{a}}=0$ for $I\in\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{J}$.*

Notice that the variation of $Z^{\underline{a}}Z_{\underline{a}}$ can be gauge covariantly expanded as

$$\sum_{a}\left(Z^{\underline{a}}\delta Z_{\underline{a}}\right)=\sum_{a,b,I}\left(Z^{\underline{a}}D_{\underline{bI}}Z_{\underline{a}}\right)\nabla\xi^{\underline{bI}}. \tag{3.42}$$

By using the identities (3.20-3.21), we can bring this variation to the form

$$\sum_{b=1}^{3}\left(Z_{\underline{b}}\delta Z^{\underline{b}}\right)=\sum_{I=1}^{19}Z_{\underline{I}}\nabla Z^{\underline{I}},\qquad \nabla Z^{\underline{I}}=\sum_{b=1}^{3}Z_{\underline{b}}\nabla\xi^{\underline{bI}},\tag{3.43}$$

or equivalently

$$\sum_{b=1}^{3}\left(Z_{\underline{b}}T^{\underline{b}}\right)=\sum_{b=1}^{3}Z_{\underline{b}}\left(\sum_{I=1}^{19}Z_{\underline{I}}\nabla\xi^{\underline{bI}}\right).\tag{3.44}$$

It follows from the two last relations the result:

Corollary 2
*(**i**) If* $Z_{\underline{a}}\neq 0\ \ \forall\ a\in\{1,2,3\}$ *and* $Z_{\underline{I}}=0\ \ \forall\ I\in\mathcal{I}=\{1,...,19\}$, *then* $T^{\underline{a}}=0\ \forall\ a$
*(**ii**) the potential factor* $\left(\sum_{a,b=1}^{3}\delta^{\underline{ab}}Z_{\underline{a}}Z_{\underline{b}}+\sum_{I,J=1}^{19}\delta^{\underline{IJ}}Z_{\underline{I}}Z_{\underline{J}}\right)$ *has extremals for:*
*(**α**)* $Z_{\underline{a}}=0\ \ \forall\ a\in\{1,2,3\}$*;* $\forall\ Z_{\underline{I}}$
*(**β**)* $Z_{\underline{I}}=0\ \ \forall\ I\in\{1,...,19\}$ *;* $\forall\ Z_{\underline{a}}$

(2) ***curved coordinates frame***

In the curved coordinates frame $\{\varphi^m\}=\left\{\varphi^0=\sigma;\phi^{aI}\right\}$, the curved space relations analogue of the above inertial frame ones are obtained, by using eqs(2.23), as follows:

$$\begin{array}{lllllll}Z_{\underline{a}} &=& e_{\underline{a}}^{c}Y_c & , & Y_c &=& e_c^{\underline{a}}Z_{\underline{a}} \quad ,\\ Z_{\underline{I}} &=& e_{\underline{I}}^{K}Y_K & , & Y_K &=& e_K^{\underline{I}}Z_{\underline{I}} \quad ,\end{array}\tag{3.45}$$

where

$$e_{\underline{a}}^{c}\ =\ e_{\underline{a}}^{c}\left(\xi,\phi\right)\qquad ,\qquad e_{\underline{I}}^{K}\ =\ e_{\underline{I}}^{K}\left(\xi,\phi\right)\quad ,\tag{3.46}$$

are the vielbeins introduced previously (2.23). They allow to move from the inertial frame to a generic curved one. Substituting the change (3.45) back into $\delta^{\underline{ab}}Z_{\underline{a}}Z_{\underline{b}}$ and $\delta^{\underline{IJ}}Z_{\underline{I}}Z_{\underline{J}}$, we get

$$\begin{array}{lll}\delta^{\underline{ab}}Z_{\underline{a}}Z_{\underline{b}} &=& \delta^{\underline{ab}}e_{\underline{a}}^{c}e_{\underline{b}}^{d}Y_cY_d \quad ,\\ &=& K^{cd}Y_cY_d \quad ,\end{array}\tag{3.47}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{lll}\delta^{\underline{IJ}}Z_{\underline{I}}Z_{\underline{J}} &=& \delta^{\underline{ab}}e_{\underline{I}}^{K}e_{\underline{J}}^{L}Y_KY_L \quad ,\\ &=& K^{KL}Y_KY_L \quad .\end{array}\tag{3.48}$$

Notice that

$$Y_c=Y_c\left(\phi\right),\qquad Y_K=Y_K\left(\phi\right).\tag{3.49}$$

Similar relations can be written down by using the inverse vielbeins $e_K^{\underline{I}}$. Moreover, we have the following properties:
(**i**) the effective potential (3.26) reads, in the curved coordinates frame, as

$$\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{7D,N=2}\left(\sigma,\phi\right)=e^{-2\sigma}\mathcal{V}\left(\phi\right),\tag{3.50}$$

where now $\mathcal{V}(\phi)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{V}(\phi) = \left(\sum_{c,d=1}^{3} K^{cd} Y_c Y_d - \sum_{K,L=1}^{19} K^{KL} Y_K Y_L \right), \tag{3.51}$$

and where

$$K^{cd} = \eta^{\underline{ab}} e^c_{\underline{a}} e^d_{\underline{b}} \qquad , \qquad K^{KL} = \eta^{\underline{IJ}} e^K_{\underline{I}} e^L_{\underline{J}} \quad . \tag{3.52}$$

(**ii**) putting eqs(3.47-3.48) back into eq(3.34), we get the gauge invariant constraint relation

$$\sum_{c,d=1}^{3} K^{cd} Y_c Y_d + \sum_{K,L=1}^{19} K^{KL} Y_K Y_L = p^2. \tag{3.53}$$

The variation of this constraint eq gives

$$K^{ab} Y_a (\mathcal{D} Y_b) = -K^{IJ} Y_I (\mathcal{D} Y_J), \tag{3.54}$$

with

$$\begin{array}{lll} DY_b & = & \left[(\delta Y_b) + \frac{1}{2} K_{bc} \left(\delta K^{cd} \right) Y_d \right] \quad , \\ DY_J & = & \left[(\delta Y_J) + \frac{1}{2} K_{JK} \left(\delta K^{KL} \right) Y_L \right] \quad . \end{array} \tag{3.55}$$

(**iii**) by implementing the dilaton σ, the relations (3.47-3.48) can be also put in the form

$$\begin{array}{lll} \delta^{\underline{ab}} \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{a}} \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{b}} & = & = K^{cd} \mathcal{Y}_c \mathcal{Y}_d = e^{+2\sigma} K^{cd} Y_c Y_d \quad , \\ \eta^{\underline{IJ}} \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{I}} \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{J}} & = & K^{KL} \mathcal{Y}_K \mathcal{Y}_L = e^{+2\sigma} K^{KL} Y_K Y_L \quad , \end{array} \tag{3.56}$$

where we have set

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{Y}_c(\sigma, \phi) & = & e^{-\sigma} Y_c(\phi) \quad , \\ \mathcal{Y}_K(\sigma, \phi) & = & e^{-\sigma} Y_K(\phi) \quad . \end{array} \tag{3.57}$$

3.1.2 Criticality conditions

In the inertial coordinate frame $\{\xi\}$, the critically condition of the black hole potential takes a simple form; it reads as follows:

$$\delta \mathcal{V}_{BH}^{7D,N=2} = 2 \left(\sum_{a,b=1}^{3} \delta^{\underline{ab}} \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{a}} \delta \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{b}} \right) + 2 \left(\sum_{I,J=1}^{19} \delta^{\underline{IJ}} \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{I}} \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{J}} \right) = 0. \tag{3.58}$$

This variation can rewritten formally like

$$2 \left(\sum_{a,b=1}^{3} \delta^{\underline{ab}} \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{a}} \mathcal{T}_{\underline{b}} \right) + 2 \left(\sum_{I,J=1}^{19} \delta^{\underline{IJ}} \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{I}} \mathcal{T}_{\underline{J}} \right) = 0. \tag{3.59}$$

where, in general,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}^{\underline{a}} = \delta \mathcal{Z}^{\underline{a}} &= \left(\tfrac{\partial \mathcal{Z}^{\underline{a}}}{\partial \xi^{0}}\right) \delta\xi^{0} + \left(\tfrac{\partial \mathcal{Z}^{\underline{a}}}{\partial \xi^{\underline{bI}}}\right) \delta\xi^{\underline{bI}} \qquad , \\
&= \left(\tfrac{\partial \mathcal{Z}^{\underline{a}}}{\partial \xi^{0}}\right) \delta\xi^{0} + \left(D_{\underline{bI}} \mathcal{Z}^{\underline{a}}\right) \nabla\xi^{\underline{bI}} \qquad , \\
\\
\mathcal{T}^{\underline{I}} = \delta \mathcal{Z}^{\underline{I}} &= \left(\tfrac{\partial \mathcal{Z}^{\underline{I}}}{\partial \xi^{0}}\right) \delta\xi^{0} + \left(\tfrac{\partial \mathcal{Z}^{\underline{I}}}{\partial \xi^{\underline{bJ}}}\right) \delta\xi^{\underline{bJ}} \qquad , \\
& \left(\tfrac{\partial \mathcal{Z}^{\underline{I}}}{\partial \xi^{0}}\right) \delta\xi^{0} + \left(D_{\underline{bI}} \mathcal{Z}^{\underline{I}}\right) \nabla\xi^{\underline{bI}} \qquad .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.60}$$

In the case of 7D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity embedded in 11D M-theory on K3, $\mathcal{Z}^{\underline{a}}$ and $\mathcal{Z}^{\underline{I}}$ are respectively given by $e^{-\sigma} Z^{\underline{a}}(\xi)$ and $e^{-\sigma} Z^{\underline{I}}(\xi)$ eqs(3.33). So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\tfrac{\partial \mathcal{Z}^{\underline{a}}}{\partial \sigma}\right) &= -e^{-\sigma} Z^{\underline{a}} \quad , & \left(\tfrac{\partial \mathcal{Z}^{\underline{a}}}{\partial \xi^{\underline{bJ}}}\right) &= e^{-\sigma} \left(\tfrac{\partial Z^{\underline{a}}}{\partial \xi^{\underline{bJ}}}\right) \quad , \\
\\
\left(\tfrac{\partial \mathcal{Z}^{\underline{I}}}{\partial \sigma}\right) &= -e^{-\sigma} Z^{\underline{I}} \quad , & \left(\tfrac{\partial \mathcal{Z}^{\underline{I}}}{\partial \xi^{\underline{bJ}}}\right) &= e^{-\sigma} \left(\tfrac{\partial Z^{\underline{I}}}{\partial \xi^{\underline{bJ}}}\right) \quad .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.61}$$

Classification of solutions of eq(3.59)
The above theorem and corollary show that the black hole solutions associated with the critical points of eq(3.59) are of three kinds: a *1/2-BPS* and *two non BPS black holes;* to which we refer to as type 1 and type 2.
The non degenerate solutions of eq(3.59) with black hole effective potential at horizon like

$$\left(\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{7d,N=2}\right)_{horizon} > 0, \tag{3.62}$$

and the *Arnowitt-Deser-Misner* (ADM) mass $\mathcal{M}_{ADM}^{2}$ bounded like,

$$\left(\sum_{a,b=1}^{3} \delta^{\underline{ab}} \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{a}} \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{b}}\right) \leq \mathcal{M}_{ADM}^{2} = \left(\sum_{a,b=1}^{3} \delta^{\underline{ab}} \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{a}} \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{b}} + \sum_{I,J=1}^{19} \delta^{\underline{IJ}} \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{I}} \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{J}}\right), \tag{3.63}$$

are given by:
(**1**) *1/2- BPS state.*
This black hole state has *eight supersymmetries* and corresponds to,

$$\left(\mathcal{Z}_{1}, \mathcal{Z}_{2}, \mathcal{Z}_{3}\right) \neq (0,0,0), \tag{3.64}$$

but

$$\sum_{a,b=1}^{3} \delta^{\underline{ab}} \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{a}} \mathcal{T}_{\underline{b}} = 0, \tag{3.65}$$

and

$$\left(\mathcal{Z}_{I}\right) = \left(\mathcal{Z}_{1}, ..., \mathcal{Z}_{19}\right) = (0, ..., 0). \tag{3.66}$$

In this case the ADM mass $\mathcal{M}_{ADM}^2$ saturates the bound

$$\mathcal{M}_{ADM} \geq \sqrt{\left(\sum_{a,b=1}^{3} \delta^{\underline{ab}} \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{a}} \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{b}} \right)} . \tag{3.67}$$

At the event horizon, the critical ADM mass $(\mathcal{M}_{ADM})_{\text{h}}$ is obtained by extremizing the effective potential $\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{7D,N=2}$ with respect to the scalar moduli $\xi^{\underline{m}}$.
Using eq(3.64), we then have

$$0 < (\mathcal{M}_{ADM})_{\text{BPS}} = (\mathcal{M}_{ADM})_{\text{h}} \,, \tag{3.68}$$

where we set

$$(\mathcal{M}_{ADM})_{\text{BPS}} = \sqrt{\left(\sum_{a,b=1}^{3} \delta^{\underline{ab}} \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{a}} \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{b}} \right)_{\text{horizon}}} . \tag{3.69}$$

The lower bound of $(\mathcal{M}_{ADM})_{\text{h}}$ is positive definite. By using eq(3.34) and eq(3.7), we also have for the case $\eta_{\underline{\Lambda\Sigma}} p^{\underline{\Lambda}} p^{\underline{\Sigma}} \neq 0$ and $p^{\underline{d}} \delta_{\underline{dh}} p^{\underline{h}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(Z^{\underline{a}}\right)_{\text{horizon}} &= p^{\underline{a}} \sqrt{\frac{\left| \left(p^{\underline{b}} \delta_{\underline{bc}} p^{\underline{c}} - p^{\underline{J}} \delta_{\underline{JK}} p^{K} \right) \right|}{p^{\underline{d}} \delta_{\underline{dh}} p^{\underline{h}}}} \qquad , \\ \left(L_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{a}}\right)_{\text{horizon}} &= p_{\underline{\Lambda}} \left(\left| \left(p^{\underline{b}} \delta_{\underline{bc}} p^{\underline{c}} - p^{\underline{J}} \delta_{\underline{JK}} p^{K} \right) \right| \right)^{-1} Z^{\underline{a}} \quad . \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{3.70}$$

(**2**) *non BPS state*: *type 1*
This is a *non supersymmetric* state corresponding to,

$$(\mathcal{Z}_I) = (\mathcal{Z}_1, ..., \mathcal{Z}_{19}) \neq (0, ..., 0) \,, \tag{3.71}$$

and

$$\sum \delta^{\underline{IJ}} \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{I}} \mathcal{T}_{\underline{J}} = 0, \tag{3.72}$$

and moreover

$$(\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{a}}) = (\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2, \mathcal{Z}_3) = (0, 0, 0) \,. \tag{3.73}$$

In this case the critical ADM mass $(\mathcal{M}_{ADM})_{\text{h}}$ is given by:

$$0 \leq (\mathcal{M}_{ADM})_{\text{h}} = \sqrt{\left(\sum_{I,J=1}^{19} \delta^{\underline{IJ}} \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{I}} \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{J}} \right)_{\text{horizon}}} . \tag{3.74}$$

Notice that since $\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{a}}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{I}}$ are defined up to $SO\,(3) \times SO\,(19)$ gauge symmetry eqs(2.18), we can usually perform a rotation to bring eq(3.71) to the form

$$(\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{I}}) = (\mathcal{Z}_1, 0, ..., 0) \,, \tag{3.75}$$

with $(\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{I}})_{I=1} \neq 0$ and all others $\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{I}}$ with $I \neq 1$ equal to zero. Similar conclusion can made for $\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{a}}$ or both $\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{a}}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{I}}$.

(**3**) *non BPS state*: *type 2*

This state is non supersymmetric and corresponds to

$$(\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{a}}) \neq (0,0,0)\,, \qquad \text{i.e} \qquad \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{a}} \neq 0 \text{ for some } a \in \mathcal{J} \subset \{1,2,3\}\,, \tag{3.76}$$

and

$$\sum_{a,b\in\mathcal{J}} \delta^{\underline{ab}} \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{a}} \mathcal{T}_{\underline{b}} = 0 \tag{3.77}$$

together with

$$(\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{I}}) \neq (0,...,0)\,, \qquad \text{i.e} \qquad \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{I}} \neq 0 \text{ for some } I \in \mathcal{J}' \subset \{1,...,19\} \tag{3.78}$$

as well as

$$\sum_{I,J\in\mathcal{J}'} \delta^{\underline{IJ}} \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{I}} \mathcal{T}_{\underline{J}} = 0. \tag{3.79}$$

This configuration leads to

$$0 < (\mathcal{M}_{ADM})_{\text{h}} = \sqrt{\left(\sum_{a,b\in\mathcal{J}} \delta^{\underline{ab}} \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{a}} \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{b}}\right)_{\text{horizon}} + \left(\sum_{I,J\in\mathcal{J}'} \delta^{\underline{IJ}} \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{I}} \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{J}}\right)_{\text{horizon}}}\,. \tag{3.80}$$

For more details on this classification, see also the analysis of subsection 7.1.

In the end of this discussion, notice that a similar and equivalent study can be done for the criticality condition by using the curved coordinates frame $\{\varphi^m\}$. The two methods are equivalent and are related by the identities $Z_{\underline{a}}(\xi) = e^c_{\underline{a}}(\xi,\phi)\, Y_c(\phi)$ and $Z_{\underline{I}}(\xi) = e^K_{\underline{I}}(\xi,\phi)\, Y_K(\phi)$.

3.2 7D black 3- brane

The 7D black *3*- brane is realized by wrapping the M5 brane on the 2- cycles of K3. The three remaining space directions fill part of the seven space time dimensions.

The *3*- brane is *electrically* charged under the $U^{22}(1)$ gauge group symmetry of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ 7D supergravity theory. The solutions for 7D black *3*- brane are given by the dual of the previous black hole ones.

The electric charges

$$q^\Lambda = \left(q^1, ..., q^{22}\right), \tag{3.81}$$

are given by the integral of the real 7-form flux density $\mathcal{F}_7$ through the basis of the 7-cycles $S^5_\infty \times \Psi^\Lambda$,

$$q^\Lambda = \int_{S^5_\infty} \left(\int_{\Psi^\Lambda} \mathcal{F}_7\right), \qquad \Lambda = 1, ..., 22, \tag{3.82}$$

where the real 5-sphere S^5_∞ is normalized as,

$$\int_{S^5_\infty} d^5 s = 1. \tag{3.83}$$

In the above relation, the real space time 7- form $\mathcal{F}_7$ is the Hodge dual of the field strength $\mathcal{F}_4 = d\mathcal{C}_3$ considered previously.
The black 3-brane potential

$$\mathcal{V}^{7D,N=2}_{\text{3-brane}} \tag{3.84}$$

is obtained by dualizing the Weinhold potential of the 7D black hole (3.5). This scalar potential can be defined either by using the inertial coordinates frame $\{\xi\}$ or, in general, the curved one.

3.2.1 Effective potential

In the inertial coordinate frame $\{\xi\}$, the black 3-brane potential $\mathcal{V}^{7D,N=2}_{\text{3-brane}}$ reads as follows,

$$\mathcal{V}^{7D,N=2}_{\text{3-brane}} = \sum_{a,b=1}^{3} \delta^{\underline{ab}} \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{\underline{a}} \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{\underline{b}} + \sum_{I,J=1}^{19} \delta^{\underline{IJ}} \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{\underline{I}} \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{\underline{J}}, \tag{3.85}$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{\underline{a}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{\underline{I}}$ are the dressed electric charges dual to the dressed magnetic $\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{a}}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{I}}$. They are given by,

$$\begin{array}{lclcl} \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}^{\underline{a}} = \sum_{\Lambda=1}^{22} q^{\Lambda} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\underline{a}}_{\Lambda} & \quad , \quad & \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\underline{a}}_{\Lambda} = \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\underline{a}}_{\Lambda}\left(\xi\right) & , \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}^{\underline{I}} = \sum_{\Lambda=1}^{22} q^{\Lambda} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\underline{I}}_{\Lambda} & \quad , \quad & \widetilde{L}^{\underline{I}}_{\Lambda} = \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\underline{I}}_{\Lambda}\left(\xi\right) & , \end{array} \tag{3.86}$$

where the $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\underline{a}}_{\Lambda}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\underline{I}}_{\Lambda}$ are related to the $L^{\Lambda}_{\underline{b}}$ and $L^{\Lambda}_{\underline{J}}$ of eqs(3.7) as follows

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\underline{a}}_{\Lambda} \mathcal{L}^{\Lambda}_{\underline{b}} = \delta^{\underline{a}}_{\underline{b}}, \qquad\qquad \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\underline{I}}_{\Lambda} \mathcal{L}^{\Lambda}_{\underline{J}} = \delta^{\underline{I}}_{\underline{J}}. \tag{3.87}$$

The matrices $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\underline{a}}_{\Lambda}$ satisfy constraint relations similar to those satisfied by given by $\mathcal{L}^{\Lambda}_{\underline{J}}$. In particular, the analogue of (3.7) reads as

$$\eta_{\underline{\Upsilon}\underline{F}} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\underline{\Upsilon}}_{\underline{a}} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\underline{F}}_{\underline{b}} = \eta_{\underline{ab}}, \qquad \eta_{\underline{\Upsilon}\underline{F}} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\underline{\Upsilon}}_{\underline{I}} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\underline{F}}_{\underline{J}} = \eta_{\underline{IJ}}. \tag{3.88}$$

We also have the factorization of the dilaton,

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\underline{a}}_{\Lambda} = e^{+\sigma} \widetilde{L}^{\underline{a}}_{\Lambda}, \qquad \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\underline{I}}_{\Lambda} = e^{+\sigma} \widetilde{L}^{\underline{I}}_{\Lambda}, \tag{3.89}$$

as well as

$$\begin{array}{lclcl} \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}^{\underline{a}} = e^{+\sigma} \widetilde{Z}^{\underline{a}} & \quad , \quad & \widetilde{Z}^{\underline{a}} = \sum_{\Lambda=1}^{22} q^{\Lambda} \widetilde{L}^{\underline{a}}_{\Lambda} & , \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}^{\underline{I}} = e^{+\sigma} \widetilde{Z}^{\underline{I}} & \quad , \quad & \widetilde{Z}^{\underline{I}} = \sum_{\Lambda=1}^{22} q^{\Lambda} \widetilde{L}^{\underline{I}}_{\Lambda} & . \end{array} \tag{3.90}$$

Putting these expressions back into eq(3.85), we obtain the factorization

$$\mathcal{V}_{\text{3-brane}}^{7d,N=2}\left(\sigma,\xi\right)=e^{+2\sigma}\mathcal{V}_{3}\left(\xi\right), \tag{3.91}$$

with

$$\mathcal{V}_{3}=\sum_{a,b=1}^{3}\delta^{\underline{ab}}\widetilde{Z}_{\underline{a}}\widetilde{Z}_{\underline{b}}+\sum_{I,J=1}^{19}\delta^{\underline{IJ}}\widetilde{Z}_{\underline{I}}\widetilde{Z}_{\underline{J}}. \tag{3.92}$$

Moreover, using the usual electric/magnetic duality relation between the electric and magnetic charges namely

$$p_{\Lambda}q^{\Sigma}\sim\delta_{\Lambda}^{\Sigma}, \tag{3.93}$$

it is not difficult to check that we have the following relations,

$$\widetilde{Z}^{\underline{a}}Z_{\underline{b}}\sim\delta_{\underline{b}}^{\underline{a}},\qquad\widetilde{Z}^{\underline{I}}Z_{\underline{J}}\sim\delta_{\underline{J}}^{\underline{I}}, \tag{3.94}$$

defining the duality between the dressed electric and magnetic charges.

3.2.2 Criticality conditions

The solutions of the criticality condition of eq(3.85) are quite similar to those obtained for the 7D black hole. In fact they are precisely the duals; and they may be obtained directly by making every where the substitution

$$e^{-\sigma}\rightarrow e^{+\sigma}\qquad,\qquad Z^{\underline{a}}\rightarrow\widetilde{Z}_{\underline{a}}\qquad,\qquad Z^{\underline{I}}\rightarrow\widetilde{Z}_{\underline{I}}. \tag{3.95}$$

The classification of the BPS and non BPS 3-branes is given by the dual of eqs(3.64-3.80). Then, we have:
(**1**) $\frac{1}{2}$*BPS black 3-brane:*$\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{\underline{a}}\neq\left(0,0,0\right),\ \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{\underline{I}}=0,\ \ \forall I.$
This is a supersymmetric state preserving eight supersymmetric charges and has a critical ADM mass as

$$0<\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{ADM}\right)_{\text{BPS}}=\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{ADM}\right)_{\text{h}}, \tag{3.96}$$

with

$$\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{ADM}\right)_{\text{BPS}}=\sqrt{\left(\sum_{a,b=1}^{3}\delta^{\underline{ab}}\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{\underline{a}}\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{\underline{b}}\right)_{\text{3-brane horizon}}}. \tag{3.97}$$

(**2**) *non BPS 3-brane: type 1,* $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{\underline{a}}=\left(0,0,0\right),\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{\underline{I}}\neq\left(0,...,0\right).$
This is a non supersymmetric state with critical ADM mass $\left(\mathcal{M}_{ADM}\right)_{\text{h}}$ given by:

$$0\leq\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{ADM}\right)_{\text{h}}=\sqrt{\left(\sum_{I,J=1}^{19}\delta^{\underline{IJ}}\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{\underline{I}}\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{\underline{J}}\right)_{\text{3-brane horizon}}}. \tag{3.98}$$

(**3**) *non BPS 3-brane: type 2,* $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{\underline{a}} \neq (0,0,0)$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{\underline{I}} \neq (0,...,0)$.
Its critical ADM mass is given by

$$\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{ADM}\right)_{\text{h}} = \sqrt{\left(\sum_{a,b\in\mathcal{J}'}^{3} \delta^{\underline{ab}} \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{\underline{a}} \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{\underline{b}}\right)_{\text{3-brane horizon}} + \left(\sum_{I,J\in\mathcal{J}''}^{19} \delta^{\underline{IJ}} \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{\underline{I}} \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{\underline{J}}\right)_{\text{3-brane horizon}}}\ , \qquad (3.99)$$

where *some* (not all) of the geometric dressed charges as well as the matter ones are equal to zero.

4 Fields and fluxes in 7D supergravity

In this section, we study the field content of the $7D$ $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity. This analysis is not new; but it is useful for two things: First to fix the ideas; in particular the issue regarding how the 7D field spectrum is generated from 11D M-theory on K3. Second, it allows to physically motivate the derivation of the Dalbeault like basis $\{\Omega_a, \Omega_I\}$ (1.2) of $H^2(K3, R)$ that we will develop in the next section.

We consider the $11D$- M-theory compactified on K3 determining an effective $7D$ $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity at Planck scale. Under compactification on K3, the eleven dimensional 3-form gauge field $\mathcal{C}^{11D}_{MNP}\left(\text{x}^Q\right) = \mathcal{C}^{11D}_{MNP}$,

$$\mathcal{C}^{11D}_{MNP} \equiv \mathcal{C}^{11D}_{MNP}\left(x^\mu, y^i\right), \qquad y \in K3, \qquad (4.1)$$

with

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{x}^Q &=& (x^0, ..., x^{10}) \quad , \\ x^\mu &=& (x^0, ..., x^6) \quad , \\ y^i &=& (x^7, ..., x^{10}) \quad , \end{array} \qquad (4.2)$$

decomposes into:

(i) a 7D space time real 3-form gauge field $\mathcal{C}_{\mu\nu\rho}(x)$ (the membrane gauge field in 7D space time). It is dual to a rank 2- tensor $B_{\mu\nu}$ field.

(ii) twenty two (*22*) 1- form gauge fields $\mathcal{A}^\Lambda$ (7D space time gauge particles).

As these gauge particles play a central role in this study, let us give more details.

4.1 11D gauge 3-form on K3

The $7D$ $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theory we are considering here is very special. It is the supersymmetric field theoretic limit of the 11D M-theory on K3.

This 7D theory has an abelian $U^{22}(1)$ gauge symmetry captured by *22* Maxwell type gauge fields

$$\mathcal{A}^\Lambda = dx^\mu \mathcal{A}^\Lambda_\mu(x)\,, \qquad (4.3)$$

with gauge transformation

$$\mathcal{A}^{\Lambda} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\Lambda} + d\left(\varepsilon^{\Lambda}\right). \tag{4.4}$$

The corresponding *22* gauge invariant field strengths are

$$\mathcal{F}_2^{\Lambda} = d\mathcal{A}^{\Lambda}, \qquad \mathcal{G}_5^{\Lambda} = \ {}^{*}\left(\mathcal{F}_2^{\Lambda}\right) \qquad \Lambda = 1, \cdots, 22. \tag{4.5}$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_2^{\Lambda} = dx^{\nu} dx^{\mu} \mathcal{F}^{\Lambda}{}_{[\mu\nu]}. \tag{4.6}$$

The gauge invariant 5- form $\mathcal{G}_5^{\Lambda}$ is the Hodge-dual of $\mathcal{F}_2^{\Lambda}$ in seven dimension space time. For simplicity, we shall drop out the sub-indices 2 and 5,

$$\mathcal{F}_2^{\Lambda} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\Lambda} \qquad , \qquad \mathcal{G}_5^{\Lambda} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{\Lambda}. \tag{4.7}$$

The gauge fields $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{\Lambda}$ follow from the compactification of the gauge 3- form

$$\mathcal{C}_3^{11D} = dx^P dx^N dx^M \mathcal{C}_{[MNP]}^{11D}. \tag{4.8}$$

Denoting by $\mathcal{H}_4^{11D}$ the gauge invariant 4- form field strength of $\mathcal{C}_3^{11D}$ and by $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_7^{11D}$ the 11D Hodge dual of $\mathcal{H}_4^{11D}$, then the 7D gauge fields $\mathcal{A}^{\Lambda}$, $\mathcal{F}^{\Lambda}$ and $\mathcal{G}^{\Lambda}$ can be defined as:

$$\mathcal{A}^{\Lambda} = \int_{\Psi^{\Lambda}} \mathcal{C}_3, \qquad \mathcal{F}^{\Lambda} = \int_{\Psi^{\Lambda}} \mathcal{H}_4^{11D}, \qquad \mathcal{G}^{\Lambda} = \int_{\Psi^{\Lambda}} \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_7^{11D}, \tag{4.9}$$

where $\Psi^{\Lambda} \in H_2(K3, R)$ is a real basis of 2-cycles.
The integration of the field strength $\mathcal{F}^{\Lambda}$ (resp. $\mathcal{G}^{\Lambda}$) throughout the sphere S_{∞}^2 (resp. S_{∞}^5) give the magnetic (resp. electric) charges p^{Λ} (resp. q^{Λ}),

$$p^{\Lambda} = \int_{S_{\infty}^2} \mathcal{F}^{\Lambda} \qquad , \qquad q^{\Lambda} = \int_{S_{\infty}^5} \mathcal{G}^{\Lambda}. \tag{4.10}$$

Up on using eq(4.9), these magnetic and electric charges can be also put in the following way by using 11D gauge fields and the second homology basis $\left\{\Psi^{\Lambda}\right\}$ of K3,

$$\begin{array}{lll} p^{\Lambda} & = & \int_{S_{\infty}^2} \left(\int_{\Psi^{\Lambda}} \mathcal{H}_4^{11D}\right) \quad , \\ q^{\Lambda} & = & \int_{S_{\infty}^5} \left(\int_{\Psi^{\Lambda}} \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_7^{11D}\right) \quad . \end{array} \tag{4.11}$$

The magnetic charges p^{Λ} and the electric ones q_{Λ} obey the usual Dirac quantization (3.93).

4.2 Two 7D $N = 2$ supersymmetric representations

From the view of the 7D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity, the *22* gauge fields $\mathcal{A}_\mu^\Lambda$ do not carry the same supersymmetric quantum numbers. It happens that the $\mathcal{A}_\mu^\Lambda$ and the corresponding field strengths $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^\Lambda$ and $^*\left(\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^\Lambda\right)$ split into *triplets* and *19-uplets* as shown below,

$$\left(\mathcal{A}_\mu^\Lambda\right) = \left(\mathcal{A}_\mu^a\right) \oplus \left(\mathcal{A}_\mu^I\right), \tag{4.12}$$

and

$$\left(\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^\Lambda\right) = \left(\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^a\right) \oplus \left(\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^I\right), \tag{4.13}$$

as well as

$$^*\left(\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^\Lambda\right) = {}^*\left(\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^a\right) \oplus {}^*\left(\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^I\right). \tag{4.14}$$

The component fields $\mathcal{A}_\mu^a$, $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^a$ and $^*\left(\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^a\right)$ transform as real vectors under $SO\left(3\right)$; but like real scalars under $SO\left(19\right)$.

Similarly, the component fields $\mathcal{A}_\mu^I$, $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^I$ and $^*\left(\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^I\right)$ transform as real scalars under $SO\left(3\right)$; but like real vectors under $SO\left(19\right)$.

This property translates the fact that the *22* abelian gauge fields belong to two different 7D $N = 2$ supersymmetric representations, namely the $7D$ $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity multiplet, denoted as,

$$\mathcal{G}_{7D,\mathcal{N}=2}, \tag{4.15}$$

and the $7D$ $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge multiplets

$$\left(\mathcal{V}_{7D,\mathcal{N}=2}\right)^I, \qquad I = 1, ..., 19. \tag{4.16}$$

Below we comment briefly these two representations:

4.2.1 Supergravity multiplet $\mathcal{G}_{7D,\mathcal{N}=2}$

The component fields content of the $7D$ $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity multiplet $\mathcal{G}_{7D,\mathcal{N}=2}$ reads as follows:

$$\begin{array}{lllll} \text{Bosons :} & G_{\mu\nu}\left(x\right), & \mathcal{C}_{\mu\nu\rho}\left(x\right), & \mathcal{A}_\mu^a\left(x\right), & \sigma\left(x\right) \\ \text{Fermions :} & \psi_{\alpha\mu}^1\left(x\right), & \psi_{\alpha\mu}^2\left(x\right), & \chi_\alpha^1\left(x\right), & \chi_\alpha^2\left(x\right) \end{array} \tag{4.17}$$

The first line refers to the 7D bosonic fields; they describe respectively the 7D graviton $G_{\mu\nu}$, the 7D antisymmetric 3-form gauge field $\mathcal{C}_{\mu\nu\rho}$, the space time 1- form gauge fields triplet $\mathcal{A}_\mu^a$ and the 7D dilaton σ.

The second line refers to the 7D fermionic field partners namely:

(**i**) two 7D gravitinos $\left(\psi_{\alpha\mu}^1, \psi_{\alpha\mu}^2\right)$

(**ii**) two 7D gravi-photinos $\left(\chi_\alpha^1, \chi_\alpha^2\right)$:

Both of these fermionic fields form isodoublets of the $USP_R\left(2,\mathbb{R}\right)$ automorphism symmetry[7] of the 7D $\mathcal{N}=2$ superalgebra.

4.2.2 Abelian gauge supermultiplets

The component fields content of the *nineteen* $7D$ $\mathcal{N}=2$ abelian gauge supermultiplets $\mathcal{V}^I_{7D,\mathcal{N}=2}$ is given by

$$\begin{array}{lccccc} \text{Bosons :} & \mathcal{A}^I_\mu & , & \phi^{aI} & , \\ & & & & \\ \text{Fermions :} & \lambda^{1I}_\alpha & , & \lambda^{2I}_\alpha & . \end{array} \tag{4.18}$$

Each multiplet $\mathcal{V}_{7D,\mathcal{N}=2}$ consists of :
(**i**) a $7D$ gauge field $\mathcal{A}_\mu$, which is a singlet under the $USP\left(2,\mathbb{R}\right)$,
(**ii**) two $7D$ fermions $\left(\lambda^1_\alpha,\lambda^2_\alpha\right)$ forming an isodoublet under the $USP\left(2,\mathbb{R}\right)$ automorphism symmetry of the $7D$ $\mathcal{N}=2$ superalgebra
(**iii**) three 7D scalar fields[8] $\phi^a=\left(\phi^1,\phi^2,\phi^3\right)$ forming an $USP\left(2,\mathbb{R}\right)$ isotriplet.
The gauge fields (4.18) capture different quantum numbers of the $SO\left(3\right)\times SO\left(19\right)$ isotropy symmetry of the moduli space $\boldsymbol{M}^{N=2}_{7D}$

$$\boldsymbol{M}^{N=2}_{7D}=\mathcal{G}\times SO\left(1,1\right), \qquad \mathcal{G}=\frac{SO\left(3,19\right)}{SO\left(3\right)\times SO\left(19\right)}, \tag{4.19}$$

where $SO\left(3\right)$ should be thought of as the R- symmetry group $USP\left(2,\mathbb{R}\right)$. For the matter multiplet ($\mathcal{V}_{7D,\mathcal{N}=2}$, see *footnote 8*), we have

$$\text{Bosons :} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}^I_\mu & \sim & \left(1,19\right) \\ \phi^{aI} & \sim & \left(3,19\right) \end{array} \tag{4.20}$$

and

$$\text{Fermions :} \qquad \left(\lambda^{1I}_\alpha,\lambda^{2I}_\alpha\right) \qquad \sim \qquad \left(2,19\right)\ , \tag{4.21}$$

where $(s,19)$, with $s=1,2,3$, refer to $SO\left(3\right)\times SO\left(19\right)$ representations.
A quite similar classification can made for the fields of the supergravity multiplet $\mathcal{G}_{7D,\mathcal{N}=2}$. The quantum numbers of the supergravity fields under the $SO\left(3\right)\times SO\left(19\right)$ isotropy

---

[7] The automorphism group $USP\left(2,\mathbb{R}\right)$ of the 7D $\mathcal{N}=2$ superalgebra is related to the $SO\left(3\right)$ isotropy symmetry factor of the moduli space. The homomorphism is given by the usual relation $x^{(\alpha\beta)}=\sum x^a\left(\sigma_a\right)^{\alpha\beta}$ mapping the adjoint of $USP\left(2,\mathbb{R}\right)$ to the 3- vector of $SO\left(3\right)$.

[8] For simplicity, we shall refer to the gauge multiplet $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{N}=2}_{7D}$ as matter and to the gravity $\mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{N}=2}_{7D}$ as geometry.

symmetry is as follows:

$$\text{Bosons :}\quad \begin{array}{lcll} G_{\mu\nu} & \sim & (1,1) & , \\ \mathcal{C}_{\mu\nu\rho} & \sim & (1,1) & , \\ \mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{a} & \sim & (3,1) & , \\ \sigma & \sim & (1,1) & , \end{array} \tag{4.22}$$

and

$$\text{Fermions :}\quad \begin{array}{lcll} \left(\psi_{\alpha\mu}^{1},\ \psi_{\alpha\mu}^{2}\right) & \sim & (2,1) & , \\ \left(\chi_{\alpha}^{1},\ \chi_{\alpha}^{2}\right) & \sim & (2,1) & . \end{array} \tag{4.23}$$

Notice that all the fields of $\mathcal{G}_{7D,\mathcal{N}=2}$ are scalar under $SO\left(19\right)$; but can be either isosinglets, isodoublets or isotriplets under $SO\left(3\right) \sim USP\left(2,\mathbb{R}\right)$.

In what follows, and in order to alleviate the notations, we shall drop out the 7D spinor index α (Roman character). We will use the index α (*in Math character*) to refer to the isospin 1/2 representation of the $USP\left(2,\mathbb{R}\right)$ symmetry group. The *two* gravitinos, the *two* gravi-photinos and the *nineteen* gaugino doublets will be collectively written as follows

$$\begin{array}{lcll} \psi_{\alpha\mu}^{\beta} = \left(\psi_{\alpha\mu}^{1},\ \psi_{\alpha\mu}^{2}\right) & \rightarrow & \psi_{\mu}^{\beta} = \left(\psi_{\mu}^{1},\ \psi_{\mu}^{2}\right) & , \\ \chi_{\alpha}^{\beta} = \left(\chi_{\alpha}^{1},\ \chi_{\alpha}^{2}\right) & \rightarrow & \chi^{\beta} = \left(\chi^{1},\ \chi^{2}\right) & , \\ \lambda_{\alpha}^{\beta I} = \left(\lambda_{\alpha}^{1I},\ \lambda_{\alpha}^{2}\right) & \rightarrow & \lambda^{\beta I} = \left(\lambda^{1I},\ \lambda^{2I}\right) & , \end{array} \tag{4.24}$$

where the space time spinor index α has been dropped out. We also have the relation between $USP\left(2,\mathbb{R}\right)$ and $SO\left(3,\mathbb{R}\right)$,

$$\phi^{aI} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{2} \sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{a} \phi^{(\alpha\beta)I} \quad , \quad a = 1,2,3, \tag{4.25}$$

where $\phi^{(\alpha\beta)}$ stands for the symmetric part of $\phi^{\alpha\beta}$.

5 Deriving the $\{\Omega_a, \Omega_I\}$ basis of $H^2\left(K3,\mathbb{R}\right)$

In this section, we use physical arguments to construct one of the basis tools to deal with the *special hyperKahler geometry* (SHG) of the 11D M- theory on K3. This construction concerns the derivation of a "*Dalbeault like*" basis $\{\Omega_a, \Omega_I\}$ of the second real cohomology of K3. This is a real 22 dimensional 2-form basis of $H^2\left(K3,\mathbb{R}\right)$

$$\{\Omega_a, \Omega_I\}, \qquad a = 1,2,3, \qquad I = 1,...,19, \tag{5.1}$$

with the particularity of combining both the K3 Kahler 2-form

$$\Omega^0 = \Omega^{(1,1)}, \tag{5.2}$$

and the associated complex holomorphic and antiholomorphic 2-forms

$$\Omega^{+}=\Omega^{(2,0)}, \qquad \Omega^{-}=\Omega^{(0,2)}, \tag{5.3}$$

in an $SO\left(3\right)$ isotriplet

$$\Omega^{a}=\left(\Omega^{+},\Omega^{0},\Omega^{-}\right). \tag{5.4}$$

This operation corresponds naively to combining the Kahler $t_I \equiv z_I^0$ and complex deformation $z_I^{\pm}$ moduli of the metric of K3 into *19* isotriplets ξ_I^a with $a=0,\pm$.

The 19-uplet 2-forms Ω_I, which turn out to be equal to the covariant derivative of Ω^a; i.e

$$\Omega_I=\mathcal{D}_{aI}\Omega^{a}, \tag{5.5}$$

can be imagined as the real 2-form generating $SO\left(3\right)$ spherical deformations of the metric of K3.

To that purpose, we start by recalling some useful results on the special Kahler geometry (SKG) of 10D type IIB superstring on CY3s; in particular the role played by the Dalbeault basis of $H^3\left(CY3,R\right)$. Then, we derive eq(5.1) by using constraint relations from 7D $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity theory. More analysis on the the special hyperKahler geometry (SHG) set up using the basis (5.1) will be developed in the next sections.

5.1 General on SKG of CY3

Following **??**, the third real cohomology $H^3\left(X_3,\mathbb{R}\right)$ of the Calabi-Yau X_3 threefold can be Hodge-decomposed along the third Dalbeault basis as follows,

$$H^{3}\left(X_{3},\mathbb{R}\right)=H^{3,0}\left(X_{3}\right)\oplus_{s}H^{2,1}\left(X_{3}\right)\oplus_{s}H^{1,2}\left(X_{3}\right)\oplus_{s}H^{0,3}\left(X_{3}\right), \tag{5.6}$$

where the subscript s stands for the semi-direct cohomological sum due to non vanishing intersections.

The above Hodge decomposition corresponds to make a change of basis from the usual real symplectic basis[9] of $H^3\left(X_3,\mathbb{R}\right)$ namely,

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\Lambda} \quad , \quad \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\Lambda} \quad , \quad \Lambda=0,....,h^{2,1} \tag{5.7}$$

to the Dalbeault basis

$$\Omega_{3} \quad , \quad D_{i}\Omega_{3} \quad , \quad \overline{D}_{\overline{i}}\overline{\Omega}_{3} \quad , \quad \overline{\Omega}_{3} \ , \tag{5.8}$$

where $i=1,...,h^{1,2}\left(CY3\right)$.

In the above relation, $\Omega_3 \in H^{3,0}\left(CY3\right)$ and $\overline{\Omega}_3 \in H^{0,3}\left(CY3\right)$ stand respectively for the usual holomorphic and antiholomorphic 3-forms on the Calabi-Yau threefold X_3. They are expressed in terms of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_\Lambda$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}^\Lambda$ like

---

[9] In this subsection $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\Lambda}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\Lambda}$ are 3-forms of $H^3\left(CY3\right)$; they should not be confused with the Hodge basis of $H^2\left(K3\right)$ denoted by the same letters.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \Omega_3(z) & = X^\Lambda(z)\,\boldsymbol{\alpha}_\Lambda - F_\Lambda(z)\,\boldsymbol{\beta}^\Lambda \quad , \\ \overline{\Omega}_3(\overline{z}) & = \overline{X}^\Lambda(\overline{z})\,\boldsymbol{\alpha}_\Lambda - \overline{F}_\Lambda(\overline{z})\,\boldsymbol{\beta}^\Lambda \quad . \end{array} \tag{5.9}$$

Here, the moduli space coordinate variables

$$z = \left(z^i\right), \qquad \overline{z} = \left(\overline{z}^{\overline{i}}\right), \qquad z^0 = 1, \tag{5.10}$$

are the complex structure moduli describing the complex deformations of the metric of X_3 and

$$\left\{X^\Lambda(z)\ ,\ F_\Lambda(z)\right\}, \tag{5.11}$$

with the property

$$\left(X^\Lambda, F_\Lambda\right) \to e^{f(z)}\left(X^\Lambda, F_\Lambda\right), \qquad \frac{\partial X^\Lambda}{\partial \overline{z}} = 0, \qquad \frac{\partial F_\Lambda}{\partial \overline{z}} = 0, \tag{5.12}$$

is a basis of symplectic holomorphic fundamental periods of Ω_3 around the 3-cycles $\left\{A^\Lambda, B_\Lambda\right\}$,

$$X^\Lambda = \int_{A^\Lambda} \Omega_3, \qquad F_\Lambda = \int_{B_\Lambda} \Omega_3. \tag{5.13}$$

Recall that the set of real 3-forms $\left\{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_\Lambda, \boldsymbol{\beta}^\Lambda\right\}$ satisfy the symplectic structure

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \left\langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}_\Lambda, \boldsymbol{\beta}^\Sigma \right\rangle & = & \delta_\Lambda^\Sigma \quad , \\ \left\langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}_\Lambda, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_\Sigma \right\rangle & = & 0 \quad , \\ \left\langle \boldsymbol{\beta}^\Lambda, \boldsymbol{\beta}^\Sigma \right\rangle & = & 0 \quad , \end{array} \tag{5.14}$$

where the inner product of two 3- forms F and G is defined as

$$\langle F, G\rangle = \int_{CY3} F \wedge G = -\langle G, F\rangle . \tag{5.15}$$

By Poincaré duality of the 3-forms $\left\{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_\Lambda, \boldsymbol{\beta}^\Lambda\right\}$ on the Calabi-Yau threefold, we also have the set of real 3-cycles

$$\left\{A^\Lambda, B_\Lambda\right\} \quad , \tag{5.16}$$

dual to (5.7) and generating the third real homology $H_3(CY3, \mathbb{R})$. The basis $\left\{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_\Lambda, \boldsymbol{\beta}^\Lambda\right\}$ and its dual $\left\{A^\Lambda, B_\Lambda\right\}$ satisfy

$$\begin{array}{llll} \int_{A^\Lambda} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_\Sigma = \delta_\Sigma^\Lambda & , & \int_{A^\Lambda} \boldsymbol{\beta}^\Sigma = 0 & , \\ \int_{B_\Lambda} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_\Sigma = 0 & , & \int_{B_\Lambda} \boldsymbol{\beta}^\Sigma = -\delta_\Sigma^\Lambda & . \end{array} \tag{5.17}$$

We also have the following fundamental relations of special Kahler geometry

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \left\langle \Omega_3, \overline{\Omega}_3 \right\rangle & = & -ie^{-K} \\ \left\langle D_i\Omega_3, \overline{D}_i\overline{\Omega}_3 \right\rangle & = & ig_{i\overline{j}}e^{-K} \end{array} \tag{5.18}$$

together with (*see also the appendix*)

$$\begin{array}{lclcl} \left\langle \Omega_3, \Omega_3 \right\rangle & = & \left\langle \overline{\Omega}_3, \overline{\Omega}_3 \right\rangle & = 0 & , \\ \left\langle \Omega_3, \overline{D}_i\overline{\Omega}_3 \right\rangle & = & \left\langle D_i\Omega_3, \overline{\Omega}_3 \right\rangle & = 0 & . \end{array} \tag{5.19}$$

Recall also that the Dalbeault basis (5.8) of the cohomology of CY3 has been shown to be particularly convenient to deal with the two following things:
(1) the SKG of the 10D type IIB superstring on CY3; in particular in the study of the effective scalar potential of 4D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity and the characterization of the BPS and non BPS 4D black holes.
(2) the development of the *"new attractor"* approach of the 4D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity and 4D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity with fluxes [62, 63].

Our purpose below is to build the analogue of the above relations for the SHG of the 11D M-theory on K3. Using special features of the Hodge decomposition of the second real cohomology of K3, we show that the analogue of eq(5.8) is, in some sense, given by (5.1) where Ω_a is an real isotriplet and Ω_I is a real 19-uplet.
Because of the formal similarity with eqs(5.8), we will sometimes refer to the basis (5.1) as the *Dalbeault like* basis for the second real cohomology of K3. Nevertheless, one should note that there is a basic difference between eqs(5.8) and (5.1); the first one deals with complex deformations of CY3 while the second deals with the combined Kahler and complex deformations of K3.

5.2 A special basis of $H_2(K3, R)$

In this subsection, we derive the *Dalbeault like* basis (5.1) by using special features of the underlying symmetries of the 7D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity field theory; in particular:
(**1**) the splitting of the fields content of 7D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity in two irreducible supersymmetric representations,
(**2**) the combination of the Kahler and complex deformations of the metric of K3. This combination allows to group altogether the deformations moduli into isotriplets.
These two properties are not completely independent; they are in fact different ways to state the implementation of the $SO(3) \times SO(19)$ isotropy symmetry of the moduli space $\boldsymbol{M}_{7D}^{N=2}$ in the supergravity field theory.

5.2.1 Supersymmetric representation constraints

The 7D $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity embedded in 11D M-theory on K3 has several space time fields with different quantum numbers. For instance, the *22* abelian gauge fields $\mathcal{A}^{\underline{\Lambda}} = dx^{\mu}\mathcal{A}^{\underline{\Lambda}}_{\mu}$ with

$$\mathcal{A}^{\underline{\Lambda}} = \int_{\Psi^{\Lambda}} \mathcal{C}_3^{11D}, \qquad \Lambda = 1, ..., 22, \tag{5.20}$$

belong to two different irreducible representations of 7D $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetric algebra. These supersymmetric representations correspond to the gravity multiplet

$$\mathcal{G}_{7D,\mathcal{N}=2}, \tag{5.21}$$

and the gauge (matter) supermultiplet

$$\mathcal{V}_{7D,\mathcal{N}=2}. \tag{5.22}$$

From eqs(4.17,4.18), we see that the gauge fields $\mathcal{A}^{\underline{\Lambda}}_{\mu}$ of eq(5.20) split into two basic sets (4.12):

(**i**) *3* gauge fields $\mathcal{A}^{\underline{a}}_{\mu}$, belonging to the gravity multiplet $\mathcal{G}_{7D,\mathcal{N}=2}$.

(**ii**) *19* gauge fields $\mathcal{A}^{I}_{\mu}$, belonging to the gauge multiplets $\mathcal{V}^{I}_{7D,\mathcal{N}=2}$.

Splitting the system $\{\mathcal{A}^{\underline{\Lambda}}_{\mu}\}$

As noted before, the gauge fields $\mathcal{A}^{\underline{\Lambda}}_{\mu}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\underline{\Lambda}}_{\mu\nu}$ are not exactly what it seen by $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetry in the 7D space time. What required by the irreducible representations of the 7D $\mathcal{N}=2$ superalgebra are precisely the gravi-photon isotriplet

$$\mathcal{A}^{\underline{a}}_{\mu} = \mathcal{A}^{\underline{a}}_{\mu}(x) \tag{5.23}$$

and the Maxwell gauge fields

$$\mathcal{A}^{\underline{I}}_{\mu} = \mathcal{A}^{\underline{I}}_{\mu}(x) \tag{5.24}$$

describing 19 "photons" in the gauge sector.

This means that the "physical quantities"; in particular the gauge fields $\mathcal{A}^{\underline{a}}_{\mu}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\underline{I}}_{\mu}$ as well as the corresponding field strengths $\mathcal{F}^{\underline{a}}_{\mu\nu}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\underline{I}}_{\mu\nu}$ can be defined as linear combinations of $\mathcal{A}^{\underline{\Lambda}}_{\mu}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\underline{\Lambda}}_{\mu\nu}$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}^{\underline{a}}_{\mu} &= \textstyle\sum_{\Lambda=1}^{22} Q^{\underline{a}}_{\underline{\Lambda}}\mathcal{A}^{\underline{\Lambda}}_{\mu} \qquad , \\ \mathcal{F}^{\underline{a}}_{\mu\nu} &= \textstyle\sum_{\Lambda=1}^{22} Q^{\underline{a}}_{\underline{\Lambda}}\mathcal{F}^{\underline{\Lambda}}_{\mu\nu} \qquad . \end{aligned} \tag{5.25}$$

where the decomposition coefficients $Q^{\underline{a}}_{\underline{\Lambda}} = Q^{\underline{a}}_{\underline{\Lambda}}(\xi)$ are local field tensors whose interpretation will be given in a moment.

To fix the ideas, think about the 22 × 22 matrix, which can be split like

$$Q_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{\Sigma}}=\left(Q_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{a}},Q_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{I}}\right)\ , \tag{5.26}$$

as an orthogonal matrix

$$Q_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{\Sigma}}\in SO\left(3,19\right). \tag{5.27}$$

Similarly, the gauge fields $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{\underline{I}}$ and the corresponding field strengths $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^{\underline{I}}$ may be defined as well as linear combinations of $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{\underline{\Lambda}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^{\underline{\Lambda}}$ like,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{\underline{I}}&=\textstyle\sum_{\Lambda=1}^{22}Q_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{I}}\mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{\underline{\Lambda}}\quad ,\\ \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^{\underline{I}}&=\textstyle\sum_{\Lambda=1}^{22}Q_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{I}}\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^{\underline{\Lambda}}\quad .\end{aligned} \tag{5.28}$$

where $Q_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{I}}$ are as in eq(5.27). Moreover, inverting eqs(5.25-5.28) as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{\underline{\Lambda}}&=\textstyle\sum_{a=1}^{3}L_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{\Lambda}}\mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{\underline{a}}+\sum_{I=1}^{19}L_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{\Lambda}}\mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{\underline{I}}\quad ,\\ \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^{\underline{\Lambda}}&=\textstyle\sum_{a=1}^{3}L_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{\Lambda}}\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^{\underline{a}}+\sum_{I=1}^{19}L_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{\Lambda}}\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^{\underline{I}}\quad ,\end{aligned} \tag{5.29}$$

where the decomposition coefficients $L_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{\Lambda}}$ and $L_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{\Lambda}}$ are local fields, we can get information on the matrices $Q_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{\Upsilon}}$ and $L_{\underline{\Upsilon}}^{\underline{\Sigma}}$.

Substituting the decomposition (5.29) back into (5.25-5.28), we get the following relation

$$\sum_{a=1}^{3}Q_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{a}}L_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{\Sigma}}+\sum_{I=1}^{19}Q_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{I}}L_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{\Sigma}}\equiv\sum_{\Upsilon=1}^{22}Q_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{\Upsilon}}L_{\underline{\Upsilon}}^{\underline{\Sigma}}=\delta_{\Lambda}^{\Sigma}. \tag{5.30}$$

Using the flat metric tensors $\eta_{\underline{ab}}=+\delta_{\underline{ab}}$ and $\eta_{\underline{IJ}}=-\delta_{\underline{IJ}}$ of the inertial frame, we can put this relation into the form

$$Q_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{a}}\eta_{\underline{ab}}L_{\underline{\Sigma}}^{\underline{b}}+Q_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{I}}\eta_{\underline{IJ}}L_{\underline{\Sigma}}^{\underline{J}}=\eta_{\underline{\Lambda\Sigma}},\qquad\Leftrightarrow\qquad Q\eta_{22\times22}L=\eta_{22\times22} \tag{5.31}$$

which is precisely the $SO\left(3,19\right)$ orthogonality relation we have described in sections 2 and 3.

5.2.2 The dual of $\{\Omega_a,\Omega_I\}$

7D $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetry puts a strong constraint on the underlying SHG of the 7D supergravity theory. It requires a particular real 2- cycle basis of $H_2\left(K3\right)$

$$\left\{B^{a}\ ,\ B^{I}\right\}\ , \tag{5.32}$$

which allows to define the gauge fields $\mathcal{A}_\mu^a$ and $\mathcal{A}_\mu^I$ of the supergravity theory like

$$\begin{array}{lllll} \text{Gravity :} & \mathcal{A}_\mu^a = \int_{B^a} \mathcal{C}_3^{11D} & , & \textit{3} \text{ gravi-photons} \\ \text{Matter :} & \mathcal{A}_\mu^I = \int_{B^I} \mathcal{C}_3^{11D} & , & \textit{19} \text{ abelian gauge fields} \end{array} \tag{5.33}$$

To get the relation between the new basis $\left\{B^a, B^I\right\}$ with $a = 1, 2, 3$, $I = 1, ..., 19$, and the old one

$$\left\{\Psi^\Lambda\right\}, \qquad \Lambda = 1, ..., 22, \tag{5.34}$$

considered previously, we proceed as follows:
(1) start from the gauge 3-form $\mathcal{C}_3^{11D}$ of the 11D theory and compactify on K3. By using the $\left\{\Psi^\Lambda\right\}$ 2- cycle basis, we get

$$\mathcal{A}^{\underline{\Lambda}} = \int_{\Psi^\Lambda} \mathcal{C}_3^{11D}, \qquad \mathcal{A}^{\underline{\Lambda}} = dx^\mu \mathcal{A}_\mu^{\underline{\Lambda}}. \tag{5.35}$$

If instead of (5.34), we use the 2- cycle basis $\left\{B^a, B^I\right\}$, we end with the relations (5.33).
(2) compare the two expressions by using (5.25-5.28); we obtain

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{A}^{\underline{a}} = \sum_{\Lambda=1}^{22} Q_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{a}} \left(\int_{\Psi^\Lambda} \mathcal{C}_3^{11D}\right) & , \\ \mathcal{F}_2^{\underline{a}} = \sum_{\Lambda=1}^{22} Q_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{a}} \left(\int_{\Psi^\Lambda} \mathcal{F}_4^{11D}\right) & , \end{array} \tag{5.36}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{A}^{\underline{I}} = \sum_{\Lambda=1}^{22} Q_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{I}} \left(\int_{\Psi^\Lambda} \mathcal{C}_3^{11D}\right) & , \\ \mathcal{F}_2^{\underline{I}} = \sum_{\Lambda=1}^{22} Q_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{I}} \left(\int_{\Psi^\Lambda} \mathcal{F}_4^{11D}\right) & . \end{array} \tag{5.37}$$

But, these relations read also as follows

$$\begin{array}{llll} \mathcal{A}^{\underline{a}} = \int_{B^a} \mathcal{C}_3^{11D} & , & \mathcal{F}_2^{\underline{a}} = \int_{B^a} \mathcal{F}_4^{11D} & , \\ \mathcal{A}^{\underline{I}} = \int_{B^I} \mathcal{C}_3^{11D} & , & \mathcal{F}_2^{\underline{I}} = \int_{B^I} \mathcal{F}_4^{11D} & , \end{array} \tag{5.38}$$

with

$$\begin{array}{ll} \left[B^a\right] = \sum_{\Lambda=1}^{22} Q_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{a}} \left[\Psi^\Lambda\right] & , \\ \left[B^I\right] = \sum_{\Lambda=1}^{22} Q_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{I}} \left[\Psi^\Lambda\right] & , \end{array} \tag{5.39}$$

or equivalently

$$\left[\Psi^{\underline{\Lambda}}\right] = \sum_{a=1}^{3} L_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{\Lambda}} \left[B^a\right] + \sum_{I=1}^{19} L_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{\Lambda}} \left[B^I\right]. \tag{5.40}$$

These similarity transformations show that the gauge fields $\left(\mathcal{A}_\mu^{\underline{a}}, \mathcal{A}_\mu^{\underline{I}}\right)$ and the 2-cycle basis $\left\{B^a, B^I\right\}$ are related in same manner as do the gauge fields $\mathcal{A}_\mu^{\underline{\Lambda}}$ with the basis $\left\{\Psi^\Lambda\right\}$.

Building the 2-cycle basis $\left\{B^a\ ,\ B^I\right\}$

The "physical" *3* gravi-photons $\mathcal{A}_\mu^{\underline{a}}$ of the gravity multiplet and the *19* "physical" abelian gauge fields $\mathcal{A}_\mu^{\underline{I}}$ of the matter multiplets can be defined in terms of the $\left\{B^a, B^I\right\}$ 2-cycle basis of $H_2(K3, R)$. This is a real 22 dimensional canonical basis

$$\left\{B^a, B^I\right\}, \qquad a = 1, 2, 3, \qquad I = 1, ..., 19, \tag{5.41}$$

dual to $\{\Omega_a, \Omega_I\}$ and it is related to the old basis $\left\{\Psi^\Lambda\right\}$ by eqs(5.39).

Poincaré duality associates eq(5.41) and eq(5.1) through the relation,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \int_{B^a}\Omega_c & \sim & \lambda\delta_c^a & , & \int_{B^a}\Omega_I & \sim & \lambda\xi_I^a \quad , \\ \int_{B^I}\Omega_c & \sim & \varrho\xi_c^I & , & \int_{B^I}\Omega_J & \sim & \varrho\delta_J^I \quad , \end{array} \tag{5.42}$$

where $\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{3+\xi^2}{3}}$ and $\varrho = \sqrt{\frac{19+\xi^2}{19}}$, with $\xi^2 = \sum \xi_I^a \xi_a^I$, are as in eqs(2.54).

Thus, the physics of the 7D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theory teaches us that eqs(5.1) (resp. (5.41)) is the natural basis of the second real cohomology of K3 (resp. $H_2(K3, R)$).

Checking eqs(5.1-5.41)

To check the naturalness of eqs (5.1-5.41), we compute the magnetic charges of the black hole and compare them with the results obtained in section 3.

With the gauge field strengths $\left(\mathcal{F}_2^{\underline{a}}, \mathcal{F}_2^{\underline{I}}\right)$ defined as in eqs(5.38), the "physical" magnetic charges are given by

$$m^{\underline{a}} = \int_{S^2_\infty} \mathcal{F}_2^{\underline{a}} \quad , \qquad m^{\underline{I}} = \int_{S^2_\infty} \mathcal{F}_2^{\underline{I}} \quad . \tag{5.43}$$

Using eqs(5.25-5.28), we can put the above relations in the form involving the field strength $\mathcal{F}_2^{\underline{\Lambda}}$ and the field coordinates of the moduli space of the theory,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S^2_\infty} \mathcal{F}_2^{\underline{a}} &= \sum_{\Lambda=1}^{22} Q_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{a}} \left(\int_{S^2} \mathcal{F}_2^{\underline{\Lambda}}\right) \quad , \\ \int_{S^2_\infty} \mathcal{F}_2^{\underline{I}} &= \sum_{\Lambda=1}^{22} Q_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{I}} \left(\int_{S^2} \mathcal{F}_2^{\underline{\Lambda}}\right) \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{5.44}$$

Then using the identity $\int_{S^2_\infty} \mathcal{F}_2^{\underline{\Lambda}} = p^{\underline{\Lambda}}$ considered in section 3, the above relations can be reduced down to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S^2} \mathcal{F}_2^{\underline{a}} &= \textstyle\sum_{\Lambda=1}^{22} p^{\underline{\Lambda}} Q_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{a}} \quad , \\ \int_{S^2} \mathcal{F}_2^{\underline{I}} &= \textstyle\sum_{\Lambda=1}^{22} p^{\underline{\Lambda}} Q_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{I}} \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{5.45}$$

Comparing the expressions with eqs(3.7), we find that the physical magnetic charges $m^{\underline{a}}$ and $m^{\underline{I}}$ are precisely the dressed charges;

$$m^{\underline{a}} = Z^{\underline{a}} \quad , \qquad m^{\underline{I}} = Z^{\underline{I}} \quad , \tag{5.46}$$

involved in the supersymmetric transformations of the Fermi fields of the 7D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theory [64]-[66].

5.3 More on the basis $\{\Omega_a, \ \Omega_I\}$

The real 22 dimensional 2-form basis $\{\Omega_a, \ \Omega_I\}$ of $H^2(K3, R)$ has also an interpretation in terms of the Hodge decomposition of the second real cohomology group of K3,

$$H^2(K3, R) = H^{(2,0)} \oplus_s H^{(1,1)} \oplus_s H^{(0,2)}. \tag{5.47}$$

This Hodge decomposition has a particular property which we comment below:

5.3.1 The isotriplet Ω_a

Compared with the Hodge decomposition of the half dimensional cohomology $H^n(CYn, R)$ of generic complex n dimension Calabi-Yau manifold, namely,

$$H^n(CY, R) = H^{(n,0)} \oplus_s H^{(n-1,1)} \oplus ... \oplus_s H^{(1,n-1)} \oplus_s H^{(0,n)}, \tag{5.48}$$

eq(5.47) is particular and makes K3 a very special Calabi-Yau manifold. The point is that for the particular case of complex $n = 2$ Calabi-Yau surfaces, it happens that the holomorphic and anti-holomorphic 2-forms

$$\Omega^{(n,0)} \qquad , \qquad \Omega^{(0,n)}, \tag{5.49}$$

as well as the Kahler 2-form

$$\Omega^{(1,1)} \tag{5.50}$$

belong all of them to the same cohomology group.

The property that $\Omega^{(2,0)}$, $\Omega^{(0,2)}$ and $\Omega^{(1,1)}$ are in the same second cohomology of K3 allows us to combine altogether the complex moduli

$$z_i = x_i + iy_i \equiv z_i^+ \qquad , \qquad \overline{z}_i = x_i - iy_i \equiv z_i^-, \tag{5.51}$$

and the Kahler ones

$$t_i \equiv z_i^0 \ , \tag{5.52}$$

to form $SO(3)$ isotriplets

$$\xi_i^a = (t_i, x_i, y_i) \qquad \leftrightarrow \qquad \xi_i^a = \left(z_i^0, z_i^+, z_i^-\right). \tag{5.53}$$

Recall that these moduli are given by the following integrals

$$\begin{array}{lclc} z_i^+ = \int_{C_i} \Omega^+ & , & x_i = \int_{C_i} \operatorname{Re} \Omega^+ & , \\ z_i^- = \int_{C_i} \Omega^- & , & y_i = \int_{C_i} \operatorname{Im} \Omega^+ & , \\ z_i^0 = \int_{C_i} \Omega^0 & , & t_i = \int_{C_i} \Omega^0 & , \end{array} \tag{5.54}$$

where $\{C_i\}$ is a generic basis of real 2-cycles of K3 and where we have set,

$$\begin{array}{lclc} \Omega^+ = \Omega^{(2,0)} & , & \overline{\Omega^+} = \Omega^- & , \\ \Omega^- = \Omega^{(0,2)} & , & \overline{\Omega^-} = \Omega^+ & , \\ \Omega^0 = \Omega^{(1,1)} & , & \overline{\Omega^0} = \Omega^0 & . \end{array} \tag{5.55}$$

For later use, we also set

$$\operatorname{Re} \Omega^+ \equiv \Omega^1 \qquad , \qquad \operatorname{Im} \Omega^- \equiv \Omega^2. \tag{5.56}$$

and,

$$\langle F, G \rangle = \int_{K3} F \wedge G, \qquad F,\ G \in H^2 (K3). \tag{5.57}$$

The above inner product is bilinear and symmetric

$$\begin{array}{lcll} \langle aF + bF', G \rangle & = & a \langle F, G \rangle + b \langle F', G \rangle & , \\ \langle F, G \rangle & = & \langle G, F \rangle & . \end{array} \tag{5.58}$$

Using the orthogonality relations,

$$\begin{array}{lcll} \langle \Omega^+, \Omega^+ \rangle & = & 0 & , \\ \langle \Omega^\pm, \Omega^0 \rangle & = & 0 & , \\ \langle \Omega^-, \Omega^- \rangle & = & 0 & , \end{array} \tag{5.59}$$

and the identity

$$\left\langle \Omega^-, \Omega^+ \right\rangle = 2 \left\langle \Omega^0, \Omega^0 \right\rangle \ , \tag{5.60}$$

required by $SO(3)$ symmetry, it is not difficult to see that we also have the orthogonality relations

$$\left\langle \Omega^1, \Omega^2 \right\rangle = \left\langle \Omega^1, \Omega^0 \right\rangle = \left\langle \Omega^2, \Omega^0 \right\rangle = 0, \tag{5.61}$$

together with

$$\left\langle \Omega^1, \Omega^1 \right\rangle = \left\langle \Omega^2, \Omega^2 \right\rangle = \left\langle \Omega^0, \Omega^0 \right\rangle. \tag{5.62}$$

Eqs (5.59-5.62) can be put altogether in the following relation

$$\left\langle \Omega^a, \Omega^b \right\rangle = \lambda \delta^{ab}, \tag{5.63}$$

where the real number can be determined by computing $\lambda = \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ab} \left\langle \Omega^a, \Omega^b \right\rangle$.

5.3.2 The 19- uplet Ω_I

The metric of the complex surface K3 has two kinds of deformations:
(**i**) Complex deformations$\left(z_I^+, z_I^-\right)$ captured by the periods of the holomorphic Ω^+ and antiholomorphic Ω^- 2-forms.
(**ii**) Kahler deformations t_I captured by the periods of the Kahler 2-form Ω^0.
Here we want to show that the real 2-form Ω_I is given by the following $SO(3)$ invariant

$$\Omega_I = D_{+I}\Omega^+ + D_{-I}\Omega^- + D_{0I}\Omega^0, \tag{5.64}$$

where $D_{0,\pm I}$ are covariant derivatives to be defined later on.

(1) *Complex holomorphic deformations*
The complex holomorphic deformations (5.54) with moduli z^{+I} are generated by the typical complex $(1,1)$- form Ω^+_{+I} following from the complex variation $\delta\Omega^+$ of the holomorphic 2-form Ω_+,

$$\delta\Omega^+ = \sum_{I=1}^{19}\left(\Omega^+_{+I}\right)\delta z^{+I}, \qquad \Omega^+_{+I} = D_{+I}\Omega^+. \tag{5.65}$$

The gauge covariant derivative $D_{+I}\Omega^+$ is defined in term of the gauge field A_{+I}, associated with the coordinate transformations of the moduli space of complex deformations, as follows

$$D_{+I}\Omega^+ = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{+I}} - A_{+I}\right)\Omega^+. \tag{5.66}$$

Under a Kahler gauge transformation with holomorphic gauge parameter $\mathrm{f}(z)$

$$\Omega^+ \qquad \rightarrow \qquad e^{\mathrm{f}(z)}\Omega^+ , \tag{5.67}$$

the covariant derivative $D_{+I}\Omega^+$ should transform in same manner; i.e

$$\left(D_{+I}\Omega^+\right) \qquad \rightarrow \qquad e^{\mathrm{f}(z)}\left(D_{+I}\Omega^+\right). \tag{5.68}$$

So we should also have

$$A_{+I} \qquad \rightarrow \qquad A_{+I} + \frac{\partial \mathrm{f}(z)}{\partial z^{+I}} , \tag{5.69}$$

Notice also that the complex moduli $\left\{z^{+I}\right\}$ parameterize the complex 19 dimension manifold

$$\frac{SO(2,19)}{SO(2)\times SO(19)} , \tag{5.70}$$

which is a submanifold of the moduli space (4.19).
Notice moreover that we also have the following trivial variations

$$\Omega^+_{-I} = \left(\frac{\delta\Omega^+}{\delta z^{-I}}\right) = 0 \qquad , \qquad \Omega^+_{0I} = \left(\frac{\delta\Omega^+}{\delta t^I}\right) = 0. \tag{5.71}$$

Using the real notations,

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^\pm &= \Omega^1 \pm i\Omega^2 \quad , \\ z^{\pm I} &= x^I \pm iy^I \quad , \end{aligned} \tag{5.72}$$

and the parametrization

$$\begin{array}{lcll} \mathrm{f}\,(z) & = & r\,(x,y) + i\theta\,(x,y) & , \\ \frac{\partial\theta(x,y)}{\partial x} & = & -\frac{\partial \mathrm{r}(x,y)}{\partial y} & , \\ \frac{\partial \mathrm{r}(x,y)}{\partial x} & = & \frac{\partial\theta(x,y)}{\partial y} & , \\ e^{\mathrm{f}(z)} & = & e^{\mathrm{r}(x,y)} e^{i\theta(x,y)} & , \end{array} \tag{5.73}$$

the Kahler gauge transformation of real 2-forms Ω^1 and Ω^2 read as follows

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Omega^1 \\ \Omega^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \longrightarrow \quad e^{r(x,y)} \begin{pmatrix} \Omega^1 \cos\theta + \Omega^2 \sin\theta \\ -\Omega^1 \sin\theta + \Omega^2 \cos\theta \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.74}$$

where $r\,(x,y)$ and $\theta\,(x,y)$ are respectively the local scale and local $SO\,(2)$ transformations.

(2) *Complex antiholomorphic deformations*
Along with the z^{+I} complex moduli, we have also the antiholomorphic moduli z^{-I} (5.54). They correspond to the variations,

$$\Omega^-_{-I} = D_{-I}\Omega^- = \overline{\left(\Omega^+_{+I}\right)}. \tag{5.75}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^-_{+I} &= \left(\tfrac{\delta\Omega^-}{\delta z^{+I}}\right) &= 0 \quad , \\ \Omega^-_{0I} &= \left(\tfrac{\delta\Omega^-}{\delta t^I}\right) &= 0 \quad , \end{aligned} \tag{5.76}$$

which are just the complex conjugation of eqs(5.71).
With the above relations, one can define the complex deformation tensor as

$$\Omega^b_{aI} = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega^+_{+I} & \Omega^-_{+I} \\ \Omega^+_{-I} & \Omega^-_{-I} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega^+_{+I} & 0 \\ 0 & \Omega^-_{-I} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad a, b = +, -, \tag{5.77}$$

The trace of this deformation tensor is $SO\,(2)$ invariant and reads as follows

$$Tr_{\mathrm{SO(2)}}\left(\Omega^b_{aI}\right) = \left(\sum_{a=\pm} \Omega^a_{aI}\right) \equiv \Omega_I. \tag{5.78}$$

Moreover, using the decomposition (5.72), we have the following identities

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta\Omega^+}{\delta z^{+I}} &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\delta\Omega^1}{\delta x^I}+\frac{\delta\Omega^2}{\delta y^I}\right)+\frac{i}{2}\left(\frac{\delta\Omega^2}{\delta x^I}-\frac{\delta\Omega^1}{\delta y^I}\right)\ , \\ \frac{\delta\Omega^-}{\delta z^{-I}} &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\delta\Omega^1}{\delta x^I}+\frac{\delta\Omega^2}{\delta y^I}\right)-\frac{i}{2}\left(\frac{\delta\Omega^2}{\delta x^I}-\frac{\delta\Omega^1}{\delta y^I}\right)\ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.79}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta\Omega^+}{\delta z^{-I}} &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\delta\Omega^1}{\delta x^I}-\frac{\delta\Omega^2}{\delta y^I}\right)+\frac{i}{2}\left(\frac{\delta\Omega^2}{\delta x^I}+\frac{\delta\Omega^1}{\delta y^I}\right)\ , \\ \frac{\delta\Omega^-}{\delta z^{+I}} &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\delta\Omega^1}{\delta x^I}-\frac{\delta\Omega^2}{\delta y^I}\right)-\frac{i}{2}\left(\frac{\delta\Omega^2}{\delta x^I}+\frac{\delta\Omega^1}{\delta y^I}\right)\ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.80}$$

from which we read

$$\frac{\delta\Omega^1}{\delta x^i}=\frac{\delta\Omega^2}{\delta y^i} \qquad , \qquad \frac{\delta\Omega^2}{\delta x^i}=-\frac{\delta\Omega^1}{\delta y^i}. \tag{5.81}$$

Using the identities (5.79-5.81), we can rewrite the deformation tensor Ω^b_{aI} in the real coordinate frame as follows

$$\Omega^b_{aI}=\begin{pmatrix} \Omega^1_{1I} & \Omega^2_{1I} \\ \Omega^1_{2I} & \Omega^2_{2I} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad a,b=1,2. \tag{5.82}$$

The trace is

$$\Omega_I=\left(\frac{\delta\Omega^+}{\delta z^{+I}}+\frac{\delta\Omega^-}{\delta z^{-I}}\right)=\left(\frac{\delta\Omega^1}{\delta x^I}+\frac{\delta\Omega^2}{\delta y^I}\right). \tag{5.83}$$

(2) *Kahler deformations*

The Kahler deformations (5.54) of the metric of K3 captured by the real moduli σ and t^I are generated by the variation $\delta\Omega^0$ of the Kahler 2-form,

$$\delta\Omega^0=\left(\sum_{I=1}^{19}\Omega^0_I\delta t^I\right)+\Omega^0_\sigma\delta\sigma, \qquad \Omega^0_\sigma=\left(\frac{\partial\Omega^0}{\partial\sigma}\right). \tag{5.84}$$

By setting $t^I=z^{0I}$, we can put the above relation into the form,

$$\delta\Omega^0=\left(\sum_{I=1}^{19}\Omega^0_{0I}\delta z^{0I}\right)+\left(\Omega^0_\sigma\delta\sigma\right), \tag{5.85}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^0_{0I} &= \left(D_{0I}\Omega^0\right) \qquad , \\ D_{0I}\Omega^0 &= \left(\tfrac{\partial}{\partial z^{0I}}-A_{0I}\ \right)\Omega^0 \quad , \end{aligned} \tag{5.86}$$

where $A_{0I}\left(t\right)$ is the gauge field capturing the local scale transformation

$$\Omega^0 \rightarrow e^{\tau(t)}\Omega^0, \qquad A_{0I} \rightarrow A_{0I} + \frac{\partial \tau\left(t\right)}{\partial t^I}. \tag{5.87}$$

The real deformations $\left\{t^I\right\}$ and σ parameterize the real 20 dimension manifold

$$\frac{SO\left(1,19\right)}{SO\left(19\right)} \times SO\left(1,1\right). \tag{5.88}$$

This is a submanifold of the moduli space (4.19) and can be thought of as the transverse space to the space $\frac{SO(2,19)}{SO(2)\times SO(19)}$ eq(5.70) in the full moduli space $\frac{SO(3,19)}{SO(3)\times SO(19)} \times SO\left(1,1\right)$. We also have the analogue of eqs(5.71),

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^0_{+I} &= \left(\frac{\delta\Omega^0}{\delta z^{+I}}\right) &= 0 \quad , \\ \Omega^0_{-I} &= \left(\frac{\delta\Omega^0}{\delta z^{-I}}\right) &= 0 \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{5.89}$$

5.3.3 Deformation tensor Ω^b_{aI}

From the above analysis, we learn the two following remarkable properties:
(1) the moduli $\left\{\sigma, t^I, x^I, y^I\right\}$ describing Kahler and complex deformations of the metric of K3 parameterize the space

$$SO\left(1,1\right) \times \left(\frac{SO\left(1,19\right)}{SO\left(19\right)}\right) \times \left(\frac{SO\left(2,19\right)}{SO\left(2\right)\times SO\left(19\right)}\right), \tag{5.90}$$

with isotropy symmetry $SO\left(2\right)\times SO\left(19\right)$. as mentioned earlier. This is a sub-manifold of eq(4.19).
(2) the 3×3 deformation matrix $\left(\Omega^b_{aI}\right)$, capturing both the Kahler and complex deformations of the metric of K3, is generally given by

$$\left(\Omega^b_{aI}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega^0_{0I} & \Omega^+_{0I} & \Omega^-_{0I} \\ \Omega^0_{+I} & \Omega^+_{+I} & \Omega^-_{+I} \\ \Omega^0_{-I} & \Omega^+_{-I} & \Omega^-_{-I} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.91}$$

However, because of eqs(5.71,5.76,5.89), this matrix reduces to the diagonal form

$$\Omega^b_{aI} = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega^+_{+I} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Omega^0_{0I} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Omega^-_{-I} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.92}$$

Eq(5.92) captures the 1+57 deformations of the metric of K3; the dilaton can be exhibited by factorizing it as follows:

$$\Omega^b_{aI} = e^{-\sigma}\varpi^b_{aI}. \tag{5.93}$$

However, seen that $\dim H^2(K3,\mathbb{R}) = 22$, and seen that three of the vector basis of $H^2(K3,\mathbb{R})$ namely Ω_a have been already identified, it follows that the remaining nineteen 2-forms vector basis are given by

$$\Omega_I = \Omega^+_{+I} + \Omega^+_{+I} + \Omega^+_{+I}. \tag{5.94}$$

This trace is precisely eq(5.64); and it reads, in the real notations, as follows

$$\Omega_I = \sum_{a=0}^{2} (D_{aI}\Omega^a) = \sum_{a=0,\pm} (D_{aI}\Omega^a). \tag{5.95}$$

Notice that gauge transformations

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega^0(t) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{e}^{\tau(t)}\Omega^0(t) \quad , \\ \Omega^+(z) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{f}(z)}\Omega^+(z) \quad , \\ \Omega^-(\overline{z}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{e}^{\overline{\mathrm{f}}(\overline{z})}\Omega^-(\overline{z}) \quad , \end{array} \tag{5.96}$$

as well eqs(5.74) and (5.87), are not the most general one. The most general gauge change for the isotriplet 2-form $\Omega^a = \Omega^a(\phi)$ should be like

$$\Omega^a \quad \rightarrow \quad e^{\lambda}\left(U^a_b\Omega^b\right) \quad , \tag{5.97}$$

where $U^a_b = U^a_b(\phi)$ is a local $SO(3)$ gauge transformation and $\exp[\lambda(\phi)]$ being a local scale factor.

6 SHG: the basic relations

The special hyperKahler geometry (SHG) of the moduli space of the 11D M-theory on K3 can be nicely described by specifying:

(**1**) the usual Hodge 2- form basis $\{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_\Lambda, \ \ \Lambda = 1, ..., 22\}$ and its dual 2-cycle basis $\{\Psi^\Lambda\}$ of $H_2(K3, R)$ satisfying

$$\int_{\Psi^\Lambda} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_\Sigma \sim \delta^\Lambda_\Sigma. \tag{6.1}$$

(**2**) the new basis $\{\Omega_a, \Omega_I\}$ with $\Omega_I = D_{aI}\Omega^a$ and its dual 2-cycle basis $\{B^a, B^I\}$ considered in previous section.

The "old" real 2-forms basis $\{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_\Lambda\}$ and the "new" $\{\Omega_a, \Omega_I\}$ one are globally defined on K3; they generate the second real cohomology group $H^2(K3, R)$. The passage from the old Hodge basis $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_\Lambda$ to the new basis $\{\Omega_a, \Omega_I\}$ is given, at each point $\varphi^m = \left(\sigma, \phi^{aI}\right)$ of the moduli space, by the similarity transformations

$$\begin{array}{ll} \Omega_a & = \sum \boldsymbol{\alpha}_\Lambda X^\Lambda_a(\varphi) \quad , \\ \Omega_I & = \sum \boldsymbol{\alpha}_\Lambda X^\Lambda_I(\varphi) \quad . \end{array} \tag{6.2}$$

The expansion modes $X_a^\Lambda(\varphi)$ and $X_I^\Lambda(\varphi)$ are local fields on the moduli space and can be interpreted as the periods of Ω_a and Ω_I over the 2-cycles Ψ^Λ as shown below,

$$\begin{array}{rll} X_a^\Lambda(\varphi) = & \int_{\Psi^\Lambda}\Omega_a & , \\ X_I^\Lambda(\varphi) = & \int_{\Psi^\Lambda}\Omega_I & . \end{array} \tag{6.3}$$

The 2-forms Ω_a and Ω_I are defined up to a local $SO(3)\times SO(19)$ gauge transformations,

$$\begin{array}{rlcrll} \Omega_a(\varphi) \equiv & U_a^b(\varphi)\,\Omega_b(\varphi) & , & \Omega_I(\varphi) \equiv & V_I^J(\varphi)\,\Omega_J(\varphi) & , \\ X_a^\Lambda(\varphi) \equiv & U_a^b(\varphi)\,X_b^\Lambda(\varphi) & , & X_I^\Lambda(\varphi) \equiv & V_I^J(\varphi)\,X_J^\Lambda(\varphi) & , \end{array} \tag{6.4}$$

with

$$\begin{array}{rll} U_a^c(\varphi)\,U_c^b(\varphi) & = \delta_a^b & , \\ V_I^K(\varphi)\,V_K^J(\varphi) & = \delta_I^J & , \end{array} \tag{6.5}$$

where φ parameterizes a generic local point on $\boldsymbol{M}_{7D}^{N=2}$.

6.1 Fundamental relations

The constraint eqs(5.59-5.63) describing the Kahler and complex deformations of the metric of K3 can be reformulated in an $SO(3)\times SO(19)$ covariant manner by using the basis $\{\Omega_a,\Omega_I\}$ and the symmetric inner products $\langle\Omega_a,\Omega_b\rangle$, $\langle\Omega_I,\Omega_J\rangle$ and so on. Notice that the inner product $\langle F,G\rangle$ of generic local 2-forms $F,G\in H^2(K3,\mathbb{R})$ is defined as

$$\langle F,G\rangle = \int_{K3} F\wedge G. \tag{6.6}$$

It is bilinear and symmetric.

6.1.1 Gauge invariant constraint eqs

Because of their local nature and because of their symmetries, the constraint eqs(5.59-5.62) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{array}{rcll} \langle\Omega^a(\sigma,\phi),\Omega_b(\sigma,\phi)\rangle & = & \delta_b^a & , \\ \langle\Omega^a(\sigma,\phi),\Omega_I(\sigma,\phi)\rangle & = & 0 & , \\ \left\langle\Omega^I(\sigma,\phi),\Omega_J(\sigma,\phi)\right\rangle & = & \delta_J^I & . \end{array} \tag{6.7}$$

These relations are gauge invariant under the $SO(3)\times SO(19)$ local gauge transformations (6.4); thanks to the local orthogonality relations

$$\begin{array}{rlcll} \delta_a^b = & U_a^c(\varphi)\,U_d^b(\varphi)\,\delta_c^d & , & U\in SO(3) & , \\ \delta_J^I = & V_J^L(\varphi)\,V_K^I(\varphi)\,\delta_L^K & , & V\in SO(19) & . \end{array} \tag{6.8}$$

Now, think about the δ_b^a and δ_J^I invariants as the products of the local field matrix K_{ab} (resp. K_{LJ}) and its K^{ac} inverse (resp. K^{IL});

$$\begin{array}{llll} \delta_b^a = & \mathcal{K}^{ac}(\varphi)\,\mathcal{K}_{cb}(\varphi) & = & K^{ac}(\phi)\,K_{cb}(\phi) \quad , \\ \delta_J^I = & \mathcal{K}^{IL}(\varphi)\,\mathcal{K}_{LJ}(\varphi) & = & K^{IL}(\phi)\,K_{LJ}(\phi) \quad , \end{array} \tag{6.9}$$

with the field matrices $\mathcal{K}_{ab}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{IJ}$ factorized like,

$$\begin{array}{llcll} \mathcal{K}^{ac}(\sigma,\phi) = & e^{+\sigma}K^{ac}(\phi) & , & \mathcal{K}_{cb}(\sigma,\phi) = & e^{-\sigma}K_{cb}(\phi) \quad , \\ \mathcal{K}^{IL}(\sigma,\phi) = & e^{+\sigma}K^{IL}(\phi) & , & \mathcal{K}_{LJ}(\sigma,\phi) = & e^{-\sigma}K_{LJ}(\phi) \quad . \end{array} \tag{6.10}$$

Then put back into eqs(6.7), we can bring it to the following covariant form

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \langle\Omega_a,\Omega_b\rangle = e^{-2\sigma}K_{ab} & , & \left\langle\Omega^a,\Omega^b\right\rangle = e^{+2\sigma}K^{ab} \quad , \\ \langle\Omega_a,\Omega_I\rangle = 0 & , & \left\langle\Omega^a,\Omega^I\right\rangle = 0 \quad , \\ \langle\Omega_I,\Omega_J\rangle = e^{-2\sigma}K_{IJ} & , & \left\langle\Omega^I,\Omega^J\right\rangle = e^{+2\sigma}K^{IJ} \quad . \end{array} \tag{6.11}$$

Moreover, setting $\Omega_a = \Omega_a(\sigma,\phi)$ and $\Omega_I = \Omega_I(\sigma,\phi)$ as

$$\Omega_a = e^{-\sigma}\varpi_a(\phi) \qquad , \qquad \Omega_I = e^{-\sigma}\varpi_I(\phi) \;, \tag{6.12}$$

the above eqs reduce further down to

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \langle\varpi_a,\varpi_b\rangle = K_{ab} & , & \left\langle\varpi^a,\varpi^b\right\rangle = K^{ab} \quad , \\ \langle\varpi_a,\varpi_I\rangle = 0 & , & \left\langle\varpi^a,\varpi^I\right\rangle = 0 \quad , \\ \langle\varpi_I,\varpi_J\rangle = K_{IJ} & , & \left\langle\varpi^I,\varpi^J\right\rangle = K^{IJ} \quad , \end{array} \tag{6.13}$$

where now the dependence into the dilaton field σ has been completely factorized out. Besides locality, we learn from the above fundamental relations, a set of special features; in particular the following.

Metric tensors and potentials

First notice that because of the following symmetry properties

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \Omega_a\wedge\Omega_b & = & \Omega_b\wedge\Omega_a \quad , \\ \Omega_I\wedge\Omega_J & = & \Omega_J\wedge\Omega_I \quad , \end{array} \tag{6.14}$$

the local field matrices K_{ab} and K_{IJ} are real and symmetric

$$K_{ab} = K_{ba} \qquad , \qquad K_{IJ} = K_{JI}. \tag{6.15}$$

These rank two tensor fields play also the role of metric tensors that can be used to rise and lower the $SO(3)$ and $SO(19)$ indices as shown below:

$$\Omega_a = K_{ab}\Omega^b, \qquad \Omega_I = K_{IJ}\Omega^J. \tag{6.16}$$

Under the $SO(3)$ gauge transformations $\Omega'_a = U_a^b \Omega_b$, the matrix K_{IJ} is invariant while K_{ab} transforms like

$$K_{ab} \qquad \rightarrow \qquad K'_{ab} = U_a^c K_{cd} U_b^d. \tag{6.17}$$

Eq(6.17) shows that K_{cd} captures three physical degrees of freedom (a 3-vector potential κ_a) since one can usually perform an appropriate $SO(3)$ gauge transformation to put K_{ab} in a diagonal form

$$K'_{ab} = \kappa_a \delta_{ab}. \tag{6.18}$$

Proposition 3

(i) *The 2-form isotriplet ϖ_a and the matrix potential $K_{ab}(\phi)$ are defined up to the $SO(3)$ gauge symmetry eq(6.17).*

(ii) *The geometry of the moduli space of the 11D M-theory on K3 is characterized by a 3-vector potential $(\kappa_0, \kappa_1, \kappa_2)$. These potentials reflects the hyperKahler structure that lives on K3. They could be thought of as the analogue of the Kahler potential of the special Kahler geometry of type IIB superstring on Calabi-Yau threefolds.*

(iii) *The real 3-vector potential κ_a describes the "physical" degrees of freedom captured by the local field metric $K_{ab}(\phi)$ defining the intersections $\langle \varpi_a, \varpi_b \rangle$. SHG is then specified by the isovector $(\kappa_0, \kappa_1, \kappa_2)$.*

Volume of K3

The $SO(3)$ invariant real volume of K3 reads as

$$\mathcal{V}(K3) = \frac{1}{3} K^{ab} \langle \Omega_a, \Omega_b \rangle. \tag{6.19}$$

We can write this volume in different, but equivalent, ways:

First by using eq(6.2), we have, up on integrating over K3, the following result

$$\mathcal{V}(K3) = \frac{1}{3} K^{ab}(\varphi) X_a^\Lambda(\varphi) J_{\Lambda\Sigma}(\varphi) X_b^\Sigma(\varphi), \tag{6.20}$$

where $J_{\Lambda\Sigma}(\varphi)$ will be defined below and $X_a^\Lambda(\varphi)$ as before.

Moreover, by using the first relation of eqs(6.11), we find that $\mathcal{V}(K3)$ is given by the exponential of the dilaton field

$$\mathcal{V}(K3) = e^{-2\sigma}. \tag{6.21}$$

Notice that $\mathcal{V}(K3)$ is non degenerate[10] only for finite values of σ, see also *footnote 5*.

Furthermore, using the third relation of eqs(6.11), the volume $\mathcal{V}(K3)$ is also invariant under $SO(19)$ and can be expressed as well like,

$$e^{-2\sigma} = \frac{1}{19} K^{IJ} \langle \Omega_I, \Omega_J \rangle = \frac{1}{19} K^{IJ} X_I^\Lambda(\varphi) J_{\Lambda\Sigma} X_J^\Sigma(\varphi). \tag{6.22}$$

---

[10] $\mathcal{V}(K3) = e^{-2\sigma} \rightarrow 0$ for $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$ and to infinity for $\sigma \rightarrow -\infty$.

Comparing eq(6.19) and eq(6.22), we end with the identity

$$\frac{1}{19}K^{IJ}\left\langle \Omega_I,\Omega_J\right\rangle = \frac{1}{3}K^{ab}\left\langle \Omega_a,\Omega_b\right\rangle . \tag{6.23}$$

By substituting $\Omega_I = D_{aI}\Omega^a$ into the third relation of eqs(6.11), we get

$$\begin{array}{lll} K_{IJ} & = & e^{+2\sigma}\left\langle D_{aI}\Omega^a, D_{bJ}\Omega^b\right\rangle \qquad , \\ & = & e^{+2\sigma}K^{ac}K^{bd}\left(D_{aI}X_c^{\Lambda}\right)J_{\Lambda\Sigma}\left(D_{bJ}X_d^{\Sigma}\right) \qquad . \end{array} \tag{6.24}$$

Notice that we cannot pull out the covariant derivatives D_{aI} and D_{bJ} outside the inner product $\left\langle D_{aI}\Omega^a, D_{bJ}\Omega^b\right\rangle$. As such the relation between the matrices K_{IJ} and K_{ab} is not trivial as in SKG eqs(9.24-9.28). It will be considered later on by using the vielbeins e_a^b and their derivatives.

SHG using old basis

The constraint relations (6.11) have been formulated in terms of the inner product of 2-forms Ω_a and Ω_I. We can also rewrite these constraint eqs by using the Hodge basis $\{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_\Lambda\}$ as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \left\langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}_\Lambda,\boldsymbol{\alpha}_\Sigma\right\rangle & = e^{-2\sigma}J_{\Lambda\Sigma} \quad , \\ \left\langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}^\Lambda,\boldsymbol{\alpha}^\Sigma\right\rangle & = e^{+2\sigma}J^{\Lambda\Sigma} \quad , \\ \left\langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}_\Lambda,\boldsymbol{\alpha}^\Sigma\right\rangle & = \delta_\Lambda^\Sigma \qquad\quad , \end{array} \tag{6.25}$$

with

$$J_{\Lambda\Upsilon}J^{\Upsilon\Sigma} = \delta_\Lambda^\Sigma, \qquad J_{\Lambda\Sigma} = J_{\Sigma\Lambda}. \tag{6.26}$$

The field matrix $J_{\Lambda\Upsilon}$ can be interpreted as the metric tensor to rise and lower the indices Λ of the $SO\left(3,19\right)$ vectors as

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}_\Lambda = \sum_{\Upsilon=1}^{22} J_{\Lambda\Upsilon}\boldsymbol{\alpha}^\Upsilon. \tag{6.27}$$

Eqs(6.25) are invariant under the local $SO\left(3,19\right)$ gauge transformations,

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}_\Lambda \equiv \boldsymbol{\alpha}_\Sigma P_\Lambda^\Sigma\left(\varphi\right), \qquad P_\Lambda^\Upsilon\left(\varphi\right)P_\Upsilon^\Sigma\left(\varphi\right) = \delta_\Lambda^\Sigma. \tag{6.28}$$

Using the expansions (6.2) and their inverse, which we write as

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}_\Lambda = \sum_{a=1}^{3}\Omega_a T_\Lambda^a\left(\varphi\right) + \sum_{I=1}^{19}\Omega_I T_\Lambda^I\left(\varphi\right), \tag{6.29}$$

we can work out the relations between the field moduli $X_a^\Lambda\left(\varphi\right)$, $X_I^\Lambda\left(\varphi\right)$, $T_\Lambda^a\left(\varphi\right)$, $T_\Lambda^I\left(\varphi\right)$ and the matrices K_{ab}, K_{IJ} and $J_{\Lambda\Sigma}$.

First we have

$$\begin{array}{lll} X_a^\Lambda\left(\varphi\right)J_{\Lambda\Sigma}X_b^\Sigma\left(\varphi\right) & = K_{ab}\left(\varphi\right) & , \\ X_a^\Lambda\left(\varphi\right)J_{\Lambda\Sigma}X_J^\Sigma\left(\varphi\right) & 0 & , \\ X_I^\Lambda\left(\varphi\right)J_{\Lambda\Sigma}X_J^\Sigma\left(\varphi\right) & K_{IJ}\left(\varphi\right). & . \end{array} \tag{6.30}$$

Similarly,

$$K_{ab}\left(\varphi\right)T_{\Lambda}^{a}\left(\varphi\right)T_{\Sigma}^{b}\left(\varphi\right)+K_{IJ}\left(\varphi\right)T_{\Lambda}^{I}\left(\varphi\right)T_{\Sigma}^{J}\left(\varphi\right)=J_{\Lambda\Sigma}\left(\varphi\right). \tag{6.31}$$

By integrating eq(6.29) over the 2-cycle Ψ^{Σ}, we also have

$$X_{a}^{\Sigma}\left(\varphi\right)T_{\Lambda}^{a}\left(\varphi\right)+X_{I}^{\Sigma}\left(\varphi\right)T_{\Lambda}^{I}\left(\varphi\right)=\delta_{\Lambda}^{\Sigma}, \tag{6.32}$$

showing that the matrix $\left(T_{\Lambda}^{a},T_{\Lambda}^{I}\right)$ is just the inverse of $\left(X_{a}^{\Lambda},X_{I}^{\Lambda}\right)$.

6.1.2 Inertial coordinate frame

To get more insight into eqs(6.7-6.11-6.25-6.30) and also to make contact with the analysis of section 2, it is useful to rewrite the above gauge invariant constraint eqs in the inertial coordinate frame $\{\xi\}$.

Field matrix potentials
Using the vielbeins $e_{a}^{\underline{c}}$, $e_{I}^{\underline{K}}$ and their inverses $e_{\underline{c}}^{a}$, $e_{\underline{K}}^{I}$, we can rewrite the field matrices $K_{ab}\left(\varphi\right)$ and $K_{IJ}\left(\varphi\right)$ as

$$\begin{array}{lccc} K_{ab}\left(\varphi\right)= & \left(e_{a}^{\underline{c}}e_{b}^{\underline{d}}\right)\eta_{\underline{cd}}\left(\xi\right) & , & e_{a}^{\underline{c}}=e_{a}^{\underline{c}}\left(\varphi,\xi\right) \quad , \\ K_{IJ}\left(\varphi\right)= & \left(e_{I}^{\underline{K}}e_{J}^{\underline{L}}\right)\eta_{\underline{KL}}\left(\xi\right) & , & e_{I}^{\underline{K}}=e_{I}^{\underline{K}}\left(\varphi,\xi\right) \quad , \end{array} \tag{6.33}$$

where $\eta_{\underline{ab}}\left(\xi\right)=+\delta_{\underline{ab}}$ and $\eta_{\underline{IJ}}\left(\xi\right)=-\delta_{\underline{IJ}}$.
Similar factorizations may be done for the real 2-forms Ω_{a} and $\Omega_{I}=D_{aI}\Omega^{a}$. We have

$$\begin{array}{lcll} \Omega_{a}\left(\varphi\right) & = & e_{a}^{\underline{c}}\Omega_{\underline{c}}\left(\xi\right) & , \\ \Omega_{I}\left(\varphi\right) & = & e_{I}^{\underline{L}}\Omega_{\underline{L}}\left(\xi\right) & , \\ D_{aI} & = & e_{a}^{\underline{c}}e_{I}^{\underline{L}}D_{\underline{cL}} & , \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial\phi^{aI}} & = & e_{a}^{\underline{c}}e_{I}^{\underline{L}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi^{\underline{cL}}} & , \\ A_{aI}\left(\varphi\right) & = & e_{a}^{\underline{c}}e_{I}^{\underline{L}}A_{\underline{cL}}\left(\xi\right) & . \end{array} \tag{6.34}$$

Using these relations, the gauge invariant constraint eqs read in the inertial coordinate frame $\{\xi\}$ as follows:

$$\begin{array}{lclc} \left\langle\Omega_{\underline{a}}\left(\varphi,\xi\right),\Omega_{\underline{b}}\left(\sigma,\xi\right)\right\rangle=e^{-2\sigma}\eta_{\underline{ab}} & , & \left\langle\Omega^{\underline{a}}\left(\sigma,\xi\right),\Omega^{\underline{b}}\left(\sigma,\xi\right)\right\rangle=e^{+2\sigma}\eta^{\underline{ab}} & , \\ \left\langle\Omega_{\underline{a}}\left(\sigma,\xi\right),\Omega_{\underline{I}}\left(\sigma,\xi\right)\right\rangle=0 & , & \left\langle\Omega^{\underline{a}}\left(\sigma,\xi\right),\Omega^{\underline{I}}\left(\sigma,\xi\right)\right\rangle=0 & , \\ \left\langle\Omega_{\underline{I}}\left(\sigma,\xi\right),\Omega_{\underline{J}}\left(\sigma,\xi\right)\right\rangle=e^{-2\sigma}\eta_{\underline{IJ}} & , & \left\langle\Omega^{\underline{I}}\left(\sigma,\xi\right),\Omega^{\underline{J}}\left(\sigma,\xi\right)\right\rangle=e^{+2\sigma}\eta^{\underline{IJ}} & . \end{array} \tag{6.35}$$

Setting

$$\begin{array}{lcll} \Omega_{\underline{a}} & = & e^{-\sigma}\varpi_{\underline{a}} & , \\ \Omega_{\underline{I}} & = & e^{-\sigma}\varpi_{\underline{I}} & , \end{array} \tag{6.36}$$

we can reduce the above relations down to

$$\begin{array}{lll} \left\langle \varpi_{\underline{a}}\left(\xi\right),\varpi_{\underline{b}}\left(\xi\right)\right\rangle =\eta_{\underline{ab}} & , & \left\langle \varpi^{\underline{a}}\left(\xi\right),\varpi^{\underline{b}}\left(\xi\right)\right\rangle =\eta^{\underline{ab}} \quad , \\ \left\langle \varpi_{\underline{a}}\left(\xi\right),\varpi_{\underline{I}}\left(\sigma,\xi\right)\right\rangle =0 & , & \left\langle \varpi^{\underline{a}}\left(\xi\right),\varpi^{\underline{I}}\left(\xi\right)\right\rangle =0 \quad , \\ \left\langle \varpi_{\underline{I}}\left(\xi\right),\varpi_{\underline{J}}\left(\xi\right)\right\rangle =\eta_{\underline{IJ}} & , & \left\langle \varpi^{\underline{I}}\left(\xi\right),\varpi^{\underline{J}}\left(\xi\right)\right\rangle =\eta^{\underline{IJ}} \quad . \end{array} \tag{6.37}$$

These relations are invariant under the transformations

$$\begin{array}{lll} \varpi_{\underline{a}}\left(\xi\right)\equiv & U_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{b}}\left(\xi\right)\varpi_{\underline{b}}\left(\xi\right) & , \quad U_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{b}}\left(\xi\right)\in SO\left(3\right) \quad , \\ \varpi_{\underline{I}}\left(\xi\right)\equiv & V_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{J}}\left(\xi\right)\varpi_{\underline{J}}\left(\xi\right) & , \quad V_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{J}}\left(\xi\right)\in SO\left(19\right) \quad . \end{array} \tag{6.38}$$

Below, we give explicit computations in the frame $\{\xi\}$.

Isopin gauge connection $A_{\underline{aI}}\left(\xi\right)$

The spin gauge connection on the moduli space is explicitly computed by help of the constraint eq

$$\left\langle \Omega_{\underline{a}}\left(\sigma,\xi\right),\Omega_{\underline{I}}\left(\sigma,\xi\right)\right\rangle =0. \tag{6.39}$$

Substituting

$$D_{\underline{aI}}\Omega^{\underline{a}}=\partial_{\underline{aI}}\Omega^{\underline{a}}-A_{\underline{aI}}\Omega^{\underline{a}}, \tag{6.40}$$

we first obtain

$$\left\langle \Omega_{\underline{b}}A_{\underline{aI}}\Omega^{\underline{a}}\right\rangle =\left\langle \Omega_{\underline{b}}\partial_{\underline{aI}}\Omega^{\underline{a}}\right\rangle . \tag{6.41}$$

More explicit expressions can be written down by using the following $SO\left(3\right)$ group parametrization

$$\begin{array}{lll} U\left[\lambda\left(\xi\right)\right] & =\exp\lambda\left(\xi\right) & , \\ \lambda\left(\xi\right) & =\sum_{m=1}^{3}T_{\underline{m}}\lambda^{\underline{m}}\left(\xi\right) & , \\ A_{\underline{aI}}\left(\xi\right) & =\sum_{m=1}^{3}T_{\underline{m}}A_{\underline{aI}}^{\underline{m}}\left(\xi\right) & , \end{array} \tag{6.42}$$

with $\lambda^{\underline{m}}\left(\xi\right)$ and $T_{\underline{m}}$ ($T_{\underline{m}}^{t}=-T_{\underline{m}}$) are respectively the gauge group parameters and the corresponding $so\left(3\right)$ Lie algebra generators. We have

$$\left(A_{\underline{aI}}\right)_{\underline{b}}^{\underline{a}}=\sum_{m,a=1}^{3}A_{\underline{aI}}^{\underline{m}}\left(\xi\right)\left(T_{\underline{m}}\right)_{\underline{b}}^{\underline{a}}=\left\langle \Omega_{\underline{b}}\partial_{\underline{aI}}\Omega^{\underline{a}}\right\rangle . \tag{6.43}$$

Using the vielbeins, this relation can be as well expressed as follows:

$$\left(A_{\underline{aI}}\right)_{\underline{b}}^{\underline{a}}=e_{\underline{b}}^{c}\left(\frac{\partial e_{c}^{\underline{a}}}{\partial\xi^{\underline{aI}}}\right)=-e_{c}^{\underline{a}}\left(\frac{\partial e_{\underline{b}}^{c}}{\partial\xi^{\underline{aI}}}\right). \tag{6.44}$$

We can also compute the infinitesimal variation of the gauge field $A_{\underline{aI}}\left(\xi\right)$. We have

$$\begin{array}{lll} \delta A_{\underline{aI}}\left(\xi\right) & = & D_{\underline{aI}}\lambda\left(\xi\right) \quad , \\ \delta A_{\underline{aI}}^{\underline{m}}\left(\xi\right) & = & D_{\underline{aI}}\lambda^{\underline{m}}\left(\xi\right) \quad , \end{array} \tag{6.45}$$

with

$$\begin{array}{lcl} D_{\underline{aI}}\lambda & = & \partial_{\underline{aI}}\lambda - [A_{\underline{aI}}, \lambda] \quad , \\ D_{\underline{aI}}\lambda^{\underline{m}} & = & \frac{\partial\lambda^{\underline{m}}}{\partial\xi^{\underline{aI}}} - \mathrm{f}^{\underline{m}}_{\underline{nk}} A^{\underline{k}}_{\underline{aI}} \lambda^{\underline{n}} \quad , \end{array} \tag{6.46}$$

where $\mathrm{f}^{\underline{m}}_{\underline{nk}} = -\mathrm{f}^{\underline{m}}_{\underline{kn}}$ are the usual $so\,(3)$ structure constants.

Relation between K_{IJ} and K_{ab} via the vielbeins
Starting from the identity

$$K_{IJ} = \left\langle D_{aI}\Omega^{a}, D_{bJ}\Omega^{b} \right\rangle , \tag{6.47}$$

and substituting

$$D_{aI}\Omega^{a} = \Omega^{\underline{c}} \left(D_{aI} e^{a}_{\underline{c}} \right) , \tag{6.48}$$

we first get

$$K_{IJ} \;\; = \;\; \eta^{\underline{cd}} \left(D_{aI} e^{a}_{\underline{c}} \right) \left(D_{bJ} e^{b}_{\underline{d}} \right) \quad . \tag{6.49}$$

By replacing $\eta^{\underline{cd}} = K^{gh} e^{\underline{c}}_{g} e^{\underline{d}}_{h}$, we can also put K_{IJ} in the form

$$K_{IJ} = K^{gh} \left(e^{\underline{c}}_{g} D_{aI} e^{a}_{\underline{c}} \right) \left(e^{\underline{d}}_{h} D_{bJ} e^{b}_{\underline{d}} \right) . \tag{6.50}$$

Now using the identities

$$e^{a}_{\underline{c}} D_{aI} e^{\underline{c}}_{g} = -e^{\underline{c}}_{g} D_{aI} e^{a}_{\underline{c}} \qquad , \qquad e^{\underline{d}}_{h} D_{bJ} e^{b}_{\underline{d}} = -e^{b}_{\underline{d}} D_{bJ} e^{\underline{d}}_{h}, \tag{6.51}$$

following from the variation of $\langle \Omega^{a}, \Omega_{g} \rangle = \delta^{a}_{g}$, we can bring eq(6.50) to the form

$$K_{IJ} = K^{gh} \left(e^{a}_{\underline{c}} D_{aI} e^{\underline{c}}_{g} \right) \left(e^{b}_{\underline{d}} D_{bJ} e^{\underline{d}}_{h} \right) . \tag{6.52}$$

Then using

$$D_{\underline{c}I} = e^{a}_{\underline{c}} D_{aI} \qquad , \qquad D_{\underline{d}I} = e^{b}_{\underline{d}} D_{bJ}, \tag{6.53}$$

the above relation reads as follows

$$K_{IJ} = K^{gh} \left(D_{\underline{c}I} e^{\underline{c}}_{g} \right) \left(D_{\underline{d}J} e^{\underline{d}}_{h} \right) , \tag{6.54}$$

or equivalently

$$K_{IJ} = \eta^{\underline{ab}} \left(e^{g}_{\underline{a}} D_{\underline{c}I} e^{\underline{c}}_{g} \right) \left(e^{h}_{\underline{b}} D_{\underline{d}J} e^{\underline{d}}_{h} \right) \tag{6.55}$$

Deriving the constraint eqs on the moduli $L^{\underline{\Lambda}}_{\underline{a}}$
To get the constraint eqs in the inertial coordinate frame $\{\xi\}$, we begin by giving some useful results

$$\begin{array}{ll} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\underline{\Lambda}} & = \mathcal{E}^{\Upsilon}_{\underline{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\Upsilon} \quad , \\ \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\Lambda} & = \mathcal{E}^{\underline{\Upsilon}}_{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\underline{\Upsilon}} \quad , \\ \delta^{\underline{\Sigma}}_{\underline{\Lambda}} & = \mathcal{E}^{\Upsilon}_{\underline{\Lambda}} \mathcal{E}^{\underline{\Sigma}}_{\Upsilon} \quad , \\ \mathcal{E}^{\Upsilon}_{\underline{\Lambda}} & = \mathcal{E}^{\Upsilon}_{\underline{\Lambda}} (\varphi, \xi) \quad , \end{array} \tag{6.56}$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\Upsilon}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\Lambda}^{\underline{\Upsilon}}$ are vielbeins. The metric tensor $J_{\Lambda\Sigma}\left(\varphi\right)$ is mapped to

$$J_{\Lambda\Sigma}\left(\varphi\right) = \quad \left(\mathcal{E}_{\Lambda}^{\underline{\Upsilon}}\mathcal{E}_{\Sigma}^{\underline{\Gamma}}\right)\eta_{\underline{\Upsilon\Gamma}}\left(\xi\right) \quad , \tag{6.57}$$

and the constraint eqs becomes

$$\begin{array}{ll} \left\langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\underline{\Lambda}}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\underline{\Sigma}} \right\rangle & = e^{-2\sigma}\eta_{\underline{\Lambda\Sigma}} \quad , \\ \left\langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\underline{\Lambda}}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\underline{\Sigma}} \right\rangle & = e^{+2\sigma}\eta^{\underline{\Lambda\Sigma}} \quad , \\ \left\langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\underline{\Lambda}}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\underline{\Sigma}} \right\rangle & = \delta_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{\Sigma}} \quad . \end{array} \tag{6.58}$$

Expanding the 2-forms $\varpi_{\underline{a}}\left(\xi\right)$ and $\varpi_{\underline{I}}\left(\xi\right)$ in the 2- form basis $\{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\underline{\Lambda}}\}$ as follows,

$$\begin{array}{lll} \varpi_{\underline{a}} & = & \sum_{\Lambda}\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\underline{\Lambda}}L_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{\Lambda}}\left(\xi\right) \quad , \\ \varpi_{\underline{I}} & = & \sum\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\underline{\Lambda}}L_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{\Lambda}}\left(\xi\right) \quad , \end{array} \tag{6.59}$$

and integrating over the 2- cycles $\left\{\Psi^{\Lambda}\right\}$, we get

$$\begin{array}{lll} L_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{\Lambda}}\left(\xi\right) & = & \int_{\Psi^{\Lambda}}\varpi_{\underline{a}} \quad , \\ L_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{\Lambda}}\left(\xi\right) & = & \int_{\Psi^{\Lambda}}\varpi_{\underline{I}} \quad . \end{array} \tag{6.60}$$

Substituting these expansions back into (6.37), we obtain

$$\begin{array}{lll} L_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{\Lambda}}\left(\xi\right)\eta_{\underline{\Lambda\Sigma}}L_{\underline{b}}^{\underline{\Lambda}}\left(\xi\right) & = & \eta_{\underline{ab}} \quad , \\ L_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{\Lambda}}\left(\xi\right)\eta_{\underline{\Lambda\Sigma}}L_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{\Lambda}}\left(\xi\right) & = & 0 \quad , \\ L_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{\Lambda}}\left(\xi\right)\eta_{\underline{\Lambda\Sigma}}L_{\underline{J}}^{\underline{\Lambda}}\left(\xi\right) & = & \eta_{\underline{IJ}} \quad . \end{array} \tag{6.61}$$

These relations, which are invariant under $SO\left(3\right)\times SO\left(19\right)$ gauge change, are precisely the defining constraint equations of the moduli space of metric deformations of K3.

6.2 Metric of the moduli space

We first give the expression of the metric g_{aIbJ} in terms of the matrix potentials K_{ab} and K_{IJ}. Then we give the expression of g_{aIbJ} in terms of the vielbeins $e_{a}^{\underline{c}}$ and their covariant derivatives.

6.2.1 Factorization of the metric g_{aIbJ}

We begin by recalling that the complex and Kahler deformations of the metric of K3 are captured by the deformation tensor Ω_{aI}^{c} (5.91). In terms of this deformation tensor, the metric g_{IJ}^{ab} reads in the curved coordinate frame as follows

$$g_{aIbJ} = \gamma\sum_{c,d=0}^{2}K_{cd}\left\langle\Omega_{aI}^{c}, \Omega_{bJ}^{d}\right\rangle , \tag{6.62}$$

where γ is a normalization constant number which can be chosen as $\gamma = \gamma_1 \gamma_2$; with γ_1 for the $SO\left(3\right)$ sector and γ_2 for $SO\left(19\right)$. For simplicity, we set $\gamma = 1$.
Using the relation $\Omega_{aI}^{c} = D_{aI}\Omega^{c}$, we can also define the metric in terms of the inner product of the covariant derivatives of the isotriplet form like,

$$g_{aIbJ} = \sum_{c,d=0}^{2} K_{cd} \left\langle D_{aI}\Omega^{c}, D_{aI}\Omega^{d} \right\rangle . \tag{6.63}$$

However, since in the case of 11D M-theory on K3, the deformation tensor Ω_{aI}^{c} has only non zero diagonal terms (5.92),

$$\Omega_{aI}^{c} = \delta_{a}^{c}\Omega_{I} \qquad , \qquad \Omega_{I} = D_{aI}\Omega^{a}, \tag{6.64}$$

the metric g_{IJ}^{ab} gets reduced down to

$$g_{aIbJ} = \left(\sum_{c,d=1}^{3} K_{cd}\delta_{a}^{c}\delta_{b}^{d} \right) \left\langle \Omega_{I}, \Omega_{J} \right\rangle . \tag{6.65}$$

Moreover, using the identity $K_{IJ} = \left\langle \Omega_{I}, \Omega_{J} \right\rangle$, we get the remarkable factorization

$$g_{aIbJ} = K_{ab}K_{IJ}. \tag{6.66}$$

The metric g_{aIbJ} of the special hyperKahler geometry of 11D M-theory on K3 is given by the product of K_{IJ} and K_{ab}. In the inertial frame $\{\xi\}$, the vielbeins $e_{a}^{\underline{c}}$ and $e_{I}^{\underline{L}}$ reduce to Kroneker symbols ($e_{a}^{\underline{c}} \longrightarrow \delta_{a}^{\underline{c}}$, $e_{I}^{\underline{L}} \longrightarrow \delta_{I}^{\underline{L}}$) and the metric $g_{aIbJ} \longrightarrow \eta_{\underline{ab}}\eta_{\underline{IJ}}$.

6.2.2 Expression of g_{aIbJ} in terms of the vielbeins

The relation (6.66) can be rewritten in different, but equivalent, manners. First, we can use the metrics K_{ab} and K_{IJ} to write the metric like

$$\begin{array}{lll}
g_{IJ}^{ab} = K_{IJ}K^{ab} & & , \\
g_{aIJ}^{b} = K_{IJ}K_{a}^{b} & = K_{IJ}\delta_{a}^{b} & , \\
g_{a}^{bIJ} = K^{IJ}K_{a}^{b} & = K^{IJ}\delta_{a}^{b} & , \\
g_{aI}^{bJ} = K_{I}^{J}K_{a}^{b} & = \delta_{I}^{J}\delta_{a}^{b} & , \\
g_{I}^{abJ} = K_{I}^{J}K^{ab} & = \delta_{I}^{J}K^{ab} & , \\
g_{abI}^{J} = K_{I}^{J}K_{ab} & = \delta_{I}^{J}K_{ab} & , \\
g_{ab}^{IJ} = K^{IJ}K_{ab} & & .
\end{array} \tag{6.67}$$

We can also use this relation to express K^{IJ} (resp. K_{ab}) in terms of g_{ab}^{IJ} and K^{ab} (resp. K_{IJ}),

$$\begin{array}{ll}
K^{IJ} = g_{ab}^{IJ}K^{ab} & , \\
K_{ab} = g_{ab}^{IJ}K_{IJ} & .
\end{array} \tag{6.68}$$

In these relations, the metric g_{IJ}^{ab} can be interpreted as the bridge from K_{IJ} to K_{ab} and vice versa. Eq(6.66) tells us moreover that the vielbeins $E_{aI}^{\underline{cK}}$, introduced in section 2 to factorize the metric like

$$g_{aIbJ} = E_{aI}^{\underline{cK}} E_{bJ}^{\underline{dL}} \eta_{\underline{cd}} \eta_{\underline{KL}} \ , \tag{6.69}$$

get themselves factorized as shown below,

$$E_{aI}^{\underline{cK}} = e_a^{\underline{c}} e_I^{\underline{K}}. \tag{6.70}$$

By substituting back in the previous relations, we get

$$g_{aIbJ} = \left(e_a^{\underline{c}} e_b^{\underline{d}} \eta_{\underline{cd}} \right) \left(e_I^{\underline{K}} e_J^{\underline{L}} \eta_{\underline{KL}} \right), \tag{6.71}$$

which is an equivalent way to state (6.66). Moreover using eq(6.55), we can also put the metric in the equivalent form

$$g_{aIbJ} = e_a^{\underline{c}} e_b^{\underline{d}} \left(D_{\mathrm{m}I} e_{\underline{g}}^{\mathrm{m}} \right) \left(D_{\mathrm{n}J} e_{\underline{h}}^{\mathrm{n}} \right) \eta_{\underline{cd}} \eta^{\underline{gh}}. \tag{6.72}$$

Eqs (6.66), (6.69), (6.71) and (6.72) are obviously equivalent.

7 New attractor approach in 7D

The effective potential of the 7D black hole and black 3-brane have been considered in *section 3* by using the criticality method. In this section, we complete this study by developing the extension of the new attractor approach to 7D space time. We recall that new attractor approach has been first introduced by Kallosh [8] in the framework of 4 dimensional black hole physics and it is remarkably useful in dealing with fluxes.[12, 63]

7.1 Further on criticality method

The effective scalar potential $\mathcal{V}_{eff} = \mathcal{V}_{eff}(\varphi)$ of the 7D black attractors is given by the Weinhold relation [64, 65]. This is a gauge invariant quadratic relation (3.5) in the *dressed* charges,

$$\begin{array}{lclcll} \mathcal{Z}_a & = & e^{-\sigma} Z_a & , & a = 1, 2, 3 & , \\ \mathcal{Z}_I & = & e^{-\sigma} Z_I & , & I = 1, ..., 19 & . \end{array} \tag{7.1}$$

The charge $\mathcal{Z}_a$ and $\mathcal{Z}_I$ are the physical charges (5.46); they appear in the supersymmetric transformations of the gravitinos $\left\{ \psi_\mu^1, \psi_\mu^2 \right\}$, the gravi-photinos $\left\{ \chi_\mu^1, \chi_\mu^2 \right\}$ and the photinos $\left\{ \lambda^I \right\}$ of the 7D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theory; eqs(4.17-4.18). They induce a matrix mass to the fermionic fields and play a crucial role in the attractor mechanism of the 7D black objects.

The idea of the attractor mechanism is that, at the event horizon of the 7D black objects, the attractor potential $\mathcal{V}_{eff}$ reaches its minimum and the real field moduli φ^{m}, which parameterize $\frac{SO(1,1)\times SO(3,19)}{SO(3)\times SO(19)}$, get fixed by the magnetic (electric) bare charges p^{Λ} (q^{Λ}) of the *22* abelian gauge fields strengths $\mathcal{F}_2^{\Lambda}$ (dual dual $\mathcal{G}_5^{\Lambda}$). The gauge invariants fields $\mathcal{F}_2^{\Lambda}$ and $\mathcal{G}_5^{\Lambda}$ follow from the compactification of the 11D M- theory on K3

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{F}_2^{\Lambda} & = & \int_{\Psi^{\Lambda}} \mathcal{F}_4 \quad , \\ \mathcal{G}_5^{\Lambda} & = & \int_{\Psi^{\Lambda}} \mathcal{G}_5 \quad , \end{array} \tag{7.2}$$

with fluxes as

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathrm{p}^{\underline{\Lambda}} & = & \int_{S^2_{\infty}} \mathcal{F}_2^{\underline{\Lambda}} \quad , \\ \mathrm{q}^{\underline{\Lambda}} & = & \int_{S^5_{\infty}} \mathcal{G}_5^{\underline{\Lambda}} \quad , \\ \mathrm{q}_{\Lambda}\mathrm{p}^{\Sigma} & = & 2\pi k_{\Lambda}\delta_{\Lambda}^{\Sigma} \quad , \end{array} \tag{7.3}$$

where the k_{Λ}'s are non zero integers ; $k_{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$.

Notice that $\mathrm{p}^{\underline{\Lambda}}$ and $\mathrm{q}^{\underline{\Lambda}}$ are bare (undressed) charges; the physical ones are given by the dressed Z_a and Z_I which coincide exactly with magnetic $\left(\mathrm{m}^{a}, \mathrm{m}^{I}\right)$ and physical electric $\left(\mathrm{e}^{a}, \mathrm{e}^{I}\right)$. The latter are given by the fluxes of the $(3+19)$ abelian gauge field strengths $\left(\mathcal{F}_2^{a}, \mathcal{F}_2^{I}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{G}_5^{a}, \mathcal{G}_5^{I}\right)$ of the 7D $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity theory. Using the relations

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{G}_5^{a} & = & \left({}^{*}\mathcal{F}_2^{a}\right) \quad , \\ \mathcal{G}_5^{I} & = & \left({}^{*}\mathcal{F}_2^{I}\right) \quad , \\ \mathcal{G}_5^{\Lambda} & = & \left({}^{*}\mathcal{F}_2^{\Lambda}\right) \quad , \end{array} \tag{7.4}$$

we have,

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathrm{m}^{a} = \int_{S^2_{\infty}} \mathcal{F}_2^{a} & , & \mathrm{m}^{I} = \int_{S^2_{\infty}} \mathcal{F}_2^{I} \quad , \\ \mathrm{e}^{a} = \int_{S^5_{\infty}} \mathcal{G}_5^{a} & , & \mathrm{e}^{I} = \int_{S^2_{\infty}} \mathcal{G}_5^{I} \quad , \end{array} \tag{7.5}$$

obeying the electric/magnetic quantization condition

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{m}^{a}\mathrm{e}_{b} & = 2\pi k_{a}\delta_{b}^{a} \quad , \\ \mathrm{m}^{I}\mathrm{e}_{J} & = 2\pi k_{I}\delta_{J}^{I} \quad , \end{array} \tag{7.6}$$

where the k_a's and the k_I's are non zero integers.

Recall that the relation between $\left(\mathcal{F}_2^{a}, \mathcal{F}_2^{I}\right)$ and $\mathcal{F}_2^{\Lambda}$ (resp. $\mathcal{G}_5^{a}$, $\mathcal{G}_5^{I}$ and $\mathcal{G}_5^{\Lambda}$) are related as follows,

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{F}_2^{a} = \sum\limits_{\Lambda=1}^{22} X_{\Lambda}^{a}\left(\varphi\right)\mathcal{F}_2^{\Lambda} & , & \mathcal{G}_5^{a} = \sum\limits_{\Lambda=1}^{22} \widetilde{X}_{\Lambda}^{a}\left(\varphi\right)\mathcal{G}_5^{\Lambda} \quad , \\ \mathcal{F}_2^{I} = \sum\limits_{\Lambda=1}^{22} X_{\Lambda}^{I}\left(\varphi\right)\mathcal{F}_2^{\Lambda} & , & \mathcal{G}_5^{I} = \sum\limits_{\Lambda=1}^{22} \widetilde{X}_{\Lambda}^{I}\left(\varphi\right)\mathcal{G}_5^{\Lambda} \quad , \end{array} \tag{7.7}$$

where $X_{\Lambda}^{a}\left(\varphi\right)$ and $X_{\Lambda}^{I}\left(\varphi\right)$ (resp $\widetilde{X}_{\Lambda}^{a}$ and $\widetilde{X}_{\Lambda}^{I}$) are as in eqs(-6.32).

The attractor equations of the 7D black attractors can be obtained by extremizing the effective potential $\mathcal{V}_{eff}$. This potential has a set of symmetries; in particular it is invariant under general coordinate transformations $\varphi^m \rightarrow \xi^m(\varphi)$ in the moduli space $\frac{SO(3,19)}{SO(3)\times SO(19)}$. Under the coordinate change ,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \sigma & \longrightarrow & \zeta^0 = \zeta^0(\sigma,\phi) & , \\ \phi^{aI} & \longrightarrow & \zeta^{\underline{aI}} = \xi^{\underline{aI}}(\sigma,\phi) & , \end{array} \tag{7.8}$$

with the convenient choice $\zeta^0 = \sigma$, we have

$$\mathcal{V}_{eff}(\varphi) \quad = \quad \mathcal{V}_{eff}(\zeta) \quad . \tag{7.9}$$

The attractor eqs can be stated in two different, but equivalent, ways. Either in the generic curved coordinate frame $\{\varphi\}$ as

$$\begin{array}{ll} \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_{eff}(\sigma,\phi)}{\partial\sigma} & = 0 \quad , \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_{eff}(\sigma,\phi)}{\partial\phi^{aI}} & = 0 \quad , \end{array} \tag{7.10}$$

or in the inertial coordinate frame $\{\sigma,\xi\}$ like,

$$\begin{array}{ll} \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_{eff}(\sigma,\xi)}{\partial\sigma} & = 0 \quad , \\ e_a^{\underline{b}} \times e_I^{\underline{J}} \times \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_{eff}(\xi)}{\partial\xi^{\underline{bJ}}} & = 0 \quad . \end{array} \tag{7.11}$$

For non singular $e_a^{\underline{b}}(\varphi,\xi)$ and $e_I^{\underline{J}}(\varphi,\xi)$, the last relation can be reduced down to

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_{eff}(\xi)}{\partial\xi^{\underline{bJ}}} = 0. \tag{7.12}$$

Leaving aside the condition[11] $\partial\mathcal{V}_{eff}/\partial\sigma = 0$, (*see also footnotes 3,5 and 10*), the solutions of eqs (7.12) fix the field moduli in terms of the bare charges $p_{\underline{\Lambda}}$. For the case of the 7D black hole, we have:

$$(\varphi)_{\text{horizon}} \quad = f(p_{\underline{a}}, p_{\underline{I}}) \quad , \tag{7.13}$$

or equivalently in the inertial coordinate frame $\{\xi\}$ like

$$(\xi)_{\text{horizon}} \quad = g(p_{\underline{a}}, p_{\underline{I}}) \quad . \tag{7.14}$$

(**1**) *Potential in the inertial frame*

In the inertial coordinates frame $\{\xi\}$, the 7D black hole potential $\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{7D,\mathcal{N}=2}(\sigma,\xi)$ has a simple expression in terms of the geometric and matter charges $Z_{\underline{a}}(\xi)$ and $Z_{\underline{I}}(\xi)$ and can be factorized as follows,

$$\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{7D,\mathcal{N}=2}(\sigma,\xi) = e^{-2\sigma}\mathcal{V}_{BH}(\xi), \tag{7.15}$$

---

[11] Notice that $\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_{eff}(\sigma,\xi)}{\partial\sigma} = 0$ requires $-2e^{-2\sigma}\mathcal{V}_{BH}(\xi) = 0$ which is solved either by $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$ whatever $\mathcal{V}_{BH}(\xi)$ is; or by $\sigma = \sigma_0$ finite and $\mathcal{V}_{BH}(\xi) = 0$. These two cases are singular and so disregarded; see also footnotes 1 and 5.

with

$$\mathcal{V}_{BH}\left(\xi\right)=\sum_{\underline{a},\underline{b}}\delta^{\underline{ab}}Z_{\underline{a}}\left(\xi\right)Z_{\underline{b}}\left(\xi\right)+\sum_{\underline{I},\underline{J}}\delta^{\underline{IJ}}Z_{\underline{I}}\left(\xi\right)Z_{\underline{J}}\left(\xi\right). \tag{7.16}$$

Since $\delta^{\underline{ab}}=\eta^{\underline{ab}}$ and $\delta^{\underline{IJ}}=-\eta^{\underline{IJ}}$, we also have

$$\mathcal{V}_{BH}\left(\xi\right)=\sum_{\underline{a},\underline{b}}\eta^{\underline{ab}}Z_{\underline{a}}\left(\xi\right)Z_{\underline{b}}\left(\xi\right)-\sum_{\underline{I},\underline{J}}\eta^{\underline{IJ}}Z_{\underline{I}}\left(\xi\right)Z_{\underline{J}}\left(\xi\right). \tag{7.17}$$

Using the identity $Z_{\underline{I}}=D_{\underline{cI}}Z^{\underline{c}}$ where $D_{\underline{cI}}$ is the covariant derivative in the inertial coordinate frame, we can rewrite the black hole potential like

$$\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{7D,\mathcal{N}=2}=e^{-2\sigma}\left(\sum_{\underline{a},\underline{b}}\delta^{\underline{ab}}Z_{\underline{a}}Z_{\underline{b}}+\sum_{\underline{I},\underline{J}}\delta^{\underline{IJ}}D_{\underline{cI}}Z^{\underline{c}}D_{\underline{dJ}}Z^{\underline{d}}\right). \tag{7.18}$$

The criticality conditions of eq(7.12) has been studied in section 3; see eqs(3.58-3.80). There, it was shown the existence of three non trivial sectors: One of them describes a $\frac{1}{2}$BPS state and the two others describe non BPS states referred to as type 1 and type 2. Below, we give a classification of these states by using the sign the semi-norm

$$p^{2}=\left(p_{\underline{a}}\delta^{\underline{ab}}p_{\underline{b}}-p_{\underline{I}}\delta^{\underline{IJ}}p_{\underline{J}}\right) \tag{7.19}$$

of the bare charge vector $p_{\underline{\Lambda}}$.

Notice that because of the $SO\left(3\right)\times SO\left(19\right)$ isotropy symmetry, we can usually perform a particular special transformations to simplify the above relations. Instead of dealing with the $3+19$ magnetic charges $p_{\underline{a}}$ and $p_{\underline{I}}$, one can focus on two of them,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\left(p_{\underline{1}},p_{\underline{2}},p_{\underline{3}}\right) & \rightarrow & \left(\mathrm{r},0,0\right) \quad ,\\ \left(p_{\underline{1}},...,p_{\underline{19}}\right) & \rightarrow & \left(\mathrm{s},0,...,0\right) \quad .\end{array} \tag{7.20}$$

The $SO\left(3\right)\times SO\left(19\right)$ invariance ensures that the results obtained by using the charges r and s are also valid for all others.

Besides the singular state associated with $p^{2}=0$ and the degenerate case where the dressed charges are equal zero, $Z_{\underline{a}}=0$ et $Z_{\underline{I}}=0$, we the following classification according to the values of the couple $\left(\mathrm{r},\mathrm{s}\right)$:

(**a**) $\frac{1}{2}$ *BPS state* with $\left(\mathrm{r},\mathrm{s}\right)=\left(\mathrm{r},0\right)$; $\mathrm{rs}=0$.

This state has $p^{2}>0$ and corresponds to $Z_{\underline{a}}\neq0$ et $Z_{\underline{I}}=0$. Entropy $\mathcal{S}_{BPS}^{entropy}$ is proportional to p^{2},

$$\mathcal{S}_{BPS}^{entropy}\sim+p^{2}. \tag{7.21}$$

(**b**) non *BPS state type 1* with $\left(\mathrm{r},\mathrm{s}\right)=\left(0,\mathrm{s}\right)$; $\mathrm{rs}=0$.

This non supersymmetric state has $p^{2}<0$ and corresponds to $Z_{\underline{a}}=0$ and $Z_{\underline{I}}\neq0$. Entropy $\mathcal{S}_{\left(NBPS\right)_{1}}^{entropy}$ is proportional to $\left(-p^{2}\right)$;

$$\mathcal{S}_{\left(NBPS\right)_{1}}^{entropy}\sim-p^{2}. \tag{7.22}$$

(**c**) non *BPS state type 2* with (r,s) and $\mathrm{rs} \neq 0$.
This non supersymmetric state is characterized by p^2 which has an indefinite sign. It corresponds to,

$$\begin{array}{rclll} Z_{\underline{a}} & \neq & 0 & a \in \mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{I}_3 = \{1,2,3\} & , \\ Z_{\underline{a}} & = & 0 & a \in (\mathcal{I}_3/\mathcal{J}) & , \\ Z_{\underline{I}} & \neq & 0 & I \in \mathcal{J}' \subset \mathcal{I}_{19} = \{1,...,19\} & , \\ Z_{\underline{I}} & \neq & 0 & I \in (\mathcal{I}_{19}/\mathcal{J}') & . \end{array} \tag{7.23}$$

The entropy $\mathcal{S}^{entropy}_{(NBPS)_2}$ is proportional to $|p^2|$.

(**2**) *Potential in curved coordinate frame*
To get the form of the potential in the curved coordinate frame, we use the vielbeins $e_{\underline{a}}^{c}$ and $e_{\underline{I}}^{K}$ to rewrite $Z_{\underline{a}}$ and $Z_{\underline{I}}$ as

$$\begin{array}{llll} Z_{\underline{a}} & = e_{\underline{a}}^{c} Y_c & & , \\ Z_{\underline{I}} & = e_{\underline{I}}^{K} Y_K & = e_{\underline{I}}^{K} \mathcal{D}_{cK} Y^c & , \end{array} \tag{7.24}$$

where $Y_c = Y_c(\varphi)$ and $Y_K = Y_K(\varphi)$ are the dressed charges in the curved frame. By putting these relations back into $\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{7D,\mathcal{N}=2}$, we obtain $\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{7D,\mathcal{N}=2} = e^{-2\sigma}\mathcal{V}_{BH}(\phi)$ with

$$\mathcal{V}_{BH}(\phi) \quad = \quad \delta^{\underline{ab}} e_{\underline{a}}^{c} e_{\underline{b}}^{d} Y_c Y_d + \delta^{\underline{IJ}} e_{\underline{I}}^{K} e_{\underline{J}}^{L} \left(\mathcal{D}_{cK} Y^c\right)\left(\mathcal{D}_{dK} Y^d\right) \quad . \tag{7.25}$$

Now, using the identities

$$\begin{array}{rcll} K^{cd} & = & +\delta^{\underline{ab}} e_{\underline{a}}^{c} e_{\underline{b}}^{d} & , \\ K^{KL} & = & -\delta^{\underline{IJ}} e_{\underline{I}}^{K} e_{\underline{J}}^{L} & , \\ & = & +\delta^{\underline{ab}} \left(e_{\underline{a}}^{g} \mathcal{D}_{\underline{c}I} e_{g}^{\underline{c}}\right) \left(e_{\underline{b}}^{h} \mathcal{D}_{\underline{d}J} e_{h}^{\underline{d}}\right) & , \end{array} \tag{7.26}$$

we can rewrite the black hole potential as follows:

$$\mathcal{V}_{BH}(\phi) = K^{cd} Y_c Y_d - K^{KL} \left(\mathcal{D}_{cK} Y^c\right)\left(\mathcal{D}_{dK} Y^d\right). \tag{7.27}$$

Furthermore, using the relation

$$K^{KL} = \frac{1}{3} K^{cd} g_{cd}^{KL}, \tag{7.28}$$

where g_{cd}^{KL} is the metric of the moduli space, we end with the following form of the potential

$$\mathcal{V}_{BH}(\phi) = \sum_{a,b=1}^{3} K^{ab} \left(Y_a Y_b - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{I,J=1}^{19} g_{ab}^{KL} \left(\mathcal{D}_{cK} Y^c\right)\left(\mathcal{D}_{dK} Y^d\right) \right). \tag{7.29}$$

Notice that relaxing the the sums $\sum_{a,b=1}^{3}$ and $\sum_{I,J=1}^{19}$ respectively as $\sum_{a,b=1}^{r}$ and $\sum_{I,J=1}^{n}$ where r and n are positive definite integers, the above equation appears as a particular relation of a general relation associated with the target space manifold

$$\frac{SO\left(r,n\right)}{SO\left(r\right)\times SO\left(n\right)}. \tag{7.30}$$

However the above geometric interpretation cease to be valid since K_{ab} and K_{IJ} can no longer be defined as intersection matrices and are not necessary symmetric. Nevertheless, it is interesting to note that for the case $r=2$ (resp $r=4$), eq(7.29) could be related to the usual expression of the black hole potential in $4D$ (resp. $6D$) $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity.

7.2 7D attractor eqs

We begin by recalling that in 4D $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity embedded in type IIB superstrings on CY3, one generally uses two different, but equivalent, approaches [63] to determining the black hole attractor eqs. These two methods are:
(**1**) the critically conditions approach based on computing the critical points of the black hole potential $\delta\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{4D,\mathcal{N}=2}=0$.
(**2**) the so called *new attractor* approach using projections along the "geometric" and "matter" directions of the Dalbeault basis of the third cohomology of the CY3.
The first method has been systematically used to deal with black objects in higher dimensional supergravity theories; in particular in the 5D and 6D space times.
In 7D $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity we are interested in here, assuming non degeneracy condition,

$$\left(\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{7D,\mathcal{N}=2}\right)|_{\partial\mathcal{V}_{BH}=0}>0, \tag{7.31}$$

the critically conditions of the black hole potential reads as

$$\begin{array}{lll}
\delta\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{7D,\mathcal{N}=2} & = & 2\delta^{\underline{ab}}\left(\delta Z_{\underline{a}}\right)Z_{\underline{b}}+2\delta^{\underline{IJ}}Z_{\underline{J}}\delta\left(Z_{\underline{I}}\right)=0 \quad , \\
\delta Z_{\underline{a}} & = & \left(\frac{\partial Z_{\underline{a}}}{\partial\sigma}\right)\delta\sigma+\left(\frac{\partial Z_{\underline{a}}}{\partial\phi^{cI}}\right)\delta\phi^{cI}=0 \quad , \\
\delta Z_{\underline{I}} & = & \left(\frac{\partial Z_{\underline{I}}}{\partial\sigma}\right)\delta\sigma+\left(\frac{\partial Z_{\underline{I}}}{\partial\phi^{cI}}\right)\delta\phi^{cI}=0 \quad ,
\end{array} \tag{7.32}$$

and leads to the critical solutions (3.64-3.80) studied in section 3 and previous subsection. Below, we develop the *new attractor* approach of Kallosh to the 7D black attractors.

7.2.1 Extending the new attractor approach to 7D

Here, we study the attractor eqs for the extremal 7D black hole in the framework of the new attractor approach. The latter is given by extending the idea of [8] dealing with black holes in type IIB on CY3-folds to the case of black attractors in 11D M-theory on

K3.

The attractor eqs are obtained by evaluating the Hodge decomposition identity (5.47) along the constraint eqs determining the various classes of critical points (3.64-3.80) of the potential. To get these eqs, we proceed as follows:

First, we consider from the field strength $\mathcal{F}_4 = dC_3$ in 11D M-theory compactified on K3 and compute its fluxes as in eq(3.1) namely,

$$p^{\Lambda} \quad = \quad \int_{S^2_{\infty}\times\Psi^{\Lambda}} \mathcal{F}_4 \quad , \tag{7.33}$$

where p^{Λ} are integers. This relation can be decomposed in two equivalent ways; either as

$$p^{\Lambda} \quad = \quad \int_{S^2_{\infty}} \left(\int_{\Psi^{\Lambda}} \mathcal{F}_4\right) \quad = \quad \int_{S^2_{\infty}} \mathcal{F}_2^{\Lambda} \quad , \tag{7.34}$$

or like

$$p^{\Lambda} \quad = \quad \int_{\Psi^{\Lambda}} \left(\int_{S^2_{\infty}} \mathcal{F}_4\right) \quad \equiv \quad \int_{\Psi^{\Lambda}} \mathcal{H}_2 \quad , \tag{7.35}$$

where we have set

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \mathcal{F}_2^{\Lambda} & = & \int_{\Psi^{\Lambda}} \mathcal{F}_4 \quad , \\ \mathcal{H}_2 & = & \int_{S^2_{\infty}} \mathcal{F}_4 \quad . \end{array} \tag{7.36}$$

Since $\mathcal{H}_2 \in H^2\left(K3, R\right)$, we also have the decomposition with respect to the basis $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\Lambda}$,

$$\mathcal{H}_2 = \sum_{\Lambda=1}^{22} p^{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\Lambda}, \qquad p^{\Lambda} = \int_{S^2_{\infty}} \mathcal{F}_2^{\Lambda}. \tag{7.37}$$

The next step is to Hodge decompose the real gauge invariant 2- form field strength $\mathcal{H}_2$ on the $\{\Omega_a, \Omega_I\}$ 2-form basis as

$$\mathcal{H}_2 = \sum \mathcal{H}^a \Omega_a + \sum \mathcal{H}^I \Omega_I, \tag{7.38}$$

or equivalently like,

$$\mathcal{H}_2 = \varsigma K^{ab} \left(\int_{K3} \mathcal{H}_2 \wedge \Omega_a\right) \Omega_b + \varkappa K^{IJ} \left(\int_{K3} \mathcal{H}_2 \wedge \Omega_I\right) \Omega_J, \tag{7.39}$$

where ς and $\varkappa$ are numbers which will be determined below.

Putting $\mathcal{H}_2 = \sum_{\Lambda=1}^{22} p^{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\Lambda}$ back into the right hand side of the above relation and using the following expressions,

$$\begin{array}{lcl} X_a^{\Lambda} & = & \int_{K3} \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\Lambda} \wedge \Omega_a \quad , \\ X_I^{\Lambda} & = & \int_{K3} \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\Lambda} \wedge \Omega_I \quad , \end{array} \tag{7.40}$$

we can rewrite $\mathcal{H}_2$ like,

$$\mathcal{H}_2 = \varsigma K^{ab} \left(\sum_{\Lambda} p_{\Lambda} X_a^{\Lambda}\right) \Omega_b + \varkappa K^{IJ} \left(\sum_{\Lambda} p_{\Lambda} X_I^{\Lambda}\right) \Omega_J. \tag{7.41}$$

The coefficients ς and $\varkappa$ can be determined by computing

$$\int_{K3} \mathcal{H}_2 \wedge \Omega_a \qquad , \qquad \int_{K3} \mathcal{H}_2 \wedge \Omega_I, \tag{7.42}$$

in two ways and compare the results. On one hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \textstyle\int_{K3} \mathcal{H}_2 \wedge \Omega_c &= \sum_{\Lambda} p_\Lambda X_c^\Lambda \quad , \\ \textstyle\int_{K3} \mathcal{F}_2 \wedge \Omega_L &= \sum_{\Lambda} p_\Lambda X_L^\Lambda \quad , \end{aligned} \tag{7.43}$$

and on the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \textstyle\int_{K3} \mathcal{H}_2 \wedge \Omega_c &= \varsigma e^{-2\sigma} \sum_{\Lambda} p_\Lambda X_c^\Lambda \quad , \\ \textstyle\int_{K3} \mathcal{H}_2 \wedge \Omega_L &= \varkappa e^{-2\sigma} \sum_{\Lambda} p_\Lambda X_L^\Lambda \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{7.44}$$

The identification of the two relations give,

$$\varsigma = \varkappa = e^{2\sigma}. \tag{7.45}$$

Now using the dressed charges

$$\begin{aligned} Y_a &= \textstyle\int_{K3} \mathcal{H}_2 \wedge \Omega_a &= \sum_{\Lambda} p_\Lambda X_a^\Lambda \quad , \\ Y_I &= \textstyle\int_{K3} \mathcal{H}_2 \wedge \Omega_I &= \sum_{\Lambda} p_\Lambda X_I^\Lambda \quad , \end{aligned} \tag{7.46}$$

with $Y_I = K^{ab} \mathcal{D}_{aI} Y_b$, we can put the Hodge decomposition into the real 2-form as follows,

$$\mathcal{H}_2 = e^{2\sigma} K^{ab} Y_a \Omega_b + e^{2\sigma} K^{IJ} Y_I \Omega_J. \tag{7.47}$$

Finally, integrating both sides of (7.47) over the $\{\Psi^\Lambda\}$ basis, we get the 7D black hole attractor eqs

$$p^\Lambda = K^{ab} Y_a X_b^\Lambda + K^{IJ} Y_I X_J^\Lambda. \tag{7.48}$$

Notice that this equation can be put in other forms as given below.
First by substituting $K^{ab} = e^a_{\underline{c}} e^b_{\underline{d}} \eta^{\underline{cd}}$, $K^{IJ} = e^I_{\underline{K}} e^J_{\underline{L}} \eta^{\underline{KL}}$ and using $Z_{\underline{c}} = e^a_{\underline{c}} Y_a$, $Z_{\underline{K}} = e^I_{\underline{K}} Y_I$, eq(7.48) becomes

$$p^{\underline{\Lambda}} = \eta^{\underline{cd}} Z_{\underline{c}} L^{\underline{\Lambda}}_{\underline{d}} + \eta^{\underline{KL}} Z_{\underline{K}} L^{\underline{\Lambda}}_{\underline{L}}, \tag{7.49}$$

where $\left(L^{\underline{\Lambda}}_{\underline{d}}, L^{\underline{\Lambda}}_{\underline{L}}\right)$ are as in eq(7.49).
Second, multiplying eq(7.49) $p_{\underline{\Lambda}}$ and summing over Λ, we rediscover the relations (3.34,3.36) that we have used in section 3,

$$p^2 = \eta^{\underline{ab}} Z_{\underline{a}} Z_{\underline{b}} + \eta^{\underline{IJ}} Z_{\underline{I}} Z_{\underline{J}}, \tag{7.50}$$

with $p^2 = p_{\underline{\Lambda}} p^{\underline{\Lambda}}$.

7.2.2 Solving the attractor eqs

Here we evaluate the fundamental SHG identities along the constraints determining the various classes of critical points of the black hole (black 3-brane) potential in the moduli space. We show that the supersymmetry breaking at the horizon of the static, spherically symmetric extremal black hole (3-brane) solution, can be traced back to the non-vanishing intersections between the field strength $\mathcal{H}_2$ and the components of the basis $\{\Omega_{\underline{a}}\Omega_{\underline{I}}\}$. We have:

(1) *Supersymmetric $\frac{1}{2}$ BPS*

This supersymmetric 7D attractor corresponds to the critical point $Z_{\underline{a}} \neq (0,0,0)$ and $Z_{\underline{I}} = (0,...,0)$. Putting $Z_{\underline{I}} = 0 \ \forall \ I \in \mathcal{I} = \{1,...,19\}$ back in eq(7.47), we find that the real 2- form $\mathcal{H}_2$ of M-theory on K3 has vanishing components along the second cohomologies $H^{(1,1)}(K3)$ generated by $\Omega_I = \mathcal{D}_{aI}\Omega^a$. As such the 2- form $(\mathcal{H}_2)_{\frac{1}{2}BPS}$ reduces down to,

$$\begin{array}{lll} (\mathcal{H}_2)_{\frac{1}{2}BPS} & = & \left(e^{2\sigma}K^{ab}Y_a\Omega_b\right)_{\frac{1}{2}BPS} \quad , \\ & = & \left(e^{2\sigma}\eta^{\underline{cd}}Z_{\underline{c}}\Omega_{\underline{d}}\right)_{\frac{1}{2}BPS} \quad . \end{array} \tag{7.51}$$

The BPS non degeneracy condition $(Z_{\underline{a}})_{\frac{1}{2}BPS} \neq 0$ corresponds therefore to a condition of *non orthogonality* between $\mathcal{H}_2$ and $\Omega_{\underline{a}}$,

$$\begin{array}{lll} \int_{K3}\mathcal{H}_2 \wedge \Omega_a & \neq 0 \quad , & \text{at least for one of the } a\text{'s} \quad , \\ \int_{K3}\mathcal{H}_2 \wedge \Omega_I & = 0 \quad , & \forall \ I = 1,\dots,19 \quad . \end{array} \tag{7.52}$$

(2) *Non BPS type 1*

This non supersymmetric attractor corresponds to the critical point $Z_a = (0,0,0)$; but $Z_I \neq (0,...,0)$.

The real flux 2-form $\mathcal{H}_2$ of M-theory on K3 has non zero components along Ω_I; but no component along Ω_a,

$$\begin{array}{lll} \int_{K3}\mathcal{H}_2 \wedge \Omega_a & = 0 \quad , & \forall \ a = 1,2,3, \quad , \\ \int_{K3}\mathcal{H}_2 \wedge \Omega_I & \neq 0 \quad , & \text{at least for one of the } I\text{'s} \quad . \end{array} \tag{7.53}$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{array}{lll} (\mathcal{H}_2)_{(NBPS)_1} & = & \left(e^{2\sigma}K^{IJ}Y_I\Omega_J\right)_{(NBPS)_1} \quad , \\ & = & \left(e^{2\sigma}\eta^{\underline{IJ}}Z_{\underline{I}}\Omega_{\underline{J}}\right)_{(NBPS)_1} \quad . \end{array} \tag{7.54}$$

(**3**) *Non BPS type 2*

This is a non supersymmetric attractor corresponding to the critical point $Z_a \neq (0,0,0)$ and $Z_I \neq (0,...,0)$.

The real flux 2-form $\mathcal{H}_2$ of M-theory on K3 has at least one non zero component along Ω_a and at least one non zero component along Ω_I,

$$\begin{array}{lllll} \int_{K3}\mathcal{H}_2\wedge\Omega_a & =0 & , & \text{at least for one of the } a\text{'s} & , \\ \int_{K3}\mathcal{H}_2\wedge\Omega_I & \neq 0 & , & \text{at least for one of the } I\text{'s} & . \end{array} \qquad (7.55)$$

8 Conclusion and discussion

In this paper we have studied the extremal BPS and non BPS black attractors in the seven dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity embedded in 11D M- theory on K3. The attractor eqs and their solutions have been treated by using both the criticality condition of the attractor potential (black hole and the dual black 3-brane) as well as by extending the 4D attractor approach of Kallosh to $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity in 7D space time.

After having given some useful tools on ways to deal with the moduli space of the theory,

$$\boldsymbol{M}_{7D}^{N=2}=\frac{SO\left(1,1\right)\times SO\left(3,19\right)}{SO\left(3\right)\times SO\left(19\right)}\ , \qquad (8.1)$$

we have described the brane realizations of the 7D black objects in terms of M2 and M5 branes wrapping 2-cycles of K3. Then, we have studied explicitly the corresponding attractor mechanism: First, by using the critically condition method, in both inertial and curved frames $\{\xi^{\underline{m}}\left(x\right)\}$ and $\{\varphi^{m}\left(x\right)\}$ of the moduli space (sections 3 and 7). Second, by extending the so called "new attractor approach" of Kallosh (section 7).

Moreover, using specific properties of the quantum numbers of the fields of the 7D theory, we have derived the 2-form basis eq(5.1) for the second real cohomology of K3,

$$\{\Omega_a,\Omega_I\}_{I=1,...,19}^{a=1,2,3}\quad . \qquad (8.2)$$

This basis, refereed to as the new basis of $H^2\left(K3,R\right)$, exhibits manifestly the $SO\left(3\right)\times SO\left(19\right)$ isotropy symmetry of the moduli space and plays an important role in the study the underlying special hyperKahler geometry of 11D M-theory on K3. The new basis, which could be also motivated by using properties of the Picard group of complex curves in K3 [67, 68], has been derived here from the two following physical arguments:
(**i**) the $7D$ $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity field theory has two kinds of irreducible supersymmetric fields representations, namely the supergravity multiplet $\mathcal{G}_{7D}^{N=2}$ eq(4.17) and the Maxwell-matter supermultiplet $\mathcal{V}_{7D}^{N=2}$ eq(4.18). Each one of these two representations contains its own abelian Maxwell gauge fields: $\mathcal{G}_{7D}^{N=2}$ has *three* 7D space time gauge fields

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{a}\left(x\right),\qquad a=1,2,3, \qquad (8.3)$$

while the gauge-matter sector with the set $\left\{\left(\mathcal{V}_{7D}^{N=2}\right)_I\right\}$ has *nineteen*

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{I}\left(x\right),\qquad I=1,...,19, \qquad (8.4)$$

constituting altogether the *twenty two* gauge fields of the underlying $U^{22}(1)$ gauge invariance. This splitting allows to classify the field strengths of the 7D supergravity theory into two kinds namely $\mathcal{F}^{a}_{\mu\nu}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{I}_{\mu\nu}$; and leads then to two types of physical gauge invariant (magnetic) charges

$$m^a = \left(\int_{S^2} \mathcal{F}^a \right) \qquad , \qquad m^I = \left(\int_{S^2} \mathcal{F}^a \right). \tag{8.5}$$

These magnetic black hole charges are precisely the dressed charges $Z^{\underline{a}}$ and $Z^{\underline{I}}$ of the extended brane version of the 7D $\mathcal{N}=2$ superalgebra [64, 69, 70, 72, 73].

(**ii**) the compactification of 11D M- theory on K3, together with the Calabi-Yau condition preventing 1-cycles, lead to the possibility to combine both the Kahler moduli

$$t^I \equiv z^{0I}$$

and the complex deformations

$$\left(z^I, \overline{z}^I\right) \equiv \left(z^{+I}, z^{-I}\right)$$

of the metric of K3 into *nineteen* isotriplets

$$\xi^{aI} = \left(z^{0I}, z^{+I}, z^{-I}\right), \qquad I = 1, ..., 19, \tag{8.6}$$

which are nothing but the *fifty seven* scalars of the *nineteen* Maxwell-matter gauge multiplets of the gauge sector of the supergravity theory. This combination is a very special property of the K3 surface; which reflects in some sense its hyperKahler nature; it has no analogue in higher dimensional Calabi-Yau manifolds.

Furthermore, using the new basis $\{\Omega_a, \Omega_I\}$ of $H^2(K3, R)$ and the deformation tensor Ω^b_{aI} eqs(5.91-5.92) of the metric of K3 as well as the symmetric inner product $\langle F, G\rangle = \int_{K3} F \wedge G$, we have derived the fundamental relations (1.5-1.6) of the SHG geometry of the moduli space $\frac{SO(1,1)\times SO(3,19)}{SO(3)SO(19)}$; see also eqs(6.11-6.13).

By decomposing Ω_a and Ω_I with respect to the standard (*old*) *basis* Hodge of $H^2(K3, R)$,

$$\{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_\Lambda\}_{\Lambda=1,...,22} \tag{8.7}$$

we recover all usual constraint eqs of the 7D theory given in [64]; especially the canonical coordinates eqs(2.53-2.55), the dressed charges eqs(5.43-5.46) and the constraint eqs(6.30-6.32) described in section 2.

It is remarkable that the physical field strength $\mathcal{F}^{a}_{\mu\nu}$ of the gravity multiplet and the field strength $\mathcal{F}^{I}_{\mu\nu}$ of the Maxwell-matter multiplet are given by the *linear combinations* (5.25-5.29),

$$\mathcal{F}^{\underline{a}}_{\mu\nu} = \sum_{\Lambda=1}^{22} L^{\underline{a}}_{\underline{\Lambda}} \mathcal{F}^{\underline{\Lambda}}_{\mu\nu} \qquad , \qquad \mathcal{F}^{\underline{I}}_{\mu\nu} = \sum_{\Lambda=1}^{22} L^{\underline{I}}_{\underline{\Lambda}} \mathcal{F}^{\underline{\Lambda}}_{\mu\nu}, \tag{8.8}$$

where $\mathcal{F}^{\underline{\Lambda}}_{\mu\nu}$ is the compactified 4-form of the 11D M-theory on the 2-cycles basis $\Psi^{\Lambda} \in H_2\left(K3, R\right)$

$$\mathcal{F}_2^{\Lambda} = \int_{\Psi^{\Lambda}} \mathcal{F}_4 \qquad , \qquad \int_{\Psi^{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\Sigma} = \delta^{\Lambda}_{\Sigma}. \tag{8.9}$$

The decomposition coefficients $L^{\underline{\Delta}}_{\underline{\Sigma}} = \left(L^{\underline{\Delta}}_{\underline{a}}, L^{\underline{\Delta}}_{\underline{I}}\right)$ are given by

$$\int_{\Psi^{\underline{\Delta}}} \Omega_{\underline{a}} = L^{\underline{\Delta}}_{\underline{a}} \qquad , \qquad \int_{\Psi^{\underline{\Delta}}} \Omega_{\underline{I}} = L^{\underline{\Delta}}_{\underline{I}}, \tag{8.10}$$

and form precisely the $SO\left(3,19\right)$ orthogonal field matrix $L^{\underline{\Delta}}_{\underline{\Sigma}}$ considered in section 2, eqs(2.31-2.32).

With the $\{\Omega_a, \Omega_I\}$ basis at hand, we have also extended the Kallosh attractor approach to the case of 7D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity. Then we have used this "extended new approach" to rederive the 7D black hole (7D black 3-brane) attractor eqs(7.47-7.49) and their solutions (7.51-7.55) which have been also classified in terms of the sign of p^2; see eqs(7.19-7.23).

In the end, we would like to add that the compactification of the 7D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theory on a circle leads to 6D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ non chiral supergravity. This is also equivalent to compactifying 10D type IIA superstring on K3 [54] or the heterotic string on the 3-torus. Then, one can think about the analysis given in this paper as the uplifting of 6D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theory to the 7D; in analogy with the uplifting of 4D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theory to the 5D with *real* cubic prepotential [74, 75, 76, 50].
This property allows us to ask whether results concerning 4D/5D correspondence with cubic prepotential could be generalized to the 6D/7D case where we have a quadratic prepotential. Below, we give an heuristic exploration of this issue.

8.1 6D/7D correspondence

An interesting field theoretical way to study the link between the 6D/7D BPS and non BPS attractors is to follow the analysis of Ceresole, Ferrara and Marrani (CFM) [74] concerning the 4D/5D correspondence and explore how it could be extended to get the 6D/7D correspondence for the black attractor potentials and their critical points.
In the CFM field theory set up, the extension

$$\text{4D/5D correspondence} \qquad \rightarrow \qquad \text{6D/7D correspondence} \quad , \tag{8.11}$$

could, à priori, be done by first working out a dictionary regarding the links between the moduli spaces of the 4D, 5D, 6D and 7D supergravity theories.
Second, determine the various effective potentials from which we may read the critical

points and their relations.

(1) *Dictionary*

A first step in the way to 6D/7D correspondence can be made by working out the relation between the geometries of the underlying moduli spaces of 4D (resp. 5D) and 6D (resp. 7D) $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theories. We have the following picture,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\text{4D: SK Geometry} \arrow[r, leftrightarrow] \arrow[d, leftrightarrow] & \text{6D: SQ Geometry} \arrow[d, leftrightarrow] \\
\text{5D: SR Geometry} \arrow[r, leftrightarrow] & \text{7D: SH Geometry}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{8.12}$$

where SQG and and SHG stands for special quaternionic and special hyperkahler geometries respectively.

Much about the 4D/5D ↔ 6D/7D dictionary can be also learnt from the isotropy symmetries of the underlying $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theories and from the way the fields have been generated from the 10D superstrings and M-theory compactifications. In the type IIA set up, we have

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\text{10D Type IIA/CY3} \arrow[r, leftrightarrow] \arrow[d] & \text{10D Type IIA/K3} \arrow[d] \\
\text{Uplift to 5D} \arrow[r, leftrightarrow] & \text{Uplift to 7D}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{8.13}$$

These correspondences can be translated in the language of 2-forms on the corresponding moduli space as follows

$$\begin{tikzcd}
B^{NS} + iJ \arrow[r, leftrightarrow] \arrow[d, leftrightarrow] & B^{NS} + \sigma^a \Omega_a \arrow[d, leftrightarrow] \\
J \arrow[r, leftrightarrow] & \Omega_a
\end{tikzcd} \tag{8.14}$$

Here $B^{NS} + iJ$ is the complexified Kahler form with B^{NS} standing for the NS-NS B-field of type II superstrings and give axions χ^i up on integration over the 2-cycles C_2^i of the compact spaces,

$$\chi^i = \int_{C_2^i} B^{NS}. \tag{8.15}$$

Notice by the way that the table (8.13) can be also stated by starting from 11D M-theory on CY3 and on K3; then compactifying on a circle.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\text{down lift to 4D} \arrow[r, leftrightarrow] & \text{down lift to 6D} \\
\text{M-theory on CY3} \arrow[r, leftrightarrow] \arrow[u] & \text{M-theory on K3} \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd} \tag{8.16}$$

Using results of [74] and the analysis given in [54]; although more explicit and handleable expressions are still needed, we learnt that the CFM method could be applied to the 6D/7D case provided we can have the explicit expressions of the potentials in the special coordinate.

(2) *Potentials*

With the relations (8.12-8.15) in mind, the second step to 6D/7D correspondence is to mimic the CFM analysis of ref.[74]. There, the 5D black hole potential $\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{5D,N=2}$ is determined by using the known expression of $\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{4D,N=2}$ and putting constraints on the axions χ^i (8.15) and the volume of the CY3.

The extension of the CFM field theoretical method towards a 6D/7D correspondence can be done in a similar manner. For this purpose, we need to know the effective potential of 6D black attractors $\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{6D,N=2}$ in the special quaternionic coordinates on which we put constraints on the axions χ^i (mainly $\chi^i \to 0$, $i = 1, ...22$) and on the volume of K3. In the language of the moduli space group symmetries, the uplifting from 6D to 7D corresponds to the symmetry breaking

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} SO\,(4,20) & \to & SO\,(3,19) & , \\ SO\,(4) & \to & SO\,(3) & , \\ SO\,(20) & \to & SO\,(19) & . \end{array} \tag{8.17}$$

At the level of the scalar field manifolds, the 6D→7D uplifting is accompanied by the breaking $\frac{SO(4,20)}{SO(4)\times SO(20)} \to \frac{SO(3,19)}{SO(3)\times SO(19)}$ reducing the dimension from real 80 dimension down to the real dimension 57 sub-manifold. This reduction corresponds then to fixing 23 real moduli and these are precisely given by the constraints on the axions, $\chi^i \to 0$, $i = 1, ...22$; and by fixing the volume of K3.

However, the knowledge of the explicit expression $\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{6D,N=2}$ in the special quaternionic coordinates is some how problematic; since it requires the knowledge of the explicit expression of the quaternionic metric $G_{mn}^{\text{quaternion}}$ of the moduli space[12] of 6D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity,

$$\boldsymbol{M}_{6D}^{N=2} = SO\,(1,1) \times \frac{SO\,(4,20)}{SO\,(4) \times SO\,(20)}. \tag{8.18}$$

To our knowledge, the explicit expression of $G_{mn}^{\text{quaternion}}$ is still missing although it is suspected to be a *real 80 dimensional* generalization of the Taub-NUT metric of 4D Euclidean gravity. Thought lengthy and technical, the explicit expression of $G_{mn}^{\text{quaternion}}$ could be however derived by using harmonic superspace method [77]-[80]. The explicit expression of $G_{mn}^{quaternion}$ will be considered in a future occasion.

---

[12] the dilaton σ, captured by the $SO\,(1,1)$ subgroup factor, is freezed in (8.18)

Nevertheless, partial results can be still given by using the Weinhold potential (3.5) and the constrained matrix representation of sub-section 2.2. The 7D black hole potential $\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{7D,N=2}$ can be put in a form quite similar to the $\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{5D,N=2}$ corresponding one. Up on solving underlying constraints, $\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{7D,N=2}$ can be expressed in terms of the special coordinates $\xi^{\underline{aI}}$ eq(5.42) and the magnetic bare charges $p^{\underline{a}}$ and $p^{\underline{I}}$.

To see how this can be done, we start from $\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{7D,N=2}$ in terms of the dressed central charges $\mathcal{Z}^{\underline{a}}$ and $\mathcal{Z}^{\underline{I}}$ eq(3.5). Then, we put this potential in the quadratic form,

$$\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{7D,N=2} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\underline{ab}} p^{\underline{a}} p^{\underline{b}} + \mathcal{M}_{\underline{aJ}} p^{\underline{a}} p^{\underline{J}} + \mathcal{M}_{\underline{Ib}} p^{\underline{I}} p^{\underline{a}} + \mathcal{M}_{\underline{IJ}} p^{\underline{I}} p^{\underline{J}}\right), \tag{8.19}$$

or equivalently like

$$\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{7D,N=2} = \frac{1}{2}\left(p^{\underline{a}}, p^{\underline{I}}\right)\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M}_{\underline{ab}} & \mathcal{M}_{\underline{aJ}} \\ \mathcal{M}_{\underline{Ib}} & \mathcal{M}_{\underline{IJ}} \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} p^{\underline{b}} \\ p^{\underline{J}} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{8.20}$$

where the 22×22 matrix $\mathcal{M}_{\underline{\Lambda\Sigma}}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{M}_{\underline{\Lambda\Sigma}} = 2\left(\sum_{c,d=1}^{3} \mathcal{L}_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{c}} \delta_{\underline{cd}} \mathcal{L}_{\underline{\Sigma}}^{\underline{d}}\right) + 2\left(\sum_{K,L=1}^{19} \mathcal{L}_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{K}} \delta_{\underline{KL}} \mathcal{L}_{\underline{\Sigma}}^{\underline{L}}\right), \tag{8.21}$$

with $\mathcal{L}_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{c}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{K}}$ as in eqs(3.10).

Next, using the constraint eq(2.55), we can also rewrite the matrix $\mathcal{M}_{\underline{\Lambda\Sigma}}$ as,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\underline{\Lambda\Sigma}} = 2e^{-2\sigma}\left[\eta_{\underline{\Lambda\Sigma}} + 2\left(\sum_{I,J=1}^{19} L_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{I}} \delta_{\underline{IJ}} L_{\underline{\Sigma}}^{\underline{J}}\right)\right], \tag{8.22}$$

where the dependence into the dilaton has been factorized. This expression can be simplified further by replacing $L_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{I}}$ as in eq(2.54,5.42), which we rewrite as follows,

$$L_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\underline{\Sigma}} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{3+\xi^2}{3}}\delta_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{b}} & \sqrt{\frac{19+\xi^2}{19}}\xi_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{J}} \\ \sqrt{\frac{3+\xi^2}{3}}\xi_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{b}} & \sqrt{\frac{19+\xi^2}{19}}\delta_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{J}} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{8.23}$$

where $\xi_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{b}} = \left(\xi_{\underline{b}}^{\underline{I}}\right)^t = \eta_{\underline{IJ}}\eta^{\underline{ab}}\xi_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{J}}$ and $\xi^2 = \sum \xi_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{I}}\xi_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{a}} = \sum \xi^{\underline{aI}}\xi_{\underline{aI}}$.

Putting these relations back into (8.22), we get the explicit expression of the black hole potential in terms of the special coordinates ξ.

The next step is to do the same thing for the potential of the 6D black hole $\mathcal{V}_{BH}^{6D,N=2}$. Then, try to figure out the 6D/7D correspondence by following the method of Ceresole, Ferrara and Marrani. Progress in this direction will be reported elsewhere.

9 Appendix

In this appendix, we describe some useful relations regarding SKG in curved and the inertial frames. These relations complete the analysis of sub-section 5.1 and allows to make formal analogies with the analysis given in section 6 regarding the fundamental relations of SHG.

4D $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity has been extensively studied in literature, it can be realized as the effective field theory of 10D superstring II on Calabi-Yau threefolds. We first review the fundamentals of the SKG geometry underlying its scalar manifold $\boldsymbol{M}_{4D}^{N=2}$, with $\dim_C \boldsymbol{M}_{4D}^{N=2} = n$ in curved frame. Then, we consider the same relations; but now in the inertial frame set up.

(1) *SKG in curved frame*

To fix the ideas, consider 10D superstring[13] IIB on Calabi-Yau threefolds and let $(z^{+i}, z^{-i})_{i=1,...,n}$ be the local (special) coordinates of the $\boldsymbol{M}_{4D}^{N=2}$ with n being the number of abelian vector supermultiplets that couple the supergravity multiplet. The metric $g_{i\bar{j}}$ of this Kahler manifold which, for convenience, we rewrite it as g_{-i+j}, is given by.

$$\begin{array}{lcll} g_{-i+j} & = & \partial_{-i}\partial_{+j}\mathcal{K} & , \\ \partial_{\mp i} & = & \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\pm i}} & , \\ \overline{(z^{+i})} & = & z_i^- & . \end{array} \tag{9.1}$$

In this relation, $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}(z^+, z^-)$ is the Kahler potential with the usual gauge transformation

$$\mathcal{K} \qquad \rightarrow \qquad \mathcal{K} + \mathrm{f}\left(z^+\right) + \bar{\mathrm{f}}\left(z^-\right), \tag{9.2}$$

where $\mathrm{f}(z^+)$ is an arbitrary holomorphic function. The abelian gauge transformation (9.2) leaves the metric g_{-i+j} invariant since the variation $\partial_{-i}\partial_{+j}\mathrm{f}(z^+) = 0$.

Let also

$$\begin{array}{lccccl} \text{Hodge:} & \boldsymbol{\alpha}_\Lambda & , & \boldsymbol{\beta}^\Lambda & , & \Lambda = 0, ..., n \quad , \\ \text{Dalbeault:} & \Omega_+ \ , \ \Omega_{-i+} & , & \Omega_- \ , \ \Omega_{+i-} & , & i = 1, ..., n \quad , \end{array} \tag{9.3}$$

be respectively the Hodge and Dalbeault basis of 3-forms of $H^3(CY3)$ with

$$\begin{array}{lcll} \Omega_- & = & \overline{(\Omega_+)} & , \\ \Omega_{+i-} & = & \overline{(\Omega_{-i+})} & , \\ n & = & h^{2,1}(CY3) & , \end{array} \tag{9.4}$$

---

[13] In type IIA set up, the complex variables z^i are given by the moduli of the complexified Kahler 2-form $B^{NS} + iJ$ over the the 2- cycles C_2^i of $H_2(CY3)$.

and $\left\{A^{\Lambda}, B_{\Lambda}\right\}$ being the usual symplectic basis of real 3-cycles given by eqs(5.17). Since both Hodge and Dalbeault 3-forms are two independent basises of the third real cohomology of CY3, we have the following relation

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{\pm} &= \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\Lambda} X_{\pm}^{\Lambda} - \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\Lambda} F_{\Lambda\pm} \quad , \\
\Omega_{-i+} &= \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\Lambda} X_{-i+}^{\Lambda} - \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\Lambda} F_{\Lambda-i+} \quad , \\
\Omega_{+i-} &= \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\Lambda} X_{+i-}^{\Lambda} - \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\Lambda} F_{\Lambda+i-} \quad ,
\end{aligned} \tag{9.5}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
X_{\pm}^{\Lambda} &= \int_{A^{\Lambda}} \Omega_{\pm} \quad , & F_{\Lambda\pm} &= \int_{B_{\Lambda}} \Omega_{\pm} \quad , \\
X_{-i+}^{\Lambda} &= \int_{A^{\Lambda}} \Omega_{-i+} \quad , & F_{\Lambda-i+} &= \int_{B_{\Lambda}} \Omega_{-i+} \quad , \\
X_{+i-}^{\Lambda} &= \int_{A^{\Lambda}} \Omega_{+i-} \quad , & F_{\Lambda+i-} &= \int_{B_{\Lambda}} \Omega_{+i-} \quad ,
\end{aligned} \tag{9.6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
X_{+}^{\Lambda} &= X_{+}^{\Lambda}\left(z^{+}\right) \quad , & X_{-}^{\Lambda} &= X_{-}^{\Lambda}\left(z^{-}\right) \quad , \\
F_{\Lambda+} &= F_{\Lambda+}\left(z^{+}\right) \quad , & F_{\Lambda-} &= F_{\Lambda-}\left(z^{-}\right) \quad ,
\end{aligned} \tag{9.7}$$

Using these 3-forms, we can define the fundamental relations of the SKG in curved frame:

(a) *the Kahler potential*

It is defined by computing the volume $(3,3)$- form on the moduli space and reads as

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{CY3} \Omega_{+} \wedge \Omega_{-} &= ie^{-\mathcal{K}} \quad , \\
\int_{CY3} \Omega_{+} \wedge \Omega_{+} &= 0 \quad , \\
\int_{CY3} \Omega_{-} \wedge \Omega_{-} &= 0 \quad ,
\end{aligned} \tag{9.8}$$

where $\mathcal{K}$ is the Kahler potential. The number i is required by the reality condition and antisymmetry $\Omega_{+} \wedge \Omega_{-} = -\Omega_{-} \wedge \Omega_{+}$.

Notice that setting

$$\begin{aligned}
z^{\pm j} &= x^{j} \pm iy^{j} \quad , \\
\partial_{\pm j} &= \frac{\partial}{2\partial x^{j}} \mp i\frac{\partial}{2\partial y^{j}} \quad , \\
\Omega_{\pm} &= \Omega_{1} \mp i\Omega_{2} \quad ,
\end{aligned} \tag{9.9}$$

we have

$$\partial_{+j}\Omega_{-} + \partial_{+j}\Omega_{-} = \frac{\partial\Omega_{1}}{\partial x^{j}} + \frac{\partial\Omega_{2}}{\partial y^{j}} \quad . \tag{9.10}$$

To make contact with our analysis concerning the SHG analysis we have given in section 6, it is convenient to set

$$\Omega_{a} = \left(\Omega_{+}, \Omega_{-}\right), \qquad \Omega_{-} = \overline{\left(\Omega_{+}\right)}, \tag{9.11}$$

and rewrite the above relations collectively as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{CY3} \Omega_{a} \wedge \Omega_{b} &= -iK_{ab} \quad , \\
&= ie^{-\mathcal{K}}\varepsilon_{ab} \quad , \\
\varepsilon_{-+} = \varepsilon^{+-} = -\varepsilon^{-+} &= -\varepsilon_{+-} = 1 \quad ,
\end{aligned} \tag{9.12}$$

with $K_{ab} = -K_{ba}$ and $\varepsilon_{ab} = -\varepsilon_{ba}$. The relation $K_{ab} = e^{-\mathcal{K}}\varepsilon_{ab}$ can be derived by solving the orthogonality constraint eqs to be given below.
Kahler transformations (9.2) correspond to the following local change

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_+(z^+) & \rightarrow & e^{\mathrm{f}(z^+)}\Omega_+(z) \quad , \\ \Omega_-(z^-) & \rightarrow & e^{\bar{\mathrm{f}}(z^-)}\Omega_-(z^-) \quad . \end{array} \tag{9.13}$$

Similar transformations are valid for the field moduli eqs(9.7); they define the usual homogeneous coordinates transformation that fix the component X_+^0 to one.

(b) *the metric*
Before giving the expression of the metric, it is useful to notice the three following properties:

(i) *deformation tensor:* Ω_{aib}
The holomorphy of the $(3,0)$-form Ω_+ and the antiholomorphy of $(0,3)$- form Ω_- imply the constraint relations

$$\partial_{+i}\Omega_+ = 0 \qquad , \qquad \partial_{-i}\Omega_- = 0. \tag{9.14}$$

These relations show that the set Ω_{-i+} and Ω_{+i-} can be enlarged by implementing the trivial objects,

$$\Omega_{+i+} \equiv \partial_{+i}\Omega_+ \qquad , \qquad \Omega_{-i-} \equiv \partial_{-i}\Omega_-. \tag{9.15}$$

Generally speaking, we may consider the largest set

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_+ & , & \Omega_{ai+} = \Omega_{\pm i+} \quad , \\ \Omega_- & , & \Omega_{ai-} = \Omega_{\pm i-} \quad , \end{array} \tag{9.16}$$

which can put be altogether like

$$\Omega_b \quad , \quad \Omega_{aib} \quad a,b = \pm, \quad i = 1,...,n \quad , \tag{9.17}$$

where Ω_{aib} can be interpreted as the deformation tensor. Clearly $\Omega_{aib} \neq 0$ for only form $a + b = 0$ since no $(4,0)$- nor $(0,4)$- forms can live on CY3.

(ii) *gauge fields:* C_{ai}
The $(2,1)$- forms Ω_{-i+} and their complex conjugate Ω_{+i-} generate covariant complex deformations. They are defined as the covariant derivatives of Ω_+ and Ω_- as shown below,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Omega_{-i+} & = & D_{-i}\Omega_+ & = & (\partial_{-i} + C_{-i})\,\Omega_+ \quad , \\ \Omega_{+i-} & = & D_{+i}\Omega_- & = & (\partial_{+i} + C_{+i})\,\Omega_- \quad , \end{array} \tag{9.18}$$

where $C_{\pm i}$ are gauge fields associated with the Kahler transformations. The abelian gauge fields $C_{\pm i}$ read in term of the Kahler potential $\mathcal{K}$ and

$$C_{\pm i} = \partial_{\pm i}\mathcal{K} \qquad , \qquad C_{+i} = \overline{(C_{-i})}, \tag{9.19}$$

and transform as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_{-i} & \rightarrow & C_{-i} + \partial_{-i}\mathrm{f} \quad , \\ C_{+i} & \rightarrow & C_{+i} + \partial_{+i}\overline{\mathrm{f}} \quad , \end{array} \tag{9.20}$$

and are used to ensure the covariance

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_{-i+} & \rightarrow & e^{\mathrm{f}\left(z^{+}\right)}\Omega_{-i+} \quad , \\ \Omega_{+i-} & \rightarrow & e^{\overline{\mathrm{f}}\left(z^{-}\right)}\Omega_{+i-} \quad , \end{array} \tag{9.21}$$

and can be extended to Ω_{ai+} and $\Omega_{ai-}$with $a = \pm$.

(iii) *orthogonality relations*

Because Ω_a and Ω_{aib} come in various (p, q)- forms, we distinguish several orthogonality relations; in particular

$$\int_{CY3} \Omega_a \wedge \Omega_{bjc} \quad = \quad 0 \quad , \quad a, b, c = \pm \quad , \tag{9.22}$$

and due tothe identity $\Omega_{+j+} = 0 = \Omega_{-i-}$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \int_{CY3} \Omega_{-ib} \wedge \Omega_{+j+} & = & 0 \quad , \quad b = \pm \quad , \\ \int_{CY3} \Omega_{-i-} \wedge \Omega_{+jb} & = & 0 \quad , \quad b = \pm \quad . \end{array} \tag{9.23}$$

What remains is precisely the intersection regarding complex deformations Ω_{-i+} and their conjugates Ω_{+j-} which we write as follows:

$$\int_{CY3} \Omega_{-i+} \wedge \Omega_{+j-} \quad = \quad -iG_{-i+,+j-} \quad . \tag{9.24}$$

A way to get the expression of $G_{-i+,+j-}$ in terms of the Kahler potential is to start from eq(9.8) and compute the second derivatives by using holomorphy properties. We have

$$\int_{CY3} \partial_{-i}\Omega_{+} \wedge \partial_{+j}\Omega_{-} \quad = \quad -ie^{-\mathcal{K}}\left(\partial_{-i}\partial_{+j}\mathcal{K} - \partial_{-i}\mathcal{K}\partial_{+j}\mathcal{K}\right) \quad , \tag{9.25}$$

which can be also put in the form

$$\left(\int_{CY3} \partial_{-i}\Omega_{+} \wedge \partial_{+j}\Omega_{-}\right) + C_{-i}C_{+j}\left(\int_{CY3} \Omega_{+} \wedge \Omega_{-}\right) \quad = \quad -ie^{-\mathcal{K}}\left(\partial_{-i}\partial_{+j}\mathcal{K}\right) \quad . \tag{9.26}$$

where we have used the identities $C_{\pm i} = \partial_{\pm i}\mathcal{K}$. But the right hand side of above relation is precisely $\int_{CY3} D_{-i}\Omega_{+} \wedge D_{+j}\Omega_{-}$. So we have

$$G_{-i+,+j-} = e^{-\mathcal{K}}\left(\partial_{-i}\partial_{+j}\mathcal{K}\right) = e^{-\mathcal{K}}g_{-i+j}. \tag{9.27}$$

This relation can put in various equivalent form; in particular like

$$\begin{array}{lcll} G_{-i+,+j-} & = & e^{-\mathcal{K}} g_{-i+j} & , \\ G_{-ia,+jb} & = & -K_{ab} g_{-i+j} & , \\ g_{-i+j} & = & e^{-\mathcal{K}} G_{-i+,+j-} & . \end{array} \tag{9.28}$$

(2) *SKG in inertial frame*

The above SKG relations can be rewritten in the inertial frame $\{w^{+}, w^{-}\}$. The corresponding relations can be obtained by using vielbeins $e_{\underline{a}}^{c}$, $e_{\underline{ai}}^{ck}$ and $e_{c}^{\underline{a}}$ and $e_{ck}^{\underline{ai}}$. The 3-forms Ω_c and Ω_{aib} in the inertial frame as follows

$$\begin{array}{lcllllll} \Omega_{\underline{a}} & = & e_{\underline{a}}^{c} \Omega_{c} & , & \Omega_{a} & = & e_{a}^{\underline{c}} \Omega_{\underline{c}} & , \\ \Omega_{\underline{ai}} & = & e_{\underline{ai}}^{ck} \Omega_{ck} & , & \Omega_{ai} & = & e_{ai}^{\underline{ck}} \Omega_{\underline{ck}} & , \end{array} \tag{9.29}$$

where $e_{\underline{a}}^{c} = e_{\underline{a}}^{c}\left(w^{\pm}, z^{\pm}\right)$ and $e_{\underline{ai}}^{ck} = e_{\underline{ai}}^{ck}\left(w^{\pm}, z^{\pm}\right)$. Substituting these identities back into eqs(**??**), we obtain

$$\begin{array}{lcll} \int_{CY3} \Omega_{\underline{a}} \wedge \Omega_{\underline{b}} & = & -i\varepsilon_{\underline{ab}} & , \\ \int_{CY3} \Omega_{\underline{-ka}} \wedge \Omega_{\underline{+lb}} & = & -i\varepsilon_{\underline{ab}} \delta_{\underline{kl}} & , \end{array} \tag{9.30}$$

where

$$\begin{array}{lcll} \varepsilon_{\underline{ab}} & = & e_{\underline{a}}^{c} e_{\underline{a}}^{d} K_{cd} = e^{-\mathcal{K}} e_{\underline{a}}^{c} e_{\underline{a}}^{d} \varepsilon_{cd} & , \\ K_{cd} & = & e_{c}^{\underline{a}} e_{d}^{\underline{b}} \varepsilon_{\underline{ab}} & , \end{array} \tag{9.31}$$

and

$$\delta_{\underline{kl}} = e_{\underline{-k}}^{-i} e_{\underline{+l}}^{+j} g_{-i+j} \quad , \quad g_{-i+j} = e_{-i}^{\underline{-k}} e_{+j}^{\underline{+l}} \delta_{\underline{kl}} \quad . \tag{9.32}$$

From the above relations, we learn, amongst others, that the vielbeins $e_{\underline{a}}^{c}$ and $e_{c}^{\underline{a}}$ are given by

$$e_{\underline{a}}^{c} = e^{\frac{\mathcal{K}}{2}} \delta_{\underline{a}}^{c} \quad , \quad e_{c}^{\underline{a}} = e^{-\frac{\mathcal{K}}{2}} \delta_{c}^{\underline{a}}, \tag{9.33}$$

and carry half of the Kahler charge. In the inertial frame $\{w\}$, the Kahler potential is

$$\mathcal{K}\left(w^{\pm}\right) \sim \sum_{i} w^{+k} w_{\overline{k}}^{-}. \tag{9.34}$$

The the metric $g_{i\overline{j}}$ reduces to the constant $g_{i\overline{j}} \sim \delta_{i}^{k} \delta_{\overline{jk}}$ and the gauge potentials C_i and $C_{\overline{i}}$ respectively to w_{i}^{+} and w_{i}^{-}.

The $D = 4$ $\mathcal{N} = 2$ covariantly holomorphic central charge function Z^{a} is defined as

$$Z^{a} = e_{\underline{c}}^{a} Z^{\underline{c}}, \tag{9.35}$$

where $Z^{\underline{c}} \equiv W^{\underline{c}}$ is equal to the usual relation $\varepsilon^{\underline{ab}}\left(p^{\underline{\Lambda}} F_{\underline{\Lambda b}} - q_{\underline{\Lambda}} X_{\underline{b}}^{\underline{\Lambda}}\right)$.

Acknowledgement 4

I would like to thank A. Belhaj, L.B Drissi and A. Segui for discussions and an earlier collaboration in this area. This research work has been supported by the program Protars D12/25/CNRST

References

[1] S. Ferrara, R. Kallosh and A. Strominger, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ *Extremal Black Holes*, Phys. Rev. D52, 5412 (1995), hep-th/9508072.

[2] A. Strominger, *Macroscopic Entropy of* $\mathcal{N} = 2$ *Extremal Black Holes*, Phys. Lett. B383, 39 (1996), hep-th/9602111.

[3] S. Ferrara and R. Kallosh, *Supersymmetry and Attractors*, Phys. Rev. D54, 1514 (1996), hep-th/9602136.

[4] S. Ferrara and R. Kallosh, *Universality of Supersymmetric Attractors,* Phys. Rev. D54, 1525 (1996), hep-th/9603090.

[5] S. Ferrara, G. W. Gibbons and R. Kallosh, *Black Holes and Critical Points in Moduli Space*, Nucl.Phys. B500, 75 (1997), hep-th/9702103.

[6] K. Goldstein, N. Iizuka, R. P. Jena and S. P. Trivedi, *Non-Supersymmetric Attractors*, Phys. Rev. D72, 124021 (2005), hep-th/0507096.

[7] A. Sen, *Entropy Function for Heterotic Black Holes*, JHEP 03, 008 (2006), hep-th/0508042.

[8] R. Kallosh, *New Attractors*, JHEP 0512, 022 (2005), hep-th/0510024.

[9] P. K. Tripathy and S. P. Trivedi, *Non-Supersymmetric Attractors in String Theory*, JHEP 0603,022 (2006), hep-th/0511117.

[10] Stefano Bellucci, Sergio Ferrara, Murat Gunaydin, Alessio Marrani, *Charge Orbits of Symmetric Special Geometries and Attractors*, Int.J.Mod.Phys. A21 (2006) 5043-5098, arXiv:hep-th/0606209

[11] M. Alishahiha and H. Ebrahim, *Non-supersymmetric attractors and entropy function*, JHEP 0603,003 (2006), hep-th/0601016.

[12] R. Kallosh, N. Sivanandam and M. Soroush, *The Non-BPS Black Hole Attractor Equation*, JHEP0603, 060 (2006), hep-th/0602005.

[13] B. Chandrasekhar, S. Parvizi, A. Tavanfar and H. Yavartanoo, *Non-supersymmetric attractors in* R^2 *gravities*, JHEP 0608, 004 (2006), hep-th/0602022.

[14] D. Astefanesei, H. Yavartanoo, *Stationary black holes and attractor mechanism,* Nucl.Phys.B794:13-27,2008, e-Print: arXiv:0706.1847 [hep-th]

[15] J. P. Hsu, A. Maloney and A. Tomasiello, *Black Hole Attractors and Pure Spinors*, JHEP 0609,048 (2006), hep-th/0602142.

[16] Payal Kaura, Aalok Misra, Fortsch.Phys.54:1109-1141,2006 [e-Print: hep-th/0607132],
Aalok Misra, Pramod Shukla, e-Print: arXiv:0707.0105, [hep-th].

[17] S. Bellucci, S. Ferrara and A. Marrani, *On some properties of the Attractor Equations*, Phys. Lett.B635, 172 (2006), hep-th/0602161.

[18] A. Ceresole, R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara and A. Van Proeyen, *Duality Transformations in Supersymmetric Yang-Mills Theories Coupled to Supergravity*, Nucl. Phys. B444, 92 (1995), hep-th/9502072.

[19] A. Ceresole, R. D'Auria and S. Ferrara, *The Symplectic Structure of* $\mathcal{N}$*=2 Supergravity and Its Central Extension*, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.46 (1996), hep-th/9509160.

[20] K. Behrndt, G. Lopes Cardoso, B. de Wit, R. Kallosh, D. Lust and T. Mohaupt, *Classical and quantum* $\mathcal{N} = 2$ *supersymmetric black holes,* Nucl. Phys. B 488, 236 (1997), hep-th/9610105.

[21] G.W. Moore, *Attractors and Arithmetic*, hep-th/9807056

[22] S. Ferrara and A. Marrani, $\mathcal{N} = 8$ *non-BPS Attractors, Fixed Scalars and Magic Supergravities*, arXiv:0705.3866.

[23] S. Ferrara, A. Marrani, *Black Hole Attractors in Extended Supergravity*, arXiv:0708.1268

[24] R. Ahl Laamara, A. Belhaj, L.B. Drissi, E.H. Saidi, *Black Holes in Type IIA String on Calabi-Yau Threefolds with Affine ADE Geometries and q-Deformed 2d Quiver Gauge Theories,* arXiv:hep-th/0611289

[25] S. Bellucci, S. Ferrara, A. Marrani, A. Yeranyan, *d=4 Black Hole Attractors in N=2 Supergravity with Fayet-Iliopoulos Terms*, e-Print: arXiv:0802.0141 [hep-th],

[26] Yi-Xin Chen, Yong-Qiang Wang, *First-order attractor flow equations for supersymmetric black rings in N=2, D=5 supergravity,* e-Print: arXiv:0801.0839 [hep-th]

[27] Lalla Btissam Drissi, Houda Jehjouh, El Hassan Saidi, *Topological Strings on Local Elliptic Curve and Non Planar 3-Vertex Formalism*, e-Print: arXiv:0712.4249 [hep-th],

[28] Lalla Btissam Drissi, Houda Jehjouh, El Hassan Saidi, *Generalized MacMahon* $G_d(q)$ *as q-deformed* CFT_2 *Correlation Function* , e-Print: arXiv:0801.2661 [hep-th]

[29] Dumitru Astefanesei, Horatiu Nastase, *Moduli flow and non-supersymmetric AdS attractors*, e-Print: arXiv:0711.0036 [hep-th]

[30] Bellucci, S. Ferrara, A. Marrani, A. Shcherbakov, *Splitting of Attractors in 1-modulus Quantum Corrected Special Geometry*, e-Print: arXiv:0710.3559 [hep-th].

[31] A. Strominger, *Special Geometry*, Commun. Math. Phys. 133, 163 (1990).

[32] B. de Wit and A. Van Proeyen, "Potentials and symmetries of general gauged $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity-Yang-Mills models," Nucl. Phys. B245 (1984) 89.

[33] S. Cecotti, S. Ferrara, and L. Girardello, *"Geometry of type II superstrings and the moduli of superconformal field theories,"* Int. J. Mod. Phys. A4 (1989) 2475.

[34] L. Castellani, R. D'Auria and S. Ferrara, *Special Geometry without Special Coordinates*, Class.Quant. Grav. 7, 1767 (1990).

[35] L. Castellani, R. D'Auria and S. Ferrara, *Special Kahler Geometry: an Intrinsic Formulation from* $\mathcal{N} = 2$ *Space-Time Supersymmetry*, Phys. Lett. B241, 57 (1990).

[36] R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara and P. Fre, *Special and Quaternionic Isometries: General Couplings in* $\mathcal{N} = 2$ *Supergravity and the Scalar Potential*, Nucl. Phys. B359, 705 (1991).

[37] L. Andrianopoli, M.Bertolini, A. Ceresole, R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara, P. Fre and T. Magri, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ *Supergravity and* $\mathcal{N} = 2$ *Super Yang-Mills Theory on General Scalar Manifolds : Symplectic Covariance, Gaugings and the Momentum Map,* J. Geom. Phys. 23, 111 (1997), hep-th/9605032.

[38] L. Andrianopoli, M. Bertolini, A. Ceresole, R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara and P. Fre, *General Matter Coupled* $\mathcal{N} = 2$ *Supergravity*, Nucl. Phys. B476, 397 (1996), hep-th/9603004.

[39] B. de Wit, F. Vanderseypen and A. Van Proeyen, *Symmetry Structures of Special Geometries*, Nucl. Phys. B400, 463 (1993), hep-th/9210068.

[40] E. Cremmer and A. Van Proeyen, *Classification of Kahler Manifolds in N = 2 Vector Multiplet Supergravity Couplings*, Class. Quant. Grav. 2, 445 (1985).

[41] B. de Wit, F. Vanderseypen and A. Van Proeyen, *Symmetry Structures of Special Geometries*, Nucl. Phys. B400, 463 (1993), hep-th/9210068

[42] M. Grana, *Flux compactifications in string theory: A comprehensive review*, Phys. Rept. 423, 91, (2006), hep-th/0509003,

[43] M. Grana, *Flux compactications and generalized geometries*, Class. Quant. Grav. 23, S883 (2006).

[44] M. R. Douglas and S. Kachru, *Flux compactification,* Rev. Mod. Phys. 79, 733 (2007), hep-th/0610102.

[45] S. Gukov, C. Vafa and E. Witten, *CFT's from Calabi-Yau four-folds*, Nucl. Phys. B584, 69 (2000), [Erratum-ibid. B608, 477 (2001)], hep-th/9906070.

[46] F. Larsen, *The Attractor Mechanism in Five Dimensions*, hep-th/0608191.

[47] A. Ceresole, S. Ferrara, A. Marrani, *4d/5d Correspondence for the Black Hole Potential and its Critical Points*, arXiv:0707.0964

[48] L. Andrianopoli, S. Ferrara, A. Marrani, M. Trigiante, *Non-BPS Attractors in 5d and 6d Extended Supergravity*, arXiv:0709.3488

[49] M. Gunaydin, G. Sierra and P. K. Townsend, *Exceptional Supergravity Theories and the Magic Square*, Phys. Lett. B133, 72 (1983).

[50] M. Gunaydin, G. Sierra and P. K. Townsend, *The Geometry of* $N = 2$ *Maxwell-Einstein Supergravity and Jordan Algebra*s, Nucl. Phys. B242, 244 (1984).

[51] M. Gunaydin, G. Sierra and P. K. Townsend, *Gauging the* $D = 5$ *Maxwell-Einstein Supergravity Theories: More on Jordan Algebras*, Nucl. Phys. B253, 573 (1985).

[52] M. Gunaydin, G. Sierra and P. K. Townsend, *More on* $d = 5$ *Maxwell-Einstein Supergravity: Symmetric Space and Kinks*, Class. Quant. Grav. 3, 763 (1986).

[53] J. P. Gauntlett, J. B. Gutowski, C. M. Hull, S. Pakis, and H. S. Reall, *"All supersymmetric solutions of minimal supergravity in five dimensions,"* Class. Quant. Grav. 20 (2003) 4587–4634, hep-th/0209114.

[54] A. Belhaj, L. B. Drissi, E. H. Saidi, A. Segui, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ *Supersymmetric Black Attractors in Six and Seven Dimensions*, arXiv:0709.0398.

[55] A. Salam and E. Sezgin, Phys. Lett. 126B (1983) 295.

[56] T. Kugo and P.K. Townsend, Nucl. Phys. B211 (1983) 157; *'Supergravity in Diverse Dimensions'*, Vols. 1 & 2, A. Salam and E. Sezgin, eds., North-Holland, World Scientific (1989).

[57] P.K Towensend, and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Phys Lett **B125**, (1983) 41

[58] E. Bergshoff, I.G Koh, and E. Sezgin, *Einstein Yang-Mills supergravity in seven dimensions*, Phys Rev **D32** (1985) 1353

[59] H. Nishino and S. Rajpoot, *Octonions, G2 Symmetry, Generalized Self-Duality and Supersymmetry in Dimensions D less or equal to 8'*, hep-th/0210132.

[60] H. Nishino and S. Rajpoot, *Self-Dual Supergravity in Seven Dimensions with Reduced Holonomy G_2*, Phys.Lett. B569 (2003) 102-112, arXiv:hep-th/0306075.

[61] El Hassan Saidi et al, *On the Entropy of pairs of Dual Black Attractors*, Lab/UFR-PHE/0804, in preparation.

[62] R. Kallosh, *New Attractors*, JHEP 0512, 022 (2005), hep-th/0510024.

[63] S. Bellucci, S. Ferrara, R. Kallosh, A. Marrani, *Extremal Black Hole and Flux Vacua Attractors* , arXiv:0711.4547.

[64] Laura Andrianopoli, Riccardo D'Auria, Sergio Ferrara, *U–Duality and Central Charges in Various Dimensions Revisited*, hep-th/9612105

[65] Laura Andrianopoli, Riccardo D'Auria, Sergio Ferrara, *Central Charges in Various Dimensions Revisited*, hep-th/9608015

[66] Sergio Ferrara, Alessio Marrani, *Black Hole Attractors in Extended Supergravity*, ArXiv: 0709.1268

[67] Paul S. Aspinwall, *K3 Surfaces and String Duality*, TASI96(K3), arXiv:hep-th/9611137.

[68] Cesar Gomez, *M-theory: Perspectives and Outlook*, Proceedins of the Workshop on *Geometry and Physics*, Zaragoza, 1998, in Anales de Fisica, Geometry and Physics, Eds M. Asorey and J.F Carinena.

[69] R. d'Auria, P. Fré, Nucl Phys B201 (1982) 101

[70] P.K Townsend, *p-brane democracy*, hep-th/9507048,

[71] J. A. de Azcarraga, J. P. Gauntlett, J. M. Izquierdo and P. K. Townsend, Phys. Rev. Lett. 189B (1989) 2443

[72] J.V. van Holten and A. Van Proyen, J. Phys. A, Math. Gen.15 (1982)3763

[73] I. Bars, Phys. Rev. D 54 (1996) 5203; hep-th/9604139, "*Algebraic Structure of S-Theory*" hep-th/9608061

[74] A. Ceresole, S. Ferrara, A. Marrani, *4d/5d Correspondence for the Black Hole Potential and its Critical Points,* arXiv:0707.0964.

[75] D. Gaiotto, A. Strominger, and X. Yin, *"New connections between 4D and 5D black holes,"* hep-th/0503217.

[76] D. Gaiotto, A. Strominger, and X. Yin, *"5D black rings and 4D black holes,"* hep-th/0504126.

[77] A. Galperin, E. Ivanov, V. Ogievetsky and P.K. Townsend, Class. Quantum, Grav. **3** (1986) 625.

[78] E. Sahraoui and E.H. Saidi, Class. Quantum. Grav. **16** (1999) 1,

[79] A. El Hassouni, T. Lhallabi, E.G. Oudrhiri-Safiani, E.H. Saidi *HK Metrics Building In The (1+3) Representation. The Taub - Nut Case*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A41** (1989)351.

[80] T. Lhallabi, E.H. Saidi, *Two-Dimensional (4,0) Supergravity In Harmonic Superspace. The Action And The Matter Couplings.* Nucl. Phys. **B335** (1990)689.